

et douze, et les dépens de la présente instance, dont distraction est accordée à A. Gagnon avocat du demandeur.

Voici le jugement qui a été rendu par la Cour de Révision, à Montréal, le 31 octobre, 1873.

“ The Court here, sitting as Court of Revision, having heard the parties by their respective counsel, upon the judgment rendered by the Superior Court of the district of Richelieu, on the 10th day of March 1873, having examined the Record and proceedings had in this cause, and maturely deliberated ;

Considering that there is error in the said judgment, of the 10th of March 1873, doth, revising said judgment reverse the same, and proceeding to render the judgment that the Court below ought to have rendered in the premises ; considering that no right of action accrued to the plaintiff without any demand having been made by him upon the original debtors of the defendant, Dame Mary Walker, whose debts were assigned by her to one Joseph Mathieu, who was the *cédant* of the said plaintiff dismiss said plaintiff's action, with costs in both Courts, *distraction* whereof is granted to Mr. D. Z. Gauthier, attorney for defendants.

And it is ordered that the Record be remitted to the said Superior Court of the district of Richelieu.

---