## Rezoning threatens Garneau

## by Don Truckey

The twenty-year struggle for control over residential development in communities adjacent to the University of Alberta is quietly but inexorably nearing a crucial point.

The City Planning Department is slated to complete its Older Neighborhood Study by early February. Recommendations from the study could have far-reaching consequences for Garneau, the community under the most pressure for high density development.

On Sunday Gateway interviewed Francis McCrea and Ann de Villars, two members of hte Garneau Community Planning Committee (GCPC) organized by residents of Garneau to protect the single-dwelling community as it remains today after the intrusion of highrise apartments.

The recommendations of the Older Neighborhood Study may be the turning-point in the fight to keep Garneau from becoming peppered with high-rises, de Villars said. If the study calls for an overall plan of moderate development and if its recommendations become law, the Committee will be on a new footing with the Development Appeal Board (DAB). DAB has a history of ruling in favor of development in Garneau, more often than against.

The GCPC, McCrea said, feels that the number of existing high-rises in Garneau argue against the construction of more. There has to be rational planning. Higher density, lower scale. The committee wants smaller development - townhouses, walk-ups, architecturally harbuildings." monious developer proposed a 17-storey brick building instead of a 17storey concrete one. The community feels that kind of concession is not enough.

Since 50 per cent of Gar-

believes the university's obligations are clear. But, Mr. McCrea said, "although the administration continually says the community must be preserved, we've had no support from them. We don't want their money, but we need their political clout."

The university's interests may be far removed from the preservation of Garneau. Edmonton's Area Transportation Study is currently examining routes for a south side rapid transit link and it's known that the university wants at least a spur line, if not the main route. The university wants an overground line along 88 Ave. connecting (somehow) with the U of A hospital.

"If the rapid transit line comes to the university, all past experience in other cities indicates that a high concentration of office and reisential high-rises will follow," McCrea said. "In effect,the University will have 'moved' downtown.'

The committee recommends the line follow the existing CPR right-of-way after it crosses the High Level bridge. "A station at the end of the High Level is close enough to the University," de Villars said. "Students can walk that far." The alternative is an underground route through the university area, but a prospective nightmare of construction and prohibitive costs make it unlikely.

A moratorium should be placed on development until the transportation and older neighbourhood studies are complete, McCrea said, because "you can't develop and study at the same time.'

But development has already been approved for at least two more high-rises in Garneau. The north side of 83 ave. was approved for construction of a block-long seven-storey condominium building; neau's population is affiliated developers may be hesitating, with the U of A the committee McCrea said, to re-plan and erect

A double-shift in Garneau's demography has contributed to the protest against overdevelopment. In the early '60s many long-time residents sold their houses to escape the incoming wave of highrises and Garneau briefly became a neighborhood of rented rooms. Now people are buying back into the district and renovating the houses.

Older Neighborhood Study calls for a halt to the gradual replacement of Garneau's single-family houses with high-rises, the Garneau committee may be doomed.

-developers hire expensive

toDAB

-the Board, weighted pro-development member chronically splits 4-3 in fav development

-realtors buy rows of houses, let them decay collecting rent, then appear the Board as angels of n offering to clear out the bli

—the university profe concern and offers no real

For the members of Garneau Community Plan Committee, who have organized financial backing have don"very badly on app except the last two," it musts as if Garneau is being put or sacrificial block. As Mr. Mo put it: "I don't see develo looking at Windsor Park."No



