

(4) Because Cokely was aware of the boundary as claimed by the Indians and that he established the east boundary of the reserve according to his field notes and plan, consistent with the position of the west boundary of Section 3.

(5) Because Jemmett's survey closed within 63 links, whereas if the position as claimed by the Indians at time of Cokely's survey was accepted it would create a closing error in the neighbourhood of about 5.80 chains when based on Jemmett's surveys.

(6) Because Section 3 has been Crown Granted with buildings of some value thereon which would no doubt end in litigation if the Government undertook to possess the land involved.

(7) Because Cokely's closing error in his survey was only 3 or 4 links.

Mr. D.M. MacKay may be aware of reasons unknown to this office why a resurvey is necessary and it is suggested that a copy of this memorandum be sent to him with the request that he furnish the following information:

- (1) Any reasons unknown to this Branch why the survey should be made.
- (2) Value per acre of the land involved.
- (3) Value of buildings, if any, on the lands involved, which lie between Cokely's line for the east boundary of the Reserve and the east boundary if defined by the monument shown on Cokely's plan, that the Indians claim is the southeast corner of the reserve.

Signed F. H. Peters

F.H. Peters,
Surveyor General, and
Chief, Hydrographic Service.

JDB:AG

INDIAN AFFAIRS
AFFAIRES INDIENNES

RG 10, C-II-2, vol. 11052
File/dossier 33/6
pt 2

National Archives of Canada
Archives nationales du Canada

001705