Business of the House

force authorize the Minister of Public Works to protect the grounds which are the responsibility of the Department, and they do not favour any group. Contrary to what the Hon. Member said, Mr. Speaker, it is clear that some groups would not be allowed to demonstrate. I told the media over and over again that it will still be possible to demonstrate in support of certain ideas or principles, but under supervision and to the extent that a request has been made to the proper authorities. That is clear.

Mr. Speaker, I am sure that most Canadians got the message that certain people wanted to get across. It should now be clear to Hon. Members and everybody else that, particularly on Parliament Hill, rules must be observed, and those rules are defined in an order in council of which the Hon. Member is fully aware, Mr. Speaker.

[English]

Mr. Speaker: I do not need to reserve on this question. The Hon. Member believes that citizens have and should have a right to demonstrate within the precincts of Parliament. I take his representations seriously, but he must make a *prima facie* case that the privileges of Members of the House have been breached. That is the question of privilege that must be put before the House.

If I understand the Hon. Member correctly, it is his view that a citizen's privilege has been breached and he believes that there may in fact be some different application of rules so that someone's right to hold a demonstration may be different from others. While that may be true, the Hon. Minister says it is not. That is a question for debate as to the fact.

• (1510)

Perhaps the Member is trying to extend the concept of privilege. While I fully accept the right of Members to receive citizens and I fully expect citizens to be received by their Members in offices, or anywhere that is appropriate, it is difficult for me to find that there is a question of privilege. In fact, I cannot even find that there is a prima facie question of privilege put by the Hon. Member with regard to the argument he is making, that somehow access to a Member has been prevented in any way whatever.

Therefore, I cannot find a prima facie case of privilege.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

WEEKLY STATEMENT

Mr. Jacques Guilbault (Saint-Jacques): Mr. Speaker, I rise in my place to ask the usual business question. Is there someone on the government side to let us know what the Government plans to bring to the House in terms of business?

Mr. Paul Dick (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, tomorrow has been designated as an Opposition Day, as the Opposition already knows. Some discussions have been going on among the House Leaders as to the legislation and how long the various pieces of legislation might take. At the present time the President of the Privy Council is waiting to get more information from his counterparts in the Opposition Parties. He will have more to say in the House tomorrow about legislation next week when he has received that information from them.

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[English]

AGRICULTURE

PRESENTATION OF FIRST REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

Mr. Lee Clark (Brandon-Souris): Mr. Speaker, I have the honour to present the first report of the Standing Committee on Agriculture in both official languages.

[Translation]

PUBLIC SERVICE

TABLING OF AGREEMENTS BETWEEN TREASURY BOARD AND UNIONS

Hon. Robert de Cotret (President of the Treasury Board): Mr. Speaker, I should like to table the agreements in principle between Treasury Board and the two unions concerning ongoing negotiations on the master agreement on pension plans and the dental plan.

TELESAT CANADA

TABLING OF ANNUAL REPORT-1984

Hon. Marcel Masse (Minister of Communications): Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Standing Order 46(2), I wish to table, in both official languages, copies of the Annual Report of Telesat Canada for the fiscal year 1984.

[English]

PETITIONS

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

Hon. James A. McGrath (St. John's East): Mr. Speaker, I have the honour to present to the House a petition signed by 14,000 fishermen in the Province of Newfoundland calling upon the House to amend the Unemployment Insurance Act so that fishermen who are obliged to stop fishing before the season ends as a result of quota restrictions or regulations may