## SRC Meeting features improprieties, free booze and more

By OLIVER KONCZ

The first motion introduced was to limit council meetings to 2 1/2 hours. It was defeated on the grounds that quorum of Council (majority of the members) was needed to conduct business that that there could always be a motion of

adjournment. It was announced that Council meetings would be held regularly on Monday nights at 7:00 p.m., except for the Spring Break week.

Council voted to accept the report of Larry Long's Administrative Commission regarding the alleged improprieties in the creation of the positions for V.P.

Academic, V.P. Services, and other ex-officio members. The report stressed that there were no irregularities and referred both to the By-laws of the S.U. and to Robert's Rules of Order. Further, the V.P. Academic's responsibility for public relations was confirmed.

Council was informed that instead of the approximately 10% increase in funding required by the University, the MPHEC was only recommending an increase of 4.5%. The members of the Student Alliance, including UNB suggested that a first step of protest cold be sending postcards to the Provincial Government.

The V.P. Finance, Doug Burgess, presented a Graduating class budget of \$4365 for Council approval. Councillors expressed concern over the amount requested and Senator Bosnitch pointed out that the Grad Class has never received a budget from the

Student Union (they have been granted start-up loans. It was announced that Kent Guptill (Grad Class President 1984) still owed the Student Union \$2000. The matter was referred to the Finance Commission with the authority to approve up to \$1500 in the form of forgiveable loans.

Mr. Burgess also discussed a Campus Police request for payment so that they could purchase new jackets. He told Council that the C.P.'s have free booze parties, waste their money on Rugby shirts and Baseball Caps, and that they give the most hours to their close friends. (The Campus Police has since refuted these claims.)

The main motion of the meeting, moved by V.P. Academic Mike Bennett, read, "That the U.N.B. S.U. Council condemn and utterly reject the report of the Board of Governors on the future ad-

ministrative structure of the SUB. Further the council refused to appoint any student to positions on such an emanciated Board. And further that Council request that the SU President not serve on the

Board." All councillors had a copy of the UNB press release regarding changes to the SUB Board. It proposed that the new Board, including 4 UNB students and 4 STU students (the old Board had 6 UNB and 3 STU), was to be only of an advisory nature.

A previous council motion stated that, "the U.N.B. S.U. call for the granting of a lease on the SUB to the students of UNB and STU." The majority of councillors were dismayed with the report and the fact that there was no chance for student input. Larry Fox, the President, sat on the committee and was asked many questions regarding this issue.

The Yearbook was again the subject of conversation. According to a letter from Josten's, the Yearbook would cost more to print because it had increased in size and had been delayed going to them. VP Ser-

vices Spurles reported that he had attended the recent Yearbook meeting and that "they were all keen people". The staff had elected Ms. Helena Rojas as Editor-in-Chief but Spurles recommended that council approve her only temporarily. In the follwing discussion, some councillors

argued that there was a lot of work that had to be done now for the Yearbook and that it was unfair to ask someone to work hard for one month with no pay and no security.

Larry Fox argued against the amendment to ratify Rojas permanently and walked out of the room, ending the meeting, before the vote. Almost all councillors expressed dismay at Fox's action since it would further delay the start of work on the Yearbook. Work has not yet begun due to fact that no editor was appointed last Spring, the proper time for doing so.

After the council meeting Fox was questioned and felt that more time and councillors were needed to consider the permanency of an Editor-in-Chief at that time. He stated that after losing \$23,000 of the

## the debate; Hoffman and Rubin

new attitudes toward material wealth, though. Rubin maintained that "there is nothing wrong with having nice things," in fact, "everybody wants a nice apartment, nice clothes, and a VCR." Yuppies are not obsessed with those things. The real story behind the Yuppies, according to Rubin, is not to look at them as consumers, but achievers.

Perhaps his most startling revelation was that the Baby Boom generation would be the driving political force in the USA in a few years. He said America would elect a Yuppieoriented president in either 1988 or 1992, and the orientation would persist into the third decade of the 21st cen-

Hoffman's message was more brief than Rubin's, but it also contradicted it. Instead of presenting the holdover from 1960's, Hoffman represented the spirit of activism that has been recently sapped from this continent. Hoffman demanded more activism on social political, and environmental issues. He called for an end to pollution, the arms race, and US policy in Central America.

Hoffman claimed that not all of the youth of the 1960's had "embraced the materialist consumer world with a gusto." He continued on to criticize the apathy and materialism that the Yuppies symbolize. He was likewise critical of contemporary youth who, it

seems, care for nothing but their own well-being. As Abbie put it, "Campuses today are hot-beds of social rest. the Hoffman told predominantly student audience, "I'm here to tell you that there's a world out there, and it's not the rosy-coloured dawn Jerry sees."

It appears that the Baby Boomers are neither Hoffman's activists nor Rubin's Yuppie's Both speakers claimed to believe in social change, but from two different angles. Rubin wants to work within the system, and to eventually control American politics, whereas Hoffman feels that it is more important to work against the establishment, (be they Reaganauts or Yuppies). Should Rubin's political prediction come true, the two formerly united forces may well clash. 

students' money already, the Yearbook should not be hurried into appointing a permanent Editor-in-Chief. He added that he had no doubt as to

the competency on Rojas' part; instead he would like to see what changes could be made before an Editor-in-Chief is appointed.

## Rumours of Glory

Monday, Feb. 4, 12:30

"Is the Bible More than a Human Book" Dr. Dan Doerksen

Tuesday, Feb. 5, 12:30

Common Lament - "Christianity is Largely Other-Worldy and Irrelevant." a response from Dr. Jim Tranquilla

- Wednesday, Feb. 6

-12:30 "Intergration: Christian Faith and Nursing." Miss Betty Field, Director,

Saint John School of Nursing MacLaggan Hall

Attaining the Unattainable Part 1 "Ending the Quest for Meaning"

Rev. John Crighton

Thursday, Feb. 7, 7:30 pm

Attaining the Unattainable Part 2 "Fulfilment: The Insaitiable Pursuit of Desire?"

Rev. John Crighton Friday, Feb. 8, 12:30

"Christian Faith: Its Effect on My Teaching and Research" Bm 107 Dr. Marc Schneider Science Library

10 Myths About Christianity Displays at Selected Locations, 10:30 - 3:30 SPONSORED BY THE INTER-VARSITY CHRISTIAN

Peer Alcohol

**Education** 

(PALE) says:

If Your Cup Runneth Over, Let Someone Else Drive Your Car.



Guys & Girls

SPRING with **AEROBICS** 

(three times a week)

George St. Jr. High School Mon. & Wed. 8:00 - 9:00 Monsignor Boyd Family Center Sat. a.m. 11:00 - 12:00 35.00 - three times a week \$15.00 - once a week 25.00 - twice a week or \$2.00 - nightly

FREE Introductory Class on February 4th at 8:00 pm at George St. Jr. High School. Session will run from February 6 till April 10th. (8weeks)

Certified instuctor is Paula Glendenning For more information please call 455-1783

as a

wh

nece

task

plic

den

and

mad

scho

pra

seei

not

son

suit

to o

Alu

exp

ter

off

like

car

ble

to s

tha

nat Sai the con

Afr

\$22 tra tec OW sor ref

the YN