

4th. That there is reason to believe that corrupt practices extensively prevailed at the said Election.

5th. That it was further determined that each party should pay their own costs.

6th. We append hereto a copy of the notes of evidence taken at the trial before Mr. Justice Mackay.

F. W. TORRANCE,
J. U. BEAUDRY,
F. G. JOHNSON,
JJ.

Montreal, 7th November, 1874.

EAST NORTHUMBERLAND CONTROVERTED ELECTION.

Toronto, November 7th, 1874.

SIR,—I have the honor to report to you that the trial of the Petition in the matter of the Controverted Election for the Electoral Division of *East Northumberland* took place before me at *Cobourg*, on Tuesday, October 27th, 1874;

That by my decision *James Lyons Biggar*, Esquire, the Respondent, was not duly elected or returned, and that his Election was void;

That no corrupt practice was proved to have been committed with the knowledge or consent of the Respondent;

That corrupt practices did not extensively prevail at said Election;

That the Respondent shall pay the Petitioners costs, save and except any costs incurred in obtaining, amending, briefing or placing the particulars on the Record, which are disallowed.

I enclose herewith a copy of my notes of the evidence and finding.

I have the honor to remain, Sir,

Your obedient servant,

JOHN H. HAGARTY,

Chief Justice H. M. Court of Common Pleas for *Ontario*.

To the Honorable the Speaker
of the House of Commons.

RICHMOND AND WOLFE CONTROVERTED ELECTION.

In the Election Court.

(The Contested Elections Act, 1873.)

DOMINION OF CANADA, }
Province of Quebec, *Montreal* Division. }

Electoral District of the United Counties of Richmond and Wolfe.

WILLIAM HOSTE WEBB,

Petitioner,

AND

The Honorable HENRY AYLMER, the Younger,

Respondent.

I, the Judge assigned to preside at and take the trial of the Election Petition in this case, commenced proceedings as such Judge, sitting in open Court at *Richmond*,