

**Order, Procedure, etc., Questions of—Con.**

*Bill, Amt., substantive, in Com. of W.* On Temperance Act Amt. B. (6), M. Vidal objected to Mr. Dickey's Amt. (to add cl., giving voting privilege to incorporated towns) as striking at fundamental principle of B.; held that notice should be given of such a motion on 3rd R., 133. Mr. Dickey maintained his right to *m.* the Amt. at this stage; but offered to waive it, on understanding it should be *m.* at 3rd R., 133-4.

Held by Mr. Howlan (as Chairman): it is a new cl., and cannot be received, 142; point of referring to Speaker of House discussed, 142. B. reported without Amt., and Mr. Dickey's Amt. *m.* on 3rd R., 143. Reasons for his Ruling given by Mr. Howlan, 144; further question of Order, that the Amt. is not germane to the B. (Mr. Power), 145; but upon above understanding being quoted, objection withdrawn, 145.

*Bill, Amt., substantive, on 3rd R.* On Mr. Boulton's request for postponement of 3rd R. of Bell Telephone Co. B., for an Amt. (limiting stock to \$3,000,000), objection taken by Mr. Scott and others, that notice is required; discussed, but postponement ordered, 187-9.

The 3rd R. having been again *m.*, Mr. Boulton *m.* his Amt., but withdrew it in favour of an Amt. (Mr. Lougheed) to recommit the B. Mr. Scott again objected to the opposition offered the B. at this stage; the procedure was discussed, precedents quoted, and the B. referred back to Com. (where it was subsequently amd.), 198-9.

On Mr. Almon's notice of Amt. to 3rd R. of Chignecto Marine Ry. Co. B. (preferential bonds not to take precedence of outstanding liabilities), Mr. Dickey objected that the Amt. practically negated the B., 315; the ques. was discussed, also as to notice of Amt. to 3rd R. being required, 315-16, 318 to 331, when Mr. Almon's Amt. was put and rejected (C. 7, N.-C. 39), 331.

*Bill, Amt. to Amt., unnecessary.* On Order for consideration of Ry. Com. Report (Burrard Inlet Bridge Co.'s B.), and Amt. to refer back to Com.; Sir John Abbott objected to a sub-Amt. (Mr. McInnes, B.C.) for concurrence in the Com. Report, as unnecessary, 370; discussed, and so ruled by the Speaker, 371; withdrawn, 372.

*Bill, codification, discrepancies,—*from the statutes from which drafted; see the debate on "Criminal Law Act, 1892; B. (7)." Objection, also, that this B., as submitted to Senate, did not coincide with original B. of Commons.

*Bill, constitutionality of.* See the debate on "Commons representation readjustment B. (76)."

*Bill, cl. on which Senate had legislated.* Mr. Read pointed out, in Com. on Criminal Law B., that Senate had already passed and sent to Commons two Bills making

provisions with reference to carrying weapons; the cl. was allowed to stand, 485.

*Bill, details discussed on 2nd R.* Mr. Power objected to Mr. Murphy discussing the respective districts, on 2nd R. of Commons readjustment B. (76); Mr. Miller held that principle of the B. is involved in the districts; and Mr. Murphy proceeded, 406. Mr. Power made a personal explanation hereon, 443.

*Bill, giving precedence to new debentures.* In debate on Mr. Almon's Amt. to Chignecto Marine Ry. Co.'s B., Sir John Abbott quoted several precedents for such legislation, 329, 330.

*Bill, late in Session.* See "Legislation" (below).

*Bill, money, amending in Senate.*—Sir John Abbott, on his Amt. to the St. John Harbour Loan B. (99), reserving part of loan to Govt. for wharf property acquisition, pointed out that Senate should not question its own power in amending a money B., if the other House does not object, 495; remarks, Mr. Power, 495. (See also "Fees, fixing of," below).

*Bill, not identical with Commons draft.* Objections made by Mr. Scott, and M. for Message to Commons for original; see the debate on "Criminal Law Act, 1892; B. (7)." Objection also, to discrepancies of cls. of same B., from Statutes from which drafted.

*Bill, Notice, difference from.* Buckingham & Lièvre Ry. Co.'s B. (H) was reported by Standing Ord. and Priv. B. Com., as differing from its notice, in containing a cl. for bridge over the Ottawa, &c.; but it passed the Senate, 224. The Commons afterwards struck out the bridge cl. on this ground; commented on, and Commons Amts. concurred in, 283-4.

*Bill, Notice insufficient.* (For Divorce Bills, see that heading).

On Mr. Dickey's M. for suspension of 31st Rule, on Chignecto Marine Ry. B. (83), and at subsequent stages, it was closely debated whether or not public notice of B. had been sufficient to apprise all English stockholders of the proposed action, 233-5, 318 to 331.

*Bill, objection at wrong stage.* On Mr. Murphy's "hoist" Amt. to adoption of Rep. of Stand. Ord. & Priv. B. Com., for placing School Savings Bank B. on Orders for 2nd R.; Mr. Power pointed out that this Amt. should come up at 2nd R., 491. On its being *m.* at that stage, Mr. Power and Sir John Abbott suggested the B. should be allowed to pass 2nd R., and go to Banking Com. for consideration; in which Mr. Murphy acquiesced, 494. The B. was then thrown out in Com.; so reported, 518.

*Bill, objection on M. for future 2nd R.* Objection taken by Sir John Abbott, to debate at this stage on Criminal Law B., 385; right to oppose consideration of B. this session maintained by Mr. Scott, 386; again discussed, 394, 396.