

honey resulted as follows: 13 preferred tin, 4 wood, and 40 preferred both.

Prof. A. J. Cook now took occasion to speak of the recent visit of Mr. T. W. Cowan. The Professor had never met any one so familiar with everything connected with bees. It made little difference what the topic, he was able to say: "Why, Mr. Blank wrote about that in the Blank Bee Journal," giving the year and other particulars. He is a walking encyclopædia of bee-culture in view of the many resulting benefits, and the pleasant and profitable recollections following such a visit. The professor moved that the thanks of the society be tendered Mr. Cowan for his visit, and that he be elected an honorary member of the "North American Bee Keepers' Society." The motion was supported and carried unanimously.

Immediately following the above was another motion made by Prof. Cook relating to the Rev. L. L. Langstroth.

Mr. Cowan had told Prof. Cook that of all the injustice done by American bee-keepers, the greatest was that which had robbed Father Langstroth of his rightful claims to the invention of the movable frame hive; consequently the Society could not do itself a greater honor than to forward a goodly purse to Father Langstroth, who, in his affliction, would receive it with such grateful appreciation. The Professor moved that a collection be taken up, and that to it be added the amount remaining in the treasury after defraying all legitimate expenses, and that the Secretary be instructed to forward the whole to Father Langstroth, together with expressions of sympathy from the Society. Carried unanimously. As the collection was being taken up, Mr. Heddon remarked: Let us not call this a donation, let us call it paying back what bee-keepers stole from him." The collection amounted to \$20.

After a short recess, Prof. A. J. Cook, Agricultural college, Mich., gave a most interesting lecture on

THE LEGS OF THE BEES.

Illustrating his remarks by charts. As the Professor has promised to write out his lecture for publication, your reporter will not attempt to give even an abstract. A vote of thanks was given Prof. Cook for his lecture, after which the convention adjourned until 1.30 p.m.

AFTERNOON SESSION.

Convention called to order at 1.30 with President Miller in the chair.

Mr. T. G. Newman, Chicago, Ill., gave the following address on:

NAME FOR HONEY OUT OF THE COMB.

Eight years ago this Society passed a resolution in favor of calling honey, when taken from

the comb, by this cognomen—"Extracted Honey."

Since then many efforts have been made to change that name, but so far these efforts have been unavailing; and now the matter has been referred to this Convention to decide whether it shall be changed or not, and to me your Secretary has assigned the opening address on the subject.

At the outset, let me say that there is but one valid objection to the continued use of the present name, and that is there are so many "extracts" on the market, and some think that "extracted honey" means the "extract of honey"—not the real thing! But only the wilfully ignorant could come to such a conclusion.

If we have a change we must take it a *sine qua non* that the changed name must be an improvement! Now let us look at all the names proposed so far:

Ex-comb honey,	Loose honey,
Comb-freed honey,	Floramel,
Separated honey,	Honey,
Combless honey,	Liquid honey,
Uncombed honey	Freed honey,
Divorced honey,	Free honey,
Centrifugal honey	Slung honey,
Strained honey,	Bulk honey,
Expelled honey,	Pressed honey,
Cycloned honey,	Honeyseim,
Extricated honey,	Pure honey,
Emitted honey,	Nectar,
Evolved honey,	Drained honey,
Extruded honey,	Thrown honey,
Thrashed honey,	Clear honey,
Quick drained honey,	Absolutely pure honey,
Honey out of the comb,	

Of all these 33 names not one is as good as "extracted," and some are perfectly preposterous. Nearly all are indefinite, inelegant, uncertain, unsuitable, and wholly inappropriate—therefore, as we should be foolish to make a change unless there is also an improvement, to make any change I think would be unwise.

When honey is *candied*, what a misnomer it would be to call it *liquid* honey!

Just fancy calling it cycloned honey, or thrashed honey!

Two questions are to be propounded to this Convention in this matter, and as a jury it is to decide:

1. Is it desirable to make a change in the name of honey when it is removed from the comb? and the other is: 2. If so, what shall that name be?

I propose that these questions be put to vote after a full discussion, and that the decision shall be final.

T. G. NEWMAN.

It was unanimously voted that there is no better name for extracted honey than its present one.