Mr. Castonguay: No; in the urban divisions the list of electors is mailed to each householder of that polling division, and on that list of electors is a heading informing the electors when the revising officer sits, the hours he sits and where he sits for his sittings of revision. Also, the electors are informed—in that same heading—as to where they will vote. That information is also contained in this notice which is posted up. You can imagine that more people receive service from the mailing of these than people who actually read this notice—if they are up long enough for people to read them.

Mr. Howard: Mr. Chairman, I would move endorsation of this particular change to Rule (23), if that is what you desire, procedurally.

The CHAIRMAN: I am wondering if we need to commit ourselves at this stage.

I am wondering whether or not at this stage we need any resolution.

Mr. Howard: It does not matter to me.

Mr. Pickersgill: I wonder why not. There is no point in threshing the straw more than once unless there is some dissent.

The CHAIRMAN: I would be happy to entertain the motion.

Mr. Kucherepa: Is there any other way of advertising this, such as in the newspapers and the press.

Mr. Castonguay: I think the difficulty in respect of advertising in newspapers in large centres such as Toronto, Montreal, Vancouver and Winnipeg, would be that it would add more confusion for the electors. For instance in a city like Toronto, where you would have 100 revisal districts, we would have to describe all those districts and I think it would be a very difficult thing.

Mr. Pickersgill: In the first place no one would read the advertisements, or most of those who would would not be able to understand them. They would not know where the streets were. I think it would be a sheer waste of the taxpayers' money.

Mr. Kucherepa: A lot of persons seem to miss the revision. I wonder whether or not the returning officer has any suggestion as to means of getting this across to the people?

Mr. Castonguay: I have received no suggestions from returning officers other than representations to remove these postings. It seems to me the mailing of this list is working fairly well. There are bound to be persons who will not get the list, but their neighbours will. I also understand that the political organizations do a great deal of work in this respect.

Mr. Pickersgill: Do you send these to every householder regardless of whether or not his name is on the list.

Mr. Castonguay: No; we have to use the voters' list for the mailing.

Mr. Pickersgill: Why?

Mr. Castonguay: The names are on there.

Mr. Pickersgill: The post office provides a good householder delivery service. That would ensure that everybody in the district would get it.

Mr. CASTONGUAY: It could be done that way.

Mr. Pickersgill: You would not have to put the individual's name on it.

Mr. Bell (Carleton): In a rooming house district there would be a problem where there may be 15 or 20 persons living in the residence.

Mr. RICHARD (Ottawa East): If you have two Smiths and two Jones' living in a house do you mail only one list to each?

Mr. Castonguay: We send it to one Smith and one Jones.

Mr. RICHARD (Ottawa East): Is the difficulty not so much in the fact that persons do not know where the list is but rather the fact that it is difficult