

# A Life Saving Drink

It is a fact that a cup of Hot Bovril will ward off a serious disease.

When you are exhausted or run down, you are open to the attack of many ills.

'A cup of Hot Bovril supplies immediate strength and puts the system in condition to resist any prevalent sickness.

BOVRIL is the most palatable of beverages, for all that is good in prime Beef is in

BOVRIL

NO RIGHT TO INTERFERE WITH POWER PROJECT

Beardmore and Smith Actions to Stop Hydro Scheme Get Judicial\* Kneckout.

### ANNOUNCEMENTS.

Osgoode Hall, Dec. 16 Judges' chambers will be held on Fr. day, 17th inst., at 11 a.m.

nptory list for divisional cour day, 17th inst., at 11 a.m.: nd v. Canadian Guardian. dall v. Clarke. nann v. Green Re Perkins and Dowling.

Jury County Court. hat for jury efore Judge Morgan, Friday, at city hall, at 10 a.m.: v. Farmers' Bank

William Charles Mikel (Belleville) presented his patent, appointing him a king's counsel, and was called within

U. S. in T Red. R. 723 (1890). The

Divisional Court. / Chancellor, Magee, Latchford, J.

to the father. Upon the of the court, and probably eft to the risk and chances of an in The costs will come out of the

wers in passing the bylaw in and in what it thought the

way to se-

#### THE TORONTO WORLD

**COULD HAVE** 

BOUGHT

**CROWN RESERVE** 

## FOR 15 CENTS PER SHARE

HOW MANY people do you hear make REMARKS SIMILAR TO THIS in speaking of some of the first Companies to begin operating in the Cobalt district? HOW MANY PEOPLE are there in this country WHO HAD THE CHANCE to get in ON THE GROUND FLOOR when propositions WERE FIRST OFFERED to the investing public in the now famous Cobalt district?

**DECEMBER 17 1909** 

C()

Cobal

**Buoyant** Att

The Cobalt nother move

nder the im

ha'f dozen

upward mov

their advanc

In the low as concent

hich acted

imes. After

the shares s

acted to 21 closing bids The royal buoyant. Ci

onally low up four po ancy Hele

Of the oth

ost of the La Rose

ated bette

nt and de sing at

with 1

cial circles r market is lik

Toronto St

r Bar r Leaf

ts Mines

5, 1000 at 45, Cobalt Lake Little Nipis 000 at 24, 500

80 at 21. Coniagas—10 Foster—1000 Timiskaming

City of Cob

Beaver-500

Peterson-10 Little Nipis McKindey-2

Standard Sto

Amalgamated Beaver Cons Big Six ...... Black Mines Buffalo Jhambers - F Sity of Coba Cobalt Centry Cobalt Lake Conlaras

Coniagas Consolidated Crown Reser Foster Gitford

Peterson Lal Right-of-Way Rochester Silver Leaf

Silver Bar Silver Quec

Little Nipis

23%, 500 at : 23, 500 at : 23, 500 at : 21%, 500 at : at : 21%, 500 at :

300 at 22, 1000 500 at 22, 164 at 22, 2000 at at 2134, 1000 500 at 2134.

223, 1000 at City of Col at 55, 1000 at 500 at 55%, 50

Beaver C 35%, 200 at 3 Peterson

00 at 24%

at 161/2. Timiskami at 72, 200 at Silver Que

Freat No it 17%. 100

Cetalt Nancy He

North Star Green-Meel Chambers-1

Watts

tic inte

Kerr Lake d

on La

Spe broader than

An

In the last two months I have been offering shares for sale in the CALIFORNIA ALBERTA OIL COMPANY and I have made it my aim all through NEVER TO MAKE STATEMENTS about what WAS TO BE DONE, but always to give you ACTUAL FACTS concerning WHAT WAS BEING DONE. Owing to news received from the district north of Edmonton, the directors of the CALI-FORNIA-ALBERTA OIL COMPANY, at a meeting held the first week of this month, decided to ORDER MA-CHINERY and to HAVE IT SHIPPED IMMEDIATELY up to their property and WORK PUSHED ON instead of waiting until next spring as was THEIR ORIGINAL IN-TENTION, and a complete drilling outfit capable of going 3,500 feet has been ordered from the Western Engineering Company (Canadian Agents of the National Oil Supply Company, U.S.A.) In consequence of THE REMARKABLE DEVELOP-MENTS in the field WITHIN THE LAST FEW WEEKS the price of this stock HAS BEEN RAISED from 15c to 25c and FROM NOW ON you will see a RAPID RISE in the price of these shares. When THEY ARE SELLING at \$1.00 OR MORE are YOU going to be ONE OF THOSE who will say "I COULD HAVE BOUGHT THAT STOCK WHEN IT WAS 25c A SHARE"?

court, before Judge Denton, Friday, Dec. 17, at city hall, at 10.30 a.m.: Radford v. Miln-Bingham Print

Radford v. Miln-Bingham 39. Armstrong v. Manson,

40. McConkey v. Trusts - and Guar 41. Croft v. Fullerton.

Non-Jury Assize Court. Persemptory list for non-jury assize court, Friday, Dec. 17, at city hall, at 10:30 a.m.:

121. Colonial v. Mitchell (continued) Master's Chambers.

sefore Cartwright, K.C., Master.

Ferguson v. Ferguson-M. Macdon-ald, for plaintiff, moved for a final order of foreclosure. Order made. Kyle v. Small No. 1-F. Aylesworth,

for defendant, moved to set aside fault judgment, and to be allowed in to defend. H. C. Macdonald, for plain-tiff, contra. On defendant's undertak-ing to plead on 21st inst., and to go to trial at jury sittings commencing Jan. 10 next. In default the case to be en-tered for assessment of damages at such sittings. Cost of motion and all costs lost or occasioned by default of defendant to be to plaintiff in any

event. National Trust Co. v. Miller and Schmidt v. Miller. W. C. Hall, for de-fendant, Miller, in each case, moved to set aside order giving directions for trial of third party issue made by the local judge, and validating order for issue of third party notice. J. A. Mac-intoch for plaintiff in first action. F. intosh, for plaintiff in first action. F. Aylesworth, for defendants, the construction company, in each case. W. H. Wallbridge, for plaintiff in second action. Order made in first action setting aside third party notice and all subsequent proceedings. Costs in any event to plaintiff and other defend-ants. In the second action order made setting aside order for directions without costs, and making the order now. Costs to plaintiffs in any event of this motion as against defendant Miller. As between defendants, no costs of motion and order set aside. Costs of the motion and order for directions, now made to be in the third party pro.ceedings.

Pringle v. Hutson-C. B. Nasmith.for Harry Hutson, moved for an order for payment out of money in court as se-curity for costs of appeal. Langs (Ar-noldi & G.), for plaintiff, contra. Re-

### Single Court. Before the Chancellor.

Re Carter Estate-W. E. Middletoe, K.C., for executors of will of James North Carter and three beneficiaries, on motion for construction of will. C. A. Moss, for Mrs. Jennie Irwin. Mc-Gregor Young, K.C., for M. B. Shannon, surrogate guardian, and mother of claimant. F. W. Harcourt, K.C., for infant claimant. Raymond Stuart Car-ter, contra. Judgment: The question of construction on this will is one construction on this will is one of nicety as well as of difficulty from the state of the authorities which the scant are conflicting. It is admitted that the son Henry was advanced to the extent of abaut \$4000 in his and his fa-ther's lifetime, and that it was agreed between them in writing that those advances were to be deducted from Henry's share of the father's estate. The other children also received ad-vances on the same terms. The infaint claims to take the share of the estate which the father would have taken,

Before Falcombridge, C.J., Britton, J., Sutherland, J. Township of Hay v. Bissonnette.-W. Proudfoot, K.C., for defendants, ap-C., for plaintiff, on motion on furth ns, states that settlement about concluded and asks enlargement of mo-tion. R. S. Robertson (Stratford), for defendant. Enlarged until Jan. 12,

Before Meredith, C.J.

ing the advan

Proudfoot, K.C., for defendants, ap-pellants. M. G. Cameron, K.C., for plaintiff, respondent. An appeal from the judgment of Clute, J., of 19th Jung, 1909 (14 O. W. R. 279), Judgment: Me think the judgment should be affirmed. The council seems to have acted with-in its powers in passing the forder. McWilliams v. Whalen-T. W. W. Evans (Orillia), for plaintiff, moved for judgment on F.D. No one contra. The notice of motion having by error been in its powers in given before the report, was confirm-ed, the motion is enlarged for one week and new notice to be given.

best and most economical oure the end sought by the and establishment of the his Wills v. City of Belleville-W. S. Morden (Belleville) and M. Wright attack on the bylaw is an arter the state first mentioned in statement of de-dence, seven months after bylaw had to knowledge of defendants been pass-(Belleville), for plaintiff, on motion to restrain defendants from proceeding with an election of a municipal council for the City of Belleville for the year to knowledge of defendants been pass-cd. Parties to whom lands had, to the knowledge of the defendants, been conveyed according to said plan, and with reference to said streets and whose rights might be affected by a declaration that the bylaw opening up and establishing said highways was invalid, were not notified of the ques-tion raised by the defendants, nor add-ed as parties. Under these circum-stances the defendants should not be successfully heard in opposition to the by-law. Appeal dismissed with costs: 1910, consisting of a mayor and two aldermen only for each of the seven wards of said city, and for an order of mandamus requiring defendants to pro-ceed with the election of a council con-

sisting of a mayor and ten aldermen, pursuant to bylaw No. 908 of the mu-nicipal council of said city, passed Sept. 12, 1398. W. C. Mikel, K.C., for defendant, contra. By consent of counsel, motion turned into motion on a special successfully heard in opposition to the by-law. Appeal dismissed with costs. Before Mulock, C.J., Clute, J., Suther-land, J. Pulling v. Williscroft.-F. Ayles-worth, for plaintiff, moved to quash the appeal of defendant from the county court of Essex, or that the defendant give security for the amount of the case, the terms of which have been agreed upon by counsel. The answer to the first question in special case for opinion of court "whether the council

of said city shall consist of a mayor and aldermen to be elected by general and aldermen to be elected by general vote under bylaw No. 908," is answer-ed in the negative. The second ques-tion, "whether the council of said city shall consist of a mayor and three aldermen from each ward," is answer-ed in the affirmative, and the third question, "whether the council of said city shall consist of a meavor and two give security for the amount of the plaintiff's judgment recovered herain. R. W. Macpherson, for defendant, ask-ed enlargement. Enlarged until 17th inst., as requested. inst., as requested. Maynard v. Stauffer.-W. E. Middle-ton, K.C., for the defendant, The World Newspaper Company, on appeal from the judgment of Britton, J., of 14th October, 1909. K. F. Mackenzie, for defendant, Stauffer W. Proudfoot, for pleintiff contra Argument of appeal city shall consist of a mayor and two aldermen from each ward," is answer-ed in the negative. No order as to costs

defendant, Stauffer W. Proudfoot, for plaintiff, contra. Argument of appeal resumed from yesterday and conclud-ed. Appeal dismissed with costs. Pearlman v. Sutcliffe.-H. Cassels, K.C., for plaintiff, appealed from the decision of the junior judge of the Courity of Victoria of 8th November, 1909. R. J. McLaughlin, K.C., for de-fendant, contra. Plaintiff such to re-cover balance alleged to be due on a promissory note given by defendant to plaintiff, agent of the Great West Life Insurance Company, as premium on a Lamont v. Wenger-G. H. Watson, K.C., and A. G. Campbell (Harriston), for defendant, appealed from the report of the local master at Woodstock, of Sept. 10, 1909. J. G. Wallace K.C., for plaintiff, contra. On a former hearing of part of this appeal the question of the principle on which the damages were assessed and judgment was given on that point. Defendants now resume their appeal on the findings as to the actual value of the creameries. Not concluded.

#### Trial.

plaintin, agent of the Great west late Insurance Company, as premium on a policy for \$10,000, on the life of de-fendant. The policy issued was for a lesser amount, viz., \$7452, to be paid on death or a larger amount if paid in instalments as provided in the appli-Before The Chancellor. Before The Chancellor. Beardmore v. City of Toronto.-E. F. R. Johnston, K.C., and H. O'Brien, K. C., for the plaintiff. H. L. Drayton, K.C., and H. Howlit, for defendants, J. R. Cartwright, K.C., for the attor-hey-general. Judgment: The litigation has arisen out of a dispute as to the best method of lighting the streets and houses of the City of Toronto Owing in instalments as provided in the appli-cation, to which the defendant objected. At the trial the action was dismission with costs. Plaintin now appeals from that judgment. Not concluded.

A New Invention. One of the drawbacks of playor-

houses of the City of Toronto. Owing to recent discoveries, the ability to pianos has been that one could not very well play them softly enough for ac transmit electrical currents over long distances with efficient and econocompanying singers, particularly in the distances with efficient and econo-mic results has been demonstrated. Therefore, public attention has been drawn to the feasibility and degrabi-lity of distributing supplies of light and heat and power generated at Nia-gara Falls for municipaj use as a measure of public utility. The city could not undertake the task to draw elec-

"TO-MORROW didst thou say?

Methought I heard Horatio say 'TO-MORROW.' 'Tis a period NOWHERE TO BE FOUND in all the registers of Except perchance in the FOOL'S CALENDAR." (time)

### DO IT NOW

Buy while I am offering a limited number of these shares at 25c per share. In a few days the price will be raised to 40c. You can buy now-200 shares for \$50.00, pay \$12.50 down and \$12.50 per month. 500 shares for \$125.00, pay \$31.25 down and \$31.25 per month. 1000 shares for \$250.00, pay \$62.50 down and \$62.50 per month.

Par value \$1.00, fully paid and non-assessable.

I do not ask you to take my word on the conditions and indications in the territory, but to CAREFULLY CONSIDER the evidence given before the Senate of Canada by experts who had ABSOLUTELY NOTHING TO GAIN. (Copies of this will be sent on application together with a prospectus.) Their evidence can be relied upon and it all points to the one direction. THAT THERE IS UNDOUBTEDLY IN NORTHERN AL-BERTA THE GREATEST OIL FIELD IN THE WORLD.

There is no doubt in the least that shares will advance RAPIDLY IN PRICE from now on and there will be HUGE RETURNS for those who get in on the ground floor.

Orders Executed for COBALT STOCKS Country orders will receive prompt attention.	Henshaw Maddock,	Branch Office, 532 Granville St
	Phone Main 6339.	Vancouver.

Stock Broker, Suite 9, 10, 11, 205 Yonge St., Toronto.