

Q. And after having seized those cheques from you, he handed over those cheques to you and you had them on your person while on board the train?—
 A. No sir. I had two or three cheques on my person, of which one was for \$1,000, made out to the order of Bisailon. I am not certain, but I am under the impression that it was the cheque you have here. I am telling you frankly. When I reached Quebec the search was made.

Q. Was that search made at your home?—A. Yes, at my home, and at the garage which I conducted, and all the papers were seized in my room at the garage, and at my home; all the papers which could be located at both places.

Q. That was the Atwater garage?—A. Yes, sir.

Q. That is where the cheques in question, of which you are speaking now, were found?—A. Yes.

Q. Where are those cheques?—A. When I was acquitted—I believe I was acquitted—

Q. Those cheques were returned to you?—A. They were returned to me.

Q. Where are those cheques?—A. I had had enough trouble with them, I did not keep them.

Q. Did you destroy them?—A. Yes, sir.

Q. How many cheques were there altogether?—A. There were a few of them; there must have been about 75.

Q. Were there other cheques to the order of Giroux?—A. There might have been a couple of cheques; small matters of, say, \$70.

Q. Were not cheques payable regularly to Giroux?—A. No, sir.

Q. Did you not sign cheques in favour of Giroux so that he would close his eyes to your absence from the service?—A. No, sir.

Q. You swear that?—A. Yes, sir, I swear it.

Q. How did it happen that you had this cheque and another cheque for \$1,000, to the order of Bisailon, in your pocket while you were on the train? Why did you remove those cheques from the bundle of cheques which the bank handed to you on that day—or, rather, I did not mean which the bank handed to you on that day—but why did you remove on that day, those two cheques from that bundle of the month's cheques returned to you by the bank?—A. I had on my person, in my pocket, a few papers which had been returned to me a short while previously by the Trustee in Bankruptcy, who had handled my bankruptcy.

Q. What bankruptcy?—A. My own bankruptcy. I, personally, went into bankruptcy.

Q. Was that the bankruptcy of the garage?—A. No, it was the bankruptcy of Ludger Brien, personally.

Q. Carrying on business in what manner?—A. When the U.S. failed, I was responsible for the endorsement and other matters. I could not meet my obligations. Then I went into bankruptcy personally. That was when all my goods and property were taken from me, and the papers were in the hands of the Trustee in Bankruptcy. He had returned a few papers to me a short while previously, papers which he no longer required, and that is why I had these papers in my pocket. I did not carry these papers on my person with the intention of using them against anybody.

Q. How does it occur that the Trustee in Bankruptcy returned two cheques to you?—A. I did not state that he only returned two cheques to me, but several papers; that was not the only thing that was in my pocket.

Q. The Trustee in Bankruptcy must have returned all the cheques to you if he took all the cheques.—A. He did not have the other cheques.

Q. Then he only had those two cheques?—A. Yes; I did not give the other cheques; these cheques happened to be mixed with my personal papers, which I had brought back from the Trustee.