# S.O. 43

good faith, and further instruct his officials to proceed forthwith in ending the stalemate with Prince Rupert Grain Terminal Consortium so that further tenders can be called and the project be proceeded with.

Madam Speaker: Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

#### THE CONSTITUTION

BROADCASTING OF PARTY LEADERS' SPEECHES ON CONSTITUTIONAL RESOLUTION—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. David Berger (Laurier): Madam Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity. In view of the fact that on Monday, March 23, the Prime Minister (Mr. Trudeau) made one of the most important speeches in Canadian history and that neither CBC, CTV nor Tele Metropole in Quebec broadcast this historic event, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Kitchener (Mr. Lang):

That the television networks fulfil their responsibility of keeping the Canadian public informed, particularly on matters of fundamental importance to our nation, by rebroadcasting the Prime Minister's speech as well as those of the opposition leaders.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Clark: Settle the strike.

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Madam Speaker: Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

### **INCOME TAX**

SUGGESTED INCREASE IN EDUCATION DEDUCTION—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Benno Friesen (Surrey-White Rock-North Delta): Madam Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43. Whereas the education deduction for full-time post-secondary school students of \$50 a month, first introduced in 1972, has never been increased, and in view of the fact that since that time the cost of living has almost doubled, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Brampton-Georgetown (Mr. McDermid):

That as a result of the fact that tuition fees, accommodation and other expenses for students have almost doubled since 1972, that this House recognize that students are as much affected by inflation as are other groups by urging the government to table a ways and means motion which would increase the present

maximum deduction of \$50 per month to a level more in line with the harsh realities of the croded purchasing power of the dollar.

Madam Speaker: Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

• (1410)

## MARINE TRANSPORT

FUEL SURCHARGE EXEMPTION—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. J. M. Forrestall (Dartmouth-Halifax East): Madam Speaker, I am pleased to see the Minister of Transport in his seat. Under the provisions of Standing Order 43, I rise to move the following motion.

Failure of the government to move in extending the fuel surcharge exemption to all Canadian flagships operating out of Canadian ports will add some \$30 million to the operational costs of those fleets and thus seriously erode their competitive position offshore, as well as creating an unacceptable administrative burden for the government with respect to policing it. I move, seconded by the hon. member for Vegreville (Mr. Mazankowski):

That this House urges the government to extend its exemption on fuel surcharges to all Canadian flagships so as to bring into line all of the transportation modes, not later than March 31, 1981.

Madam Speaker: Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS**

ALICE ARM, B.C.—CALL FOR REVOCATION OF AMAX SPECIAL DUMPING PERMIT—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Edward Broadbent (Oshawa): Madam Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43, to move a motion.

Considering that the Liberal government issued a dumping permit by order in council to Amax Limited, in the middle of the 1979 federal election, and considering this action is opposed by the Nishgas and over 50 local groups and Canadians from coast to coast, on solid scientific and medical grounds, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Skeena (Mr. Fulton):

That this House calls for the revocation of the special dumping permit to Amax until such time as a full public inquiry has heard evidence and ruled on the health and environmental implications of this project.

Madam Speaker: Is there unanimous consent for this motion?