the ability to identify all potential voters in that community and to sign them up for the Liberal Party, for elections or for takeover bids. Say they wanted to knock off the Hon. Member for York West (Mr. Fleming) at a nomination meeting. This is the way it goes. They could put it into their new personal computers and keep lists of people's names and so on. There is nothing the matter with that; we do that in all Parties now. But what is the matter with this and why this is corrupt is that it is paid for by the Government of Canada under job creation.

I have just returned from Edmonton. There is 14 per cent unemployment there. I talked with some young people who are unemployed. They are disillusioned and distressed about what is happening. If I had told them about this grant, can we imagine what they would feel about the rottenness of this kind of approach to government and to job creation?

Not only does the present Minister of Employment and Immigration have no chance of running for the leadership of the Liberal Party, as I have heard talked about, but he should consider resigning from the House of Commons because this is an example of corruption.

I close by seeking the unanimous consent of the House—and I do not expect to obtain it because I think the Liberal Party will try to bury this stinking fish—to file for all Hon. Members to see or to table in the House the letters patent of the Canadian Alliance for Italian Integration and Culture; a discussion paper on the integration and the Italian community's relations with the Liberal Party; a proposal for a job-creation program in the social services field to meet the needs of the community in northwest Toronto; and finally the pamphlet—they are now set up—from the Alliance Community Services, sponsored by CAFIIC, Mr. J. Volpe, chairman, and funded by Employment and Immigration Canada, Employment Development Branch. I seek unanimous consent to table these four documents.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order, please. The Chair should inform Hon. Members at this time that only Ministers are allowed under our procedure to table documents.

Mr. McGrath: It could be done by unanimous consent.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: As the Hon. Member for St. John's East (Mr. McGrath) says, that could be done by unanimous consent. Is there unanimous consent to allow the Hon. Member to table certain documents?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Some Hon. Members: No.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: There is not unanimous consent. On debate, the Hon. Member for Saskatoon West (Mr. Hnatyshyn).

Mr. Fisher: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. Do we not have a question period following these discussions, these scurrilous presentations?

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The Chair apologizes. It should have allowed for the question and comment period. I thank the Hon. Parliamentary Secretary for reminding the Chair of its duties. We will now proceed with it.

Mr. Fisher: Mr. Speaker, I am the chairman of an informal group known as the Toronto area Liberal parliamentary caucus, so I took some interest in the instructions the Hon. Member for Vancouver-Kingsway (Mr. Waddell) gave us about the way in which we should conduct our affairs. I wonder whether he could give some evidence that the people involved in this agency have been improperly using Government funding? By that I mean, conducting political activities when they are being paid by the Government during the Government's time, using the storefront for political activities, using the list for political activities, or using any of the equipment and facilities in this agency for political activities.

• (1340)

The Hon. Member has raised a serious charge. He has named names. He has left those people with no defence because he has raised the charge in the House of Commons. I ask him to go beyond the guilt by association that he is using and to provide some evidence that these people are acting improperly and being financed by Government money.

Mr. Laniel: That is an NDP tactic.

Mr. Waddell: Mr. Speaker, the Hon. Member says that my presentation was scurrilous.

Mr. Fisher: It was.

Mr. Waddell: I take offence at that. I tried to lay it out by documents which I proposed to table in the House, but the Liberal Party would not allow me to because they would not give unanimous consent. I set it out in detail. With reference to conducting political activity, the Hon. Member knows that that is a thing that is almost impossible to prove; you have to shape up a picture and the picture tells the story.

The evidence here, it seems to me, leads to only one possible conclusion and one possible opportunity. The point that I was making was that it was an opportunity to use this organization for the very purposes that were set out by the Liberal Party document, saying that they wanted a storefront office to get into the community for Party purposes.

Basically the same people then go to the Government and get a grant that has a strange way of being approved, different from all the other grants we have ever seen in job creation, and suddenly they are set up in their storefront office. I say that leads to the overwhelming conclusion that indeed they got their office for precisely the purposes that they set out in their job-creation program.

I tried to check this more specifically. The Hon. Member says I put some of these people in jeopardy. I tried to phone some of those people. I had difficulty getting them. I phoned Albina Maria Guarnieri. I phoned Lowther Consultants in Toronto; the number was out of service. They put me on to the