

from attempting a Reply. And indeed to answer him methodically is almost impossible without tiring the Reader as well as my self ; for he has brought no less than six and twenty scattered Heads of Accusations against me. *viz. Inconsistencies, Neglect, Evasions, Ignorance, Artifice, Folly, Contradictions, Corruption, Sneering, Falsities, Absurdities, Falsifications of Facts, Concealments, Fictions, Retraction, Tampering with Witnesses, wilful Omission, playing double, Imposition on the Public, Falacy, false Reasoning, Forgery, Cant, Roguery, Frauds, Incoherencies, Cruelty, and acting contrary to Instructions.* A less angry or less artful Gentleman, would have abridged these and thrown out the Contradictions and synonymous Terms visible in this heavy Charge : To support which, he, through Forgetfulness, denies Facts ; lays great Stress on the Evidence of three Persons, to whom he acknowledges his having made tempting Offers to injure me ; upon Letters which I wrote, he knows, and will appear on Hear-say ; upon his own Word, contrary to Affidavits. Farther, he taxes Men of a Character with Evasions, palliating and Perjury ; builds upon his own Surmizes and Assertions, tho' groundless, and from both draws his Conclusions ; relies upon an imperfect Logg ; gives Hear-say for Evidence, which no Court will allow : Leans upon exploded Accounts, Fictions, chimerical Descriptions, imaginary Openings, and falacious Reasoning, &c. &c. &c. All this I hope to prove in the Course of these Papers, and if so, his heavy Charge against me falls to the Ground.

The Inconsistency he charges me with in Page 10th, I have already evinced, both from Probability, and from my having acquainted Sir *Bibye Lake* (as I already said) lies at his Door ; and his Reasoning upon it, which he appeals to the Public, idle, disingenuous, or the Consequence of his Defect of Memory, as I rather believe. As to his Proof from my Letter N^o 8. in the Appendix to his Remarks,