

otherwise as far as I can
 now see. A new surrender
 of the land was upon
 two occasions tried, but
 for reasons the Indians
 refused to surrender any
 more land, and conse-
 quently a surrender was
 not effected. One
 here state, the land
 was in 1881 surrendered
 (or the or 45 acres of it)
 but in writing the
 description in the deed
 of surrender, the words
 south half were omitted
 in some way, and the
 department required a
 new surrender. This mainly
 to the attitude of General
 Fisher in the case is
 difficult to get. Deed of
 surrender No 184 was
 intended to have the land
 included in it, as it was
 actually among other
 lands surrendered in
 1881, but owing to
 it not having been
 properly described in
 the deed of surrender
 appears now to be lost
 if not surrendered at all.
 The