


McFarlane, Thompson & Anderson, FREDERICTON, N. B.



Patentees of the
Celebrated...

DUNBAR SHINGLE MACHINE

Universally Admitted to be the
Best Shingle Machine made

Read the Following Testimonials:

CAMPBELLTON, N. B., February 13th, 1896.
MESSRS. McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON,
Gentlemen,—I have been from home and only received yours of 21st, this morning. My opinion of the Dunbar Machine is as follows: I have been using the Dunbar Shingle Machines of your manufacture for these past ten years, and have found them most satisfactory machines, in regard to quality of work; quantity of shingles that can be sawn, and freedom from repairs. I have compared your machine with other makes and prefer yours to any others. All experienced shingle sawyers prefer your machine to work on, to those of other makes. I have received to-day, a letter from Allston Cushing, asking my opinion as to your machine, and I shall write to him and strongly advise him to take your machine, (he writes in re Sumner Co. Mill). Yours truly, KILGOUR SHIVES.
We have since sold Sumner Co. the four machines referred to above.—McF. T. & A.

CAMPBELLTON, N. B., February 12th, 1896.
McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON,
Dear Sirs,—I want you to ship me two more of your Dunbar Shingle Machines this spring, which will make thirteen in my mill, have used nine of them for four years, and they have given first-class satisfaction. Three of these machines I run all the year. Have one of your large carriage machines cutting 18 inch shingles, and last July in 27 days cut 540,000 of 18 inch shingles on it, an average of 20,000 each day, and I have cut 27,000 on one of your machines in ten hours. I find no difficulty in getting sawyers to work when they know you use Dunbar machines.
Yours truly, A. E. ALEXANDER

ST. JOHN, N. B., February 12th, 1896.
MESSRS. McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON, Fredericton, N. B.
Dear Sirs,—In reply to your favor of 8th inst., I beg to say, that I have used the Dunbar Shingle Machines for the past ten years or more and they have given me every satisfaction. I use two of them alongside of two American machines for a time, and so had an excellent opportunity of judging of their merits, and as they proved to be the best I took the American machines out and replaced them with the Dunbar.
Yours truly, L. M. JEWETT.

JACQUET RIVER, N. B., February 14th, 1896.
MESSRS. McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON, Fredericton, N. B.
Dear Sirs,—I have been using the Dunbar Shingle Machines the past four years, am well satisfied with them and believe them to be the best made.
Yours truly, JNO. CULLIGAN.

NEWCASTLE, N. B., 14th Feb., 1896.
MESSRS. McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON, Fredericton.
Gentlemen,—The Dunbar Shingle machines, purchased from you in 1886 and 1887, have been in constant use during summer months since that date. They have given perfect satisfaction and now look as strong and fit for work as when first set-up, and to all appearance will be good for another ten years.
Yours truly, RICHARDS & HICKSON.

FREDERICTON, N. B., Feb. 13th, 1896.
MESSRS. McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON, Fredericton, N. B.
Gentlemen,—In reply to your enquiry, we have to say that we have been using the Dunbar Improved Shingle Mills, purchased from you for several years, to our entire satisfaction. We do not hesitate to recommend them to intending purchasers, as we believe they are the best machine made. They are easily kept in order; we have been using two of them seven years and they seem as good as new.
Yours truly, SIMMONS & BURPEE.

DRUMMOND, N. B., May 13th, 1896.
McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON, Fredericton, N. B.
Gentlemen,—Yours of the 21st came to hand a few days since, and in answer would say that we consider the Dunbar Shingle Machine the best in the market. We have used 4 or 5 different makes but find none to be as good as Dunbars.
Yours truly, STEVENS LUMBER CO., By J. S. Stevens.

CAMPBELLTON, N. B., 12th Feb., 1896.
MESSRS. McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON, Fredericton, N. B.
Dear Sirs,—In reply to yours of 8th inst., would say that I have three (3) Dunbar Shingle Machines running in my mill for the last four years and have found them very fine machines, and have not needed much repair. I consider them superior to any shingle machine I have ever used and can safely recommend them to any one requiring a shingle machine.
Yours truly, DAVID RICHARDS, Per F. S. Blair.

RIVER CHARLO, N. B., Feb. 13th, 1896.
McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON, Fredericton.
Gentlemen,—Your favor received. We have six Dunbar Shingle Machines which we have run seven years, and in that time have never had to put any repairs on them and they are still running in good order. We pronounce them to be the best machines we have ever seen for cutting shingles.
Yours truly, GRAY & LAWRENCE BROS. CO.

FREDERICTON, N. B., Feb. 14th, 1896.
MESSRS. McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON, Fredericton, N. B.
Sirs,—The Dunbar Shingle Machine manufactured by you is a thoroughly good and satisfactory machine. In comparison with other machines it is superior in the matter of construction, as regards getting out of repair and in even thickness of shingles made.
JOHN A. MORRISON.

BRIDGEWATER, NOVA SCOTIA, Feb. 14th, 1896.
MESSRS. McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON, Fredericton, N. B.
Dear Sirs,—We have yours of the 10th inst., requiring our opinion of the Dunbar Shingle Machine. The machine, "Dunbar," we bought from you as seen running the past five years, cutting pine and spruce shingles, and during this time has not lost one-half hour by break, or cost ten cents for repairs. It is heavy, strong and well put up, does good work, and is, we think, the best shingle machine we have ever seen. We are running two other machines of another style and would not give the Dunbar for both the others.
Yours truly, E. D. DAVISON & SONS, Limited, per C. H. Davison.

ST. JOHN, N. B., Feb. 13th, 1896.
McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON,
Dear Sirs,—Yours of the 8th inst. at hand. We are running fourteen machines, six of them are Dunbars and they have given great satisfaction, and if we were going to buy more we should take the Dunbar in preference to any other in the market to-day. Yours truly, MILLER & WOODMAN.

CAMPBELLTON, N. B., Feb. 12th, 1896.
MESSRS. McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON, Fredericton, N. B.
Dear Sirs,—Yours of 10th to hand, contents noted. In reply would say, after having some fourteen years experience in handling very many different makes of shingle machines the Dunbar crowns them all for a first-class shingle machine, and I could with every satisfaction recommend them to any person requiring a first-class machine, and to take no other. Yours truly, WM. P. GRAY.

ST. JOHN, N. B., Feb. 13th, 1896.
MESSRS. McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON, Fredericton, N. B.
Gentlemen,—Replying to yours 8th inst. I have had nine of your Dunbar Shingle Machines in my mill, in use for the past four years and they have given entire satisfaction, and I have found them first-class shingle machines in every particular, so that if I were putting in any more machines I would put in the Dunbar.
Yours truly, CHARLES MILLER, per McDonald.

LOWER SOUTHAMPTON, Feb. 15th, 1896.
MESSRS. McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON,
Dear Sirs,—The Dunbar Shingle Machine which I purchased from you has given perfect satisfaction. I would certainly choose it before other like machines, it being the strongest, most durable and easy running one I ever saw. I can highly recommend the friction wheels for running the edging saw, they being the cheapest and easiest set up. Respectfully yours, JUSTUS L. STAIRS.

METAPEDIA, P. Q., Feb. 13th, 1896.
McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON, Fredericton, N. B.
Gentlemen,—We have been using the Dunbar Shingle Machine for eight years. We have had quite an experience with other shingle machines of different make. We feel to-day like saying, that we would not put in any other machine if we got it for putting it in.
Yours truly, C. B. CHAMBERLAIN & SON, per W. C.

ST. MOISE STATION, Feb. 21st, 1896.
McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON, Fredericton.
Dear Sirs,—I have been foreman in shingle mills for the last twelve years, and used different machines and would rather pay \$50.00 (fifty dollars) more for the Dunbar Machine than any other machine. We have used 2 Dunbar Machines at Sayabec, in Messrs. Schell, Macpherson & Co.'s shingle mill, and for four years I was foreman there. I must say that we never made one cent's repair on the Dunbar Machines.
N. H. CAYOETTE.

CONNORS, N. B., Feb. 14th, 1896.
MESSRS. McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON,
Gents,—In reply to yours of Feb. 10th, 1896, I beg to say that the Dunbar Shingle Machines now in operation by me, in the Robert Connor's Mill, so called, that was purchased from you, has proved very satisfactory, and I believe them to be a modern machine in all respects.
Yours sincerely, J. J. WHELOCK.

MARIA PULP AND LUMBER CO., MARIA, 12th March, 1896.
MESSRS. McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON,
Gentlemen,—Our company will probably want nine shingle machines. After the experience which I had with the Dunbar bought from you, I feel disposed to recommend this machine for our new mill; as a matter of fact I did recommend it. On such a number of machines bought at one time, could you not make a reduction on the ordinary price for one machine? However, I must confess that your Dunbar is by far the best shingle machine on the market; it runs so smooth, and especially it is built so strong and solid. On the one we have been using for the last four years, we have not had a single cent of repairs, although it was several times run by green hnds, last summer. With a good sawyer and filer, we made 22,500 shingles in ten hours. We had good wood, but it was not picked, we took the logs as they came. Yours respectfully, J. C. LANGELEIR, Manager M.P. & L. Co.

ROUESTOWN, N. B., Feb. 13th, 1896.
MESSRS. McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON, Fredericton.
Dear Sirs,—In reply to yours of the 8th inst., beg to say that the two Dunbar Shingle Machines we purchased from you in 1892, have been running in our mill every summer since and they have given entire satisfaction. They have been run under many disadvantages to the machines, in the way of green sawyers, &c., and yet in all these years of use they have cost us little or nothing for repairs. We consider them one of the best machines in use to-day.
Yours truly, RICHARDS & GUNTHER.

JACQUET RIVER, N. B., Feb. 13th, 1896.
MESSRS. McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON,
Dear Sirs,—The two Dunbar Shingle Machines I bought of you in 1893, have given entire satisfaction. I had sawyers from Maine and St. John, N. B., working on them, each one saying that the Dunbar was the best shingle machine they ever worked on.
JAMES P. DOYLE.

NEWTOWN, Feb. 22nd, 1896.
MESSRS. McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON,
Gentlemen,—We have used the Dunbar Shingle Machine in our mill for two years and we can truly say, that it gives the best of satisfaction in every respect, and if properly attended will cut from fifteen to sixteen thousand shingles per day, and we can honestly recommend the Dunbar Shingle Machine to any person or persons who need a first class machine.
Yours truly, J. H. & R. O. MACE.

MARYSVILLE, YORK CO., May 6th, 1896.
MESSRS. McFARLANE, THOMPSON & ANDERSON,
Gentlemen,—We have used two of your Dunbar Shingle Machines since 1892, and since that time have added eight more, running them since 1892. They have proved entirely satisfactory as shingle cutters, and I question if in Canada, there can be found the equal of the Dunbar Shingle Machine. If making any addition to our present plant, no other machine would be considered.
Yours truly, ALEX. GIBSON, JR.