

Questions

4. The sharing of the cost is a matter for agreement by the governments of Canada, Ontario and Quebec.

5. Correspondence is being carried on with the provinces and discussions are being held with the city of Ottawa.

GRAZING AND HAY PERMITS, RIDING MOUNTAIN PARK

Question No. 365—**Mr. Mandziuk:**

1. How many grazing permits were issued in the Riding Mountain national park in 1965 and what were the fees charged?

2. How many hay permits were issued for the Riding Mountain national park in 1965 and what were the fees charged?

3. What grazing permit fees and hay cutting fees will be charged in 1966.

Hon. Arthur Laing (Minister of Northern Affairs and National Resources): 1. Fifty five grazing permits were issued. The following fees were charged: (a) For grazing permit, \$1 (b) For each head of cattle, 25 cents per month; (c) For each horse not owned by a licensed livery operating in the park, 50 cents per month.

2. Forty one hay permits were issued. The following fees were charged: (a) For hay permit, \$1; (b) \$2.50 per ton.

3. The existing rates are currently under review and a decision will be announced well in advance of the 1966 grazing and haying season.

TENDERS FOR COAST GUARD VESSELS

Question No. 372—**Mr. MacEwan:**

1. When will tenders be called for the construction of four new coast guard vessels?

2. Will the tenders be called on a nation-wide basis or regional basis?

Mr. J. A. Byrne (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Transport): 1 and 2. Precise arrangements for the calling of tenders for this batch of ships have not yet been settled. Tank tests and other design studies are still in progress although it is planned to call tenders for the first ship in the series early in the new fiscal year.

SALE OF FRIGATES, HALIFAX

Question No. 374—**Mr. Muir (Cape Breton North and Victoria):**

1. With reference to six frigates located at Halifax, Nova Scotia, which were recently disposed of by Crown Assets Disposal Corporation to Lotti S.R.A. La Spezia, Italy, what was the amount actually paid for these frigates?

2. What were the names, addresses and amounts of the others who tendered?

[Mr. McLraith.]

3. With reference to the seventh frigate involved, has it been disposed of as yet and if so, to whom and for how much and what were the names, addresses and amounts tendered by other interested parties?

Hon. C. M. Drury (Minister of Industry): In so far as Crown Assets Disposal Corporation is concerned, the answer is as follows:

1. \$465,630.89.

2. Marine Salvage Limited, Port Colborne, Ontario, \$429,364; Eckhardt and Company, Hamburg, West Germany, \$423,000; Mitsui and Company Limited, Vancouver, B.C., \$374,960; Hierros Ardes, Bilbao, Spain, \$333,000; Chantiers Navals, Jos Boel and Eils, Temse, Belgium, \$312,000; Cantiere Di Portovenere Spa, Genoa, Italy, \$252,000; Hercules Sales, Toronto, Ontario, \$233,250; American Iron and Metal, Montreal, P.Q., \$216,000; Lion Steamship Company AB, Halmstad, Sweden, \$187,745; Ship Supply Corporation, Brooklyn, N.Y., U.S.A., \$140,778; Union Pipe and Machinery, Montreal, P.Q., \$84,280; Commercial Equipment Installation, Aylmer, Ontario, \$75,300; Air Cooling Corporation, Montreal, Que., \$600.

3. No.

*BAIT-HERRING FISHERY, SAANICH INLET, B.C.

Question No. 375—**Mr. Groos:**

Has the government received representations from sports fishing clubs and representatives of sports fishermen's groups in the Lower Vancouver Island area, protesting against a representation which has been made to the government to allow relaxation of the commercial closure and permit a limited bait-herring fishery in Saanich Inlet, which has hitherto been closed to all commercial fishing and, if so, what response has been made to such representations?

Hon. H. J. Robichaud (Minister of Fisheries): Representations have been received protesting suggestions made to our area regional office in British Columbia to permit a limited bait-herring fishery in Saanich Inlet.

The B.C. regional office of the Department of Fisheries each year prepares a circular of suggested changes in regulations. The suggestions are those received from interested agencies and associations and do not necessarily in any way reflect the views of the department. The circular is sent for comment to all organizations known to have any interest, and to the department's fishery officers.

This particular representation made to the department has caused more than normal reaction. It is but one of a list of several suggested changes. The comments on all of these have been received and the data are