

Provincial Jesuit father came in leaning on the arm of Secretary Bayard. Several other eminent senators and statesmen appeared on the platform in the presence of 5,000 citizens, among whom was seen the *elite* of Washington and of other cities. President Cleveland delivered an eloquent address of congratulation, remarking that this great and far-famed seat of learning had educated great numbers of the best and most loyal citizens of the great Republic.

Is not the history of this college a flat though eloquent contradiction of Pietro Sarpi's arraignment endorsed by Mr. Austin and set before the public, not as a disputed, but as an acknowledged, record, viz., that the Jesuit colleges never sent forth a son obedient to his father, devoted to his country, or loyal to his prince? The Jesuit colleges of Fordham, New York; Santa Clara, Cal.; Worcester, Mass.; Loyola, Baltimore, and several others are every year sending out loyal sons and devoted patriots adorned with learning and peity to falsify the charges of Sarpi and to make utterly void and foundationless what Mr. Austin calls, in his own courteous, ministerial and polite way, "The dark and damning record."

Among the acknowledged records Mr. Austin quotes the Parliaments of Paris. These Parliaments always took sides with Jansenists and infidels against the Pope and the Jesuits, as they do to this day, by banishing the name of God from the text-books in the schools and colleges under their supervision. He has great admiration for those Parliaments which beheaded King Louis XVI, and introduced into France all the horrors of the great revolution and the Reign of Terror, when every man and woman that professed Christianity was declared guilty of high treason, and condemned to death on the public scaffold. These are the parliaments which Mr. Austin takes to his bosom, while condemning what he styles the "lofty patriotism of our politicians," for having allowed the people of Quebec to grant a sum of money to the Jesuits and others for the sacred purchases of religious education.

Does the Principal of Alma College understand the meaning of the word Infallibility? It seems not. I must instruct him, then, or, if he be too obtuse or unwilling to learn, I will inform the public that Papal Infallibility does not mean Papal Impeccability. In his private capacity the Pope is just as liable to err as any other man. In his disapproval of lines of policy or in condemnation of individual communities, he may have been misinformed and misled by calumnies, and thus have given erroneous decisions which either he or his successors may have to reverse afterwards. It is only when