

ADVERTISER PUZZLE CONTEST CORNER

Important Questions Answered by Contest Manager and Matters of General Interest Discussed—Contestants Should Watch This Corner

Question—I should like to ask you if it is permissible for one person to send in more than one solution, as I have a better one than my first?

Answer—No solution may be changed after it is submitted. If you want to submit something different, send another solution accompanied by any amount you wish to pay, from \$2.75 to \$10. It will all be credited on your subscription and your new solution will be registered in contest.

Question—If number one solution is ahead of all other competitors, and there happens to be a tie on prize number two and three, would number one have to enter a second contest?

Answer—Number one would not need to enter a second contest.

Question—I send in \$5 with my solution and \$5 from new subscriber with his solution, will the total amount of these two remittances be credited to me in the contest in case I win one of the first five prizes?

Answer—Only \$5 would be credited as having been paid in on your subscription, as the other \$5 must be credited to the new subscriber.

Question—If one of the new subscribers that I am sending in makes a mistake on his solution blank, does it make me ineligible in any way?

Answer—If the new subscriber makes any mistake in working out his solution or in filling in his blank, it will not hurt anyone except the new subscriber.

Question—I take my paper from a little boy in our town who delivers same every day, and whom I pay weekly. Can I send in solution and still get paper through the boy?

Answer—Send in solution and remittance to us and we will continue delivery through your boy as usual, and we will send you a book of coupons (to the amount you send on your solution) with which you can pay him.

Question—\$7.25.23 was raised for the benevolent and patriotic fund, the balance now being \$3,148.01, and \$45,854.12 was raised from the patriotic and benevolent fund donations were made to the fund, from the report of the Executive Secretary, P. O. C. L. I. hand, the Creche, General Mission, the I. O. O. F. national war memorial and Navy League.

Other reports read were the report of the organizing secretary, Mrs. A. A. Campbell, of the educational secretary, Mrs. George MacDonald, of the secretary, Mrs. Allen McLean, which was a most complete outline of the order's work in the city during the past year; the report of the Educational Secretary, Miss Carrie Fitchell, and the report of the Children's Hospital committee, read by Mrs. J. Greenway.

Adjourned Meeting. The reports of the annual meeting of the organization were read by Mrs. A. A. Campbell, of the educational secretary, Mrs. George MacDonald, of the secretary, Mrs. Allen McLean, which was a most complete outline of the order's work in the city during the past year; the report of the Educational Secretary, Miss Carrie Fitchell, and the report of the Children's Hospital committee, read by Mrs. J. Greenway.

A standing vote of respect for the late Mrs. H. A. Roemer, who was one of the most sympathetic workers in connection with the local order, was taken at the meeting, and a solemn moment in what was otherwise a purely business meeting.

Most of the other officers of the Municipal Chapter had come up for selection yesterday afternoon, as the nomination committee presented an almost clean slate to the meeting, this being due to the fact that there were no many resignations among the original nominees. The officers elected are as follows: Honorary regent, Mrs. J. S. Niven; regent, Mrs. E. B. Smith; president, Mrs. E. B. Smith; vice-regent, Mrs. E. B. Smith; secretary, Mrs. E. B. Smith; treasurer, Mrs. E. B. Smith; and so on.

The annual report of the treasurer, Mrs. C. T. Campbell, showed the chapter's funds to be in a splendid condition, the assets recorded after all liabilities had been met, amounting to \$33,334.10. During the year \$1,235.63 was raised for the general fund, of which there is now a balance of \$60.15.

When Baby Complains.

THERE ARE MANY WAYS a baby has of expressing any pain or irregularity or digression from its normal condition of health and happiness. A short sharp cry, a prolonged irritated cry. Restlessness, a constant turning of the head or of the whole body, fretful. In these and other ways a baby tells you there is something wrong. Most mothers know that a disordered stomach, or bowels that do not act naturally are the cause of most of baby's sufferings. A call for the doctor is the first thought, but in the event of any delay there should be ready at hand a safe remedy such as Fletcher's Castoria.

Castoria has been used for baby's ailments for over 30 years and has merited the good will of the family physician in a measure not equaled by any other baby's medicine because of its harmlessness and the good results achieved.

And remember this: Castoria is essentially a baby's remedy and not a cure-all for every member of the family. What might help you is too often dangerous when given to a babe.



Let's Think It Over.

There is such a thing as saying too much on any subject, and the "grand-stand" talker sooner or later becomes a bore. The truth is always welcomed, and the truth reiterated and confirmed is more than welcome—it reaches your innermost soul.

Fletcher's Castoria is all its advertising has claimed for it. Scrutinized by the microscope of public opinion and used for over thirty years it stands without a peer in the hearts of thoughtful, cautious, discerning Mothers. And once used, mother love—there is no substitute for mother love—will scorn to try a "substitute" or a "just-as-good."

Masquerading under many names drugs that are injurious to the tender babe have found their way into some households, but the light of experience soon casts them out. Are they cast out before it is too late?

MOTHERS SHOULD READ THE BOOKLET THAT IS AROUND EVERY BOTTLE OF FLETCHER'S CASTORIA

GENUINE CASTORIA ALWAYS

Bears the Signature of

Wm. H. Fletcher

THE CENTAUR COMPANY, NEW YORK CITY.

MAKES CONFESSION

NORMAN GARFIELD PLEADS NOT GUILTY TO MURDER CHARGE

Continued From Page One.

peated the evidence that he gave in connection with the younger prisoner's trial. Wednesday he said that when opposite the soda fountain Johnston sprang at the man with the two guns. A shot was fired and Johnston collapsed. There goes the car," Franklin looked through the front window and saw a large auto speeding westward. He identified the guns found in Norman Garfield's trunk as ones similar to those used on the night of the shooting.

Stanley Underhill told of the actual shooting. He said that after the man with the guns fired the first shot, he the gunman, declared: "Hands up; we mean business."

In cross-examination, Franklin stated that the trigger of the gun was pulled a number of times, but the cartridges failed to explode.

Ernest Utting, who also was in the store, swore that it was the trigger of the gun that was pulled, and that he heard Johnston cry: "They've got me, boys." "Apparently you didn't see much of this, Mr. Utting," said Mr. Nesbitt.

"Well, I couldn't watch two at the same time," was the reply. Then came a question as to which gun snapped. Utting said that Underhill against Denton Garfield when they said the nickel-plated one had. Mr. Nesbitt remarked that it was contradictory to Utting's evidence. "There is no need to comment on it," said the crown. The matter dropped there.

Medical Evidence. As in yesterday's trial, medical evidence was introduced to show that Johnston died of a gunshot wound in the head, and that the bullet entered the brain.

Arthur Johnston of London said that Norman Garfield resembled one of the men who came out of the store on the night of the shooting. The witness had picked him out from a number of prisoners in the London Jail as being a build similar to that of the man who had got into the auto in front of the store in Woodstock.

James Elliott, Cecil Tibbets and John West all saw a large car with a nickel-plated radiator shell proceeding west on Dundas street at 11 o'clock ten minutes after the shooting occurred. John Green said that the car had been stolen from Clarence street on the night on which it was charged Johnston was shot.

Thomas Terry, auto expert of London, said that he had nickel-plated the radiator shell of the previous witness' car, making it an auto that would be different from other cars of its type, which was a Studebaker.

Following is the jury which was sworn in to try Norman Garfield: Milton Bobler, North Oxford, farmer; Thomas Amos, East Oxford, farmer; Arthur Brown, North Oxford, farmer; John Brown, North Oxford, farmer; William Brown, North Oxford, farmer; G. Cowell, South Norwich, farmer; Norman Allen, West Oxford, farmer; Joseph Elliott, East Nisour, farmer; Joseph Connelly, West Oxford, farmer; Joseph McLean, East Nisour, farmer; Charles Allen, Tillsonburg, farmer; and Alex. Darling, Tillsonburg, farmer.

Four men were challenged by Montaleu Nesbitt, namely: James Eastbrook, East Nisour, farmer; Frank Adams, East Nisour, farmer; Alfred Moon, East Nisour, farmer; and Richard Adams, East Nisour, farmer.

In explaining the charge of murder, Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked to the jury that on such a beautiful spring morning, it seemed a calamity to have a man for his life.

"After he shot him," said the witness, "he killed Johnston dead in cold blood, we say they went west," he then recited the history of the case, and summarized the evidence that would be given by crown witnesses. He concluded by saying: "All that is left is to let the jury decide on the guilt of the prisoner."

Only one man was challenged by Montaleu Nesbitt, namely: Richard Kirby, who was rejected because he was slightly deaf. This jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

NORMAN GARFIELD PLEADS NOT GUILTY TO MURDER CHARGE

Continued From Page One.

peated the evidence that he gave in connection with the younger prisoner's trial. Wednesday he said that when opposite the soda fountain Johnston sprang at the man with the two guns. A shot was fired and Johnston collapsed. There goes the car," Franklin looked through the front window and saw a large auto speeding westward. He identified the guns found in Norman Garfield's trunk as ones similar to those used on the night of the shooting.

Stanley Underhill told of the actual shooting. He said that after the man with the guns fired the first shot, he the gunman, declared: "Hands up; we mean business."

In cross-examination, Franklin stated that the trigger of the gun was pulled a number of times, but the cartridges failed to explode.

Ernest Utting, who also was in the store, swore that it was the trigger of the gun that was pulled, and that he heard Johnston cry: "They've got me, boys." "Apparently you didn't see much of this, Mr. Utting," said Mr. Nesbitt.

"Well, I couldn't watch two at the same time," was the reply. Then came a question as to which gun snapped. Utting said that Underhill against Denton Garfield when they said the nickel-plated one had. Mr. Nesbitt remarked that it was contradictory to Utting's evidence. "There is no need to comment on it," said the crown. The matter dropped there.

Medical Evidence. As in yesterday's trial, medical evidence was introduced to show that Johnston died of a gunshot wound in the head, and that the bullet entered the brain.

Arthur Johnston of London said that Norman Garfield resembled one of the men who came out of the store on the night of the shooting. The witness had picked him out from a number of prisoners in the London Jail as being a build similar to that of the man who had got into the auto in front of the store in Woodstock.

James Elliott, Cecil Tibbets and John West all saw a large car with a nickel-plated radiator shell proceeding west on Dundas street at 11 o'clock ten minutes after the shooting occurred. John Green said that the car had been stolen from Clarence street on the night on which it was charged Johnston was shot.

Thomas Terry, auto expert of London, said that he had nickel-plated the radiator shell of the previous witness' car, making it an auto that would be different from other cars of its type, which was a Studebaker.

Following is the jury which was sworn in to try Norman Garfield: Milton Bobler, North Oxford, farmer; Thomas Amos, East Oxford, farmer; Arthur Brown, North Oxford, farmer; John Brown, North Oxford, farmer; William Brown, North Oxford, farmer; G. Cowell, South Norwich, farmer; Norman Allen, West Oxford, farmer; Joseph Elliott, East Nisour, farmer; Joseph Connelly, West Oxford, farmer; Joseph McLean, East Nisour, farmer; Charles Allen, Tillsonburg, farmer; and Alex. Darling, Tillsonburg, farmer.

Four men were challenged by Montaleu Nesbitt, namely: James Eastbrook, East Nisour, farmer; Frank Adams, East Nisour, farmer; Alfred Moon, East Nisour, farmer; and Richard Adams, East Nisour, farmer.

In explaining the charge of murder, Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked to the jury that on such a beautiful spring morning, it seemed a calamity to have a man for his life.

"After he shot him," said the witness, "he killed Johnston dead in cold blood, we say they went west," he then recited the history of the case, and summarized the evidence that would be given by crown witnesses. He concluded by saying: "All that is left is to let the jury decide on the guilt of the prisoner."

Only one man was challenged by Montaleu Nesbitt, namely: Richard Kirby, who was rejected because he was slightly deaf. This jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston. The jury was sworn in to try Norman Garfield and Johnston.

Beautiful New Blouses of Georgette, Crepe de Chine

Blouses that are simple enough for tailored wear and yet dainty enough to grace the dress suit. Of Georgette and Crepe de Chine, in several new models, featuring frills of lace and tucks, and dainty embroidered designs, in colors of navy, brown and pretty tints.



Brown Georgette in that soft brown shade, embroidered very smartly front and back and at waistline, in emerald and gold; emerald buttons of satin trim the shoulder and collar. Price \$12.75

A Grey Georgette model features elaborate silk henna embroidery in front and around square-cut neck; the elbow-length sleeve has hemstitched cuff. Price.....\$15.00

A Slip-on made of fine minnette, front and back are embroidered in panels of silk and wool, sleeves also embroidered; come in rose, jade green or oopen. Price \$11.50

Copen Georgette Slip-on, blouse has deep-pleated frill at waist, beaded in bright shades; the front and back have large embroidered motif of beads. Price \$28.50

Another model of Grey Georgette, very smartly embroidered and piped with open silk, the deep band at bottom is finished with open silk and ties in large bow at back. Price\$15.00

A very striking model is of Navy Georgette, the panel in front, which falls over skirt has novelty jade green insets edged with beads and heavy silk; this model fastens at back with tie girdle. Price \$20.00

A very new model shows a combination of Satin and Georgette; the sleeves and under bodice of georgette, with front and back of heavy satin elaborately trimmed with iridescent beads and silk; this model comes in navy. Price\$28.50

Black Crepe de Chine, has tucked band at waist, the front and back panels are heavily beaded with touches of bright silk. Price\$18.50

A Heavy Crepe de Chine; the collar of lace extends down front to waistline, the vest of self has deep yoke of lace, full-length sleeves with lace insets at cuffs; in white or flesh. Price \$9.50

A most beautiful collection of Dainty Organies, soft shades of pink, lovely blues, mauves, greens, yellows and many new tints in these pretty colors; the fabric is genuine Swiss make, permanent finish and fast to reasonable washing. See the tested piece in department; 45 inches \$1.25 yard

WHITE ORGANIES in Swiss manufacture, are really tempting pretty because so fine and sheer in texture; the grades we are showing, like the colors, are either higher qualities or lower prices than formerly; 45 inches \$1.25, \$1.00 and 90c

Hand-Printed Organies are quite a novelty and certainly superior to anything we have seen in fancy organies, which are finding much favor for the coming season; the shades are attractive because they are new, in tones of sage green, deep rose, golden tan, wistaria; 45 inches\$2.00 yard

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client, as the latter thought the confession was all that was required.

Quite a milking machine over a statement signed by Denton Garfield arose this evening, and although the evidence appeared to be clear, it was necessary to put the prisoner in the witness-box. Mr. Nesbitt followed this course when Crown Attorney R. N. Ball remarked that the signed confession was not given under oath. Mr. Nesbitt was not going to call his client,