

Committee Report

Madam Speaker: The hon. member for Leeds-Grenville on a point of order.

Mr. Cossitt: I would like to refer to page 8774 of *Hansard* for yesterday where a serious error occurs. I realize that it was yourself, Madam Speaker, who circulated to all Members of Parliament a document suggesting that where a serious error occurs in *Hansard* there are various ways of correcting it. I agree with this and I do not for a moment question the Chair—

An hon. Member: Not much!

Mr. Cossitt: But at the same time I would like to draw attention to the fact that if an error occurs in *Hansard* and we simply do not raise it in this House—

Some hon. Members: Order!

Madam Speaker: Order, please. At this point I can only entertain a point of order relating to presenting reports from standing and special committees. If the hon. member wants to correct *Hansard* he can do so later on in the course of the proceedings.

Mr. Stevens: On a point of order, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker: The hon. member for York-Peel on a point of order.

Mr. Stevens: Madam Speaker, my point of order will be very brief. I was wondering if the hon. member for Pontiac-Gatineau-Labelle (Mr. Lefebvre), the chairman of the Special Committee on Alternate Energy, could be given an opportunity to explain what we are being asked to concur in?

Mr. Collette: On the same point of order, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker: The report has been read and it is too late for that.

Tabling of documents, statements by ministers, tabling of bills. The hon. member for Hamilton-Wentworth, (Mr. Scott) stand. The hon. member for Athabasca (Mr. Shields), stand. First reading of Senate public bills. Government notices of motions.

Mr. Collette: Madam Speaker, do I take it you have just passed tabling of documents?

Madam Speaker: Yes, we have passed the tabling of documents.

Mr. Kempling: You blew it!

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

* * *

● (2140)

[Translation]

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

MOTION TO LIMIT REPORT STAGE AND THIRD READING DEBATE ON BORROWING AUTHORITY BILL

Mr. Bussi eres moved:

That, in relation to Bill C-59, an Act to provide supplementary borrowing authority, one sitting day shall be allotted to the consideration of each of the report stage and the third reading stage of the bill; and that at 15 minutes before the expiry of the time provided for government business on those days, any proceedings before the House shall be interrupted, if required, for the purpose of this order and, in turn, every question then necessary in order to dispose of the stage of the bill then under consideration shall be put forthwith and successively, without further debate or amendment.

He said: Madam Speaker, all hon. members are fully cognizant of our Standing Orders and even if at times we do forget the exact wording, we know that when we study certain bills we can proceed with dispatch under the provisions of Standing Order 75. For instance, it is possible for the leaders of the various parties in the House to reach agreement and allocate a given period of time to complete the study of a bill. When the parliamentary leaders are unanimous, they so advise the House and we agree on the way to end the debate. We do have another procedure when there can be no agreement on the amount of time to be devoted to debating a bill. When we cannot agree a notice must be given to hon. members in which the government states its intention of setting a time limit to study a bill. That notice was tabled last week and this evening I am indeed proposing that one additional day be devoted to the report stage of the bill to provide supplementary borrowing authority, and one additional day as well for third reading of the same bill.