

FRENCH CATCH GERMAN,
BELIEVED TO BE SLAYER

Shooting of Sentry Arouses Bitter Resentment on Part of Troops
—Three Others Held—One Dies of Wounds
While Attempting To Escape.

PRESENT APPROPRIATION FOR OCCUPATION

Special Cable to The Advertiser.

Dusseldorf, March 19.—The French caught today a German suspected of being the assassin of Private Schmidt, a member of the army of occupation, shot in the dark at the Essen railway station, Saturday night.

If the suspect is convicted by court-martial he will be executed immediately. Three other Germans captured at the station, from which the shot was fired, were held, and one died of wounds. The shooting of Private Schmidt aroused bitter resentment on the part of the French troops at Essen and elsewhere in the Ruhr, but from all reports of the outbreak of reprisals that might have been a logical sequence to the assassination did not occur.

SENDS EXPENSE REQUEST.

Associated Press Despatch.
Paris, March 19.—The government has sent to Parliament a supplemental request for an appropriation

on account of Ruhr occupation expenses in which it asks for a total of 196,000,000 francs for occupation operations during the month of January, February, March and April. This is said to be offset by a saving of 36,000,000 francs due to the reduction in the expense of maintaining the defeated forces in the Rhineland and in the interior.

KRUPPS SIGN AGREEMENT.

Associated Press Despatch.

Berlin, March 19.—The representatives of the Krupp and the Russian government have signed an agreement regarding agricultural concessions in Russia granted the Krupp. Berlin despatches in January stated that advice had been received from Moscow to the effect that the Soviet government had ratified an agreement granting large agricultural concessions in Southern Russia to Krupp. The concessions were said to comprise 247,000 acres of land, which was to be intensively cultivated by a special company to be formed with the aid of their capital.

ROBINSON MEMORIAL
HOLDS ANNIVERSARY

Rev. J. A. Agnew Speaks in Morning, Rev. Cosby Morris in Evening.

Robinson Memorial Methodist church celebrated its eleventh anniversary yesterday. Rev. J. A. Agnew, president of the London district and pastor of the Mount Street Methodist Church, preached at the morning service, while the Rev. Cosby Morris spoke in the evening.

Both services were well attended, special music being provided by the choir under John McAlpine, the organist of the church. Preaching in the morning, the Rev. J. A. Agnew, using his text from Acts 11 and 16, said that the paramount need of the church today was the baptism of the Holy Spirit. "Personal touch with God is absolutely essential, mere worshiping alone is useless unless we have also the spiritual power to sustain us," he said.

"We are apt to take too easily to our hearts, and we are apt to put all our sins into the work we can do. Not only this but when our boys and girls grow up, and become burdensome on our minds, and when we think of the mad pleasure-seeking age in which we live, we can save them, and help them to lead a pure and clean life, and admit it all."

In the Methodist Church we have a church to be proud of—a church that has been a great success, the secret of which has been the fact that people have come on their knees to God.

"Have you the peace of God in your heart, are you conscious that your sins are forgiven, have you the comfort of God's abiding presence?" asked the speaker. "If you have, then you may know that you have received the Holy Ghost, and that you have achieved personal touch with God."

"Mar. 19 is a day of prayer because it is given too much money to spend. Train your children to work, it is the only way to escape the devil's wiles and work for idle hands. The Holy Spirit was given us in order that we might serve God with our minds, and with our hands, and with our hearts. To fulfill our duties as Christian men and women we must work and serve."

TRY AGAIN TONIGHT
TO STRIKE TAX RATE

Mayor Confident He Can Cut It, But Aldermen and Treasurer Not So Sure.

The 1923 tax rate will be struck tonight or tomorrow night, according to Mayor Wenige. The aldermen meet in regular session tonight, and a special gathering is set for tomorrow, presumably for a final consideration of the estimates.

Although there are members of the council who disagree with him, the mayor still submits that the rate will drop below 34.3 mills, the 1922 figure. City Treasurer James Be states that the estimates to date show 35.9 points.

Just how this is to be lowered one point, or roughly, \$62,000, within the next few hours, is a question that will be answered by the mayor alone. He declines to tell. "I fixed the rate myself last December, below 34.3 points," he declared.

Ald. Douglas, chairman of the board of works, said to view the situation as enthusiastically as the mayor.

"I don't see how it can be lower than 35 mills," he stated, "which is practically the same as last year."

CARNARVON ILL AT EGYPT.

Associated Press Despatch.
Cairo, Egypt, March 19.—The Earl of Carnarvon, who has been supervising the excavations at the tomb of King Tutankhamen, is suffering severely from blood-poisoning, presumably the result of an insect bite.

POINTS OUT CANADA'S EEDS.

Canadian Press Despatch.
Ottawa, March 19.—That Canada's three great needs are a proper and sane nationalism, a sane internationalism and a feeling of responsibility, was the declaration of A. R. McMaster, M. P. for Bromie, speaking last night in St. Paul's Methodist Church.

WARNS TWO YOUTHS
FOR ROWDY CONDUCT

Boys Kicked Tin Can Down Road Early on Sunday Morning.

Two youths were enjoying themselves immensely early Sunday morning by kicking a tin can along London's paved thoroughfares. The two boys had the town all to themselves, they thought, but they failed to reckon with irate citizens who were awakened from their peaceful sleep by this unseemly disturbance. Soon an officer of the law was trailing the pair. This morning in police court they were charged with being disorderly. They were allowed to go with a warning.

Fred Stubbins and Samuel Hayes admitted they had trespassed on C. P. R. property, and were fined \$10 and costs. Three drunks paid the same penalty.

William Scott, arrested a week ago for trespassing on the G. T. R., is now in the jail physician's claims. Scott's name was on the docket this morning, but he was remanded for another week to permit further investigation of his case.

RUSSIANS TIGHTEN
MONOPOLY IN TRADE

Council of Labor and Defence Approves Decree of Leonid Krassin.

Associated Press Despatch.
Moscow, March 19.—For the purpose of strengthening the state monopoly in foreign trade, the council of labor and defence has approved and sent to the council of commissaries the draft of a decree advocating the tightening of the control already exercised.

The proposed decree limits to certain co-operative organizations and to fourteen government trusts or departments the right to buy and sell abroad. Even these preferred organizations must work in conjunction with the commissaries of foreign trade. The measure provides that any foreign country already operating in Russia must obtain permission to do business from the concessions committee and the foreign trade department within one month or be liquidated.

FOREIGNERS MUST PAY
SAME TAXES AS RUSSIANS

Associated Press Despatch.
Moscow, March 19.—The government has decreed that all foreigners residing in Russia, with the exception of diplomats, consular officers and persons who have made special arrangements through their connections with a concession, are subject to the same taxes that are levied on the Russians. The present income tax takes 80 per cent of all salaries of more than \$100 monthly.

NOBODY SERIOUSLY HURT
WHEN TRAIN DERAILED

Canadian Press Despatch.
Winnipeg, Man., March 19.—Owing to a derailment of the Canadian Pacific Railway train No. 1, due to arrive from Montreal at Winnipeg at 8:45 o'clock last evening, it did not reach the city until 1 o'clock this morning.

The derailment was caused by a broken rail about four miles east of Whittemouth. Nobody was seriously injured.

POINTS OUT CANADA'S EEDS.

Canadian Press Despatch.
Ottawa, March 19.—That Canada's three great needs are a proper and sane nationalism, a sane internationalism and a feeling of responsibility, was the declaration of A. R. McMaster, M. P. for Bromie, speaking last night in St. Paul's Methodist Church.



SOME OF THE OFFICIALS FIGURING IN C. N. R. REORGANIZATION.
Left to right, J. C. Garden, who becomes general superintendent of motive power in the central region; F. L. C. Bond, becomes chief engineer, central region; R. H. Fish is general superintendent of the central region; H. E. Whittenberger is manager at Detroit.

Police Spread Their Net
For Slayer of "Butterfly"

Stories Told by Alleged Wealthy Suitor of the Slain Girl Prove Faulty When Subjected to Analysis.

Special to The Advertiser.

New York, March 19.—A member of the Philadelphia and New York underworld who preyed upon women—drugged them and robbed them of their jewels—was hunted by the police seeking the slayer of Dorothy King, "white light butterfly," today. Acting District Attorney Pecora declared that the same man was wanted in connection with other similar crimes, including a theft of \$30,000 worth of gems from a New York woman.

As the search for this suspect started, witnesses questioned by Pecora and Inspector Coughlin of the police made statements that deepened the mystery of the killing. John Thomas, elevator boy at Miss King's apartment house, denied the story of the mysterious "Mr. Marshall" millionaire, that the wealthy suitor of the girl went down the elevator upon leaving the apartment the night before she was found dead.

Thomas said he took Marshall to the apartment, but the latter did not return via his elevator.

Marshall told Pecora that he left by the elevator and that Miss King was in good spirits at the time. Following Marshall's appearance at the district attorney's office Pecora, exonerated him from suspicion and described the millionaire as a "married, tired business man who was taking a flyer in romance."

Marshall, Pecora said, admitted he had visited the "butterfly" about ten times a year and had given her about \$10,000 worth of jewels.

The case was further entangled by police information that the slain girl shortly before her death visited the apartment of an admirer.

The body of Miss King, also known as Kennan, was removed from her mother's apartment to a cemetery yesterday. There were several large floral pieces, untaged. "Marshall" was reported to have sent one of them.

MAY SUGGEST CALLING
NATIONS CONFERENCE

International Congress of Chamber of Commerce Opens at Rome.

Associated Press Despatch.
Rome, March 19.—Negotiations among the various delegations to the second Congress of International Chambers of Commerce, suggest that the question of calling a conference of nations to discuss reparations, the stabilizing of exchange, the restoration of international credits and inter-allied credits, may come before the delegates. It is understood that according to the present plan a resolution, favoring such a meeting, will be presented by the United States delegation in the finance section of the congress. This resolution, it is said, will be supported by the representatives of other countries.

Premier Mussolini, speaking at the formal opening of the congress yesterday, said he was convinced "that a government which wants quickly to uplift its own people from the after-effects of the war must give free play to private enterprise and forego any measure of state control or state paternalism, which may perhaps satisfy the demagogue."

Pay 10,000 Marks
For Half Soles

Amounts to Half Month's Salary of Average Domestic.

Associated Press Despatch.
Berlin, March 19.—It costs 10,000 marks to have half soles nailed on shoes in Germany now, because of the extremely high price of leather. This is about half the monthly salary of the average domestic servant. Consequently, it is frequently part of the agreement with servants that shoe soles are to be supplied by their employers.

JUDGE WILL NOT QUASH
CHARGES AGAINST HART

Associated Press Despatch.
New York, March 19.—Federal Judge Winslow today denied a general motion to quash all indictments against Harold L. Hart, former state prohibition director, and fifteen other individuals charged with conspiracy to defraud the government through violation of the Volstead act. The trial, which was interrupted last week, will be resumed tomorrow.

GERMANY'S FLOATING DEBT
SHOWS STEADY INCREASE

Associated Press Despatch.
Berlin, March 19.—Germany's floating debt increased during the first ten days of March by 757,000,000 marks, raising a total of 4,345,000,000 marks.

The occupation of the Ruhr was largely responsible for the remarkably steady increase, says a statement issued by the finance ministry. The statements say that as a result of the occupation the railroad revenue fell off extraordinarily, obliging the roads to call upon the federal treasury for large drafts.

F. C. WANLESS CHOSEN
AS BROTHERHOOD HEAD

Elected President of Askin St. Methodist Church Organization at Annual Meeting.

Associated Press Despatch.

London, March 19.—Arthur Berriedale Keith, barrister and regius professor of Sanskrit and comparative philology at Edinburgh University, who was connected with the Alaska boundary arbitration and has had a prominent part in several imperial conferences, has written to the Times with reference to the recent signing of the fisheries treaty between Canada and the United States at Washington by a member of the Canadian Government.

"As the prime minister of the Australian commonwealth, Hon. Stanley M. Bruce appears to share the general belief that Canada concluded the treaty with the United States independently of Great Britain," Prof. Keith says. "It is worth while pointing out the inaccuracy involved in this conception and the proper position of the dominions with regard to international affairs." He thereupon proceeds to describe three modes in which, broadly speaking, a dominion can act in these waters.

In the first place, Prof. Keith cites as an illustration that the dominion ministers may negotiate and arrive at an agreement direct with the foreign government, the instance of the arrangement between the United States and Canada in 1911 regarding trade. "Such agreements are not treaties," he says. "Most important of all, they do not impose any obligation on the imperial government, and the crown of them is no ground for diplomatic representation, as is fully recognized both by Canada and the United States."

Prof. Keith says in the second place: "As a member of the League of Nations, Canada has in all matters dealt with by the league an international status, whose full effect has still to be defined by practice."

As to the third mode in which a dominion may negotiate with a foreign country, Prof. Keith says: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

F. C. WANLESS CHOSEN
AS BROTHERHOOD HEAD

Elected President of Askin St. Methodist Church Organization at Annual Meeting.

Associated Press Despatch.

London, March 19.—Arthur Berriedale Keith, barrister and regius professor of Sanskrit and comparative philology at Edinburgh University, who was connected with the Alaska boundary arbitration and has had a prominent part in several imperial conferences, has written to the Times with reference to the recent signing of the fisheries treaty between Canada and the United States at Washington by a member of the Canadian Government.

"As the prime minister of the Australian commonwealth, Hon. Stanley M. Bruce appears to share the general belief that Canada concluded the treaty with the United States independently of Great Britain," Prof. Keith says. "It is worth while pointing out the inaccuracy involved in this conception and the proper position of the dominions with regard to international affairs." He thereupon proceeds to describe three modes in which, broadly speaking, a dominion can act in these waters.

In the first place, Prof. Keith cites as an illustration that the dominion ministers may negotiate and arrive at an agreement direct with the foreign government, the instance of the arrangement between the United States and Canada in 1911 regarding trade. "Such agreements are not treaties," he says. "Most important of all, they do not impose any obligation on the imperial government, and the crown of them is no ground for diplomatic representation, as is fully recognized both by Canada and the United States."

Prof. Keith says in the second place: "As a member of the League of Nations, Canada has in all matters dealt with by the league an international status, whose full effect has still to be defined by practice."

As to the third mode in which a dominion may negotiate with a foreign country, Prof. Keith says: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority of the crown."

Prof. Keith says in the third place: "The fisheries treaty differs essentially from the agreement cited regarding trade between the United States and Canada. It is a complete treaty engagement, binding on the imperial government and the whole Empire, though its operation is local. It, therefore, was conducted in the only manner possible, namely, under the authority