

2. HOW VALID ARE THE CHOICES OF PROJECTS UNDER THE TREATY? . . . . .	Page 61
(1) The General Position of Canada in Proposing the Canadian Treaty Projects in the Negotiations . . . . .	62
(a) Arrow Lakes Storage . . . . .	62
(i) Benefit-Cost Ratio . . . . .	62
(ii) Timing of Construction and Physical Availability . . . . .	62
(iii) Flexibility of Operation . . . . .	63
(iv) Location . . . . .	64
(b) Duncan Lake Storage . . . . .	65
(c) Mica Creek Storage . . . . .	65
(d) The East Kootenay Projects . . . . .	66
(2) Economic and Engineering Considerations . . . . .	69
(a) Arrow Lakes Storage . . . . .	69
(b) Duncan Lake Storage . . . . .	73
(c) Mica Creek Storage . . . . .	75
(d) The East Kootenay Projects . . . . .	77
3. HOW VALID WERE THE TREATY PROJECT CHOICES? - A CONCLUSION . . . . .	79

## CHAPTER V

### IS THE TREATY FAIR TO CANADA?

1. WHAT ARE THE BENEFITS OF THE COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT? WERE THEY FAIRLY EVALUATED? . . . . .	82
(1) Downstream Power Benefits . . . . .	82
(a) Dependable Hydroelectric Capacity . . . . .	82
(b) Average Annual Usable Energy . . . . .	84
(2) Flood Control Benefits . . . . .	85
2. WHAT IS THE VALUE TO THE UNITED STATES OF THEIR HALF OF THE DOWNSTREAM BENEFITS? . . . . .	90
(1) Flood Control Benefits . . . . .	90
(2) Power Benefits . . . . .	92
3. WHAT IS THE VALUE TO THE UNITED STATES OF THE POWER BENEFITS PURCHASED? . . . . .	93
4. WHAT COSTS DOES CANADA INCUR? . . . . .	94