

Criminal Code

regard, I would like to quote an article published in the newspaper *L'Action* of Wednesday, May 22, 1968:

Thanks to the loan of \$162 million credit will become easier to get—

And we are told about the source of this credit granted to us last year.

The first of two new bond issues has been announced last week. It is a matter of \$62 million issued in Western Germany marks and it is the Deutsche Bank, a German commercial bank, which will negotiate the loan.

England and Canada, which won the war by bombing Germans, are today kneeling down before them. The conquerors bend the knee before the vanquished. It is not very clever but those are facts.

Therefore I think that the Minister of Justice should not be satisfied with imitating. Obviously, the adoption of the clause regarding homosexuality will help to reduce the number of births and if the mover of the previous bill, No. C-195, the hon. member for Mount Royal, the present Prime Minister (Mr. Trudeau), thought of annihilating the Canadian nation, the present Minister of Justice, after the manner of England, could improve the bill by moving an amendment promoting cannibalism.

In that connection, I should like to quote from a so-called honest newspaper reporting an event which took place in England recently.

Cannibalism had never before reached Great Britain. It is now an accomplished fact. That is at least the opinion of Scotland Yard inspectors who take their stand on actual cases. Yesterday, they found in a house in the north section of London, inhabited by—

—I will not mention whom—

—pâtés of human flesh, salted and potted leg. One foot was simmering in a pressure cooker; an eye had been thrown away in a garbage can.

Two persons were arrested and examined. The preserved human flesh was sent to Scotland Yard laboratory. A report will be sent to the Home Office.

● (4:50 p.m.)

Mr. Speaker, Canada was with England against Germany; it is on its side today, to borrow from the former enemy. I think the Prime Minister should not be satisfied with imitating England. He should move an amendment immediately to legalize cannibalism in Canada. It would be a real success. There would be no mistake possible; people would be destroying themselves much faster.

Mr. Speaker, paragraph (b) of subsection (1) of new section 149A deals with another

matter. It allows young people of 21 or more to commit certain acts. Now before introducing a bill limiting criminal offences to persons of 21 or over, the Minister of Justice should propose that legislation be passed to compel Canadian people to carry an identity card because in the province of Quebec, people are now exchanging and lending birth certificates. Young people act this way to sneak in liquor stores without trouble. That is why I say that as long as identity cards are not made compulsory in Canada, it will be childish to set age requirements for granting certain authorizations.

With the amendment regarding homosexuality, we can expect weddings. As there are several races in Canada, I think that the Minister of National Revenue (Mr. Benson) should make a statement in order to determine, in the case of weddings between persons of the same sex, in the case of a wedding for instance between an Englishman and a Frenchman, which one of them will be considered as the breadwinner, under the income tax act.

As to the clause of the bill dealing with abortion, previous speakers have eloquently dealt with it. Among them were fathers with 10 or 12 children. I recognize most humbly that it would be useless for me to try and deal with the same subject in the same capacity.

May I quote extracts of a report from the *Haut comité français de la population et de la famille* entitled: "The State face to abortion". I am quoting:

First of all the reader is impressed by the firm position taken on moral grounds.

But over and beyond all those empirical arguments, public Powers are basically facing an option of a moral order.

—among those rules stands respect for human life; now, abortion is unquestionably recognized to-day as the disruption of an autonomous human life.

And the report goes on to say:

Biology catches up today with the intuitions of Christian thought, the basis of our civilization. From the very beginning the Church considered abortion as homicide and nothing allows us today to think otherwise.

"To accept abortion is to accept the destruction of a child who, according to circumstances, has from 40 to 99.5 percent chances to be born normal. Would it not be better then to wait until it is born and to do away only with those children who are actually unsound? As this very idea is indefensible, it becomes clear that the motivation is inadequate. Society is oriented in the opposite direction: the progress of civilization led us to accept of the handicapped and misfits; we help them, seek their rehabilitation, their improvement, first from the human point of view, then from