

They fully realized that no hard-and-fast standard should be put up for "pass," and that a certain amount of variation in the standards of individual examiners must necessarily exist, but the candidates were "sized up" at almost the same value by all the examiners at the oral examination, and such exceptions as existed could usually be explained by the candidates having either neglected or laid stress upon a certain subject or group of subjects.

The view is also expressed that no candidate securing less than 40 per cent. on his paper should be allowed to present himself for oral examination.

The report further states that the candidates presenting themselves for examination were of good standard in bearing and physique. But a number, by reason of mental incapacity or of defective preliminary training, should never have been allowed to enter the course of studies for medicine, or should have been weeded out in the first years. If the teaching bodies could put in force a means by which these few unfit would be discouraged early in their course, they would in reality be benefiting such students. Many of the candidates are unable to spell the ordinary words or to use freely the English language. The most noticeable defect of the candidates was the inability of the student to apply his knowledge—apparently assimilation had not taken place. One is reminded of the sentence, "As if sheep, after they have been feeding, should present their shepherds with the very grass itself which they had cropped and swallowed, to show how much they had eaten, instead of converting it into wool and milk." * * * * *

The following are the results of the examinations in Primary, Intermediate and Final, at London, Kingston and Toronto:

	LONDON.		
	Tried.	Passed.	Failed.
Primary	15	9	6
Intermediate	17	9	8
Final	13	6	7
	—	—	—
	45	24	21