Order Paper Questions

		Order Paper Questions		
escues	Cost	lower maximum rate of pay, a demotion, either voluntarily at the request of the employee, or as the result of a joint decision		
	\$9,963 \$15,200 \$23,195 \$48,162 \$31,402	between the employee and the supervisor, they are represented in our statistics. Employees appointed to a lower level within their occupational group: 1968—672; 1969—1,475; 1970—1,794; 1971—2,115; 1972—2,460; 1973—2,370; 1974—2,832; 1975—2,872. Occasionally a deputy head may find no alternative but to resort to Section 31 of the Public Service Employ-		
escues	Cost	ment Act, which provides for the appointment to a lower maximum rate of pay of an employee for incompetence or		
	\$4,286 \$11,280 \$3,509 \$2,919 \$7,555	incapacity in the performance of his or her duties. During the last ten years, these appointments have numbered as follows: 1967—1; 1968—8; 1969—8; 1970—7; 1971—7; 1972—2; 1973—2; 1974—3; 1975—7; 1976—7 (January 1 to November 24, 1976).		
escues	Cost	2. Records for years prior to 1975 are not kept in such a way that the salary ranges of these persons can be readily identified.		
1	<u> </u>	1975 To:		
		From: To:		

19	75	
	To:	
11,800-14,666	CR-5	11,796-12,901
11,800-14,666	CR-4	10,394-11,364
10,394-11,364	CR-2	7,768-8,476
10,394-11,364	CR-3	9,363-10,232
\$4.70 p.h.	GL-MDO-4	\$4.52 p.h.
19	76	
\$5.18 p.h.	GL-MDO-4	\$4.97 p.h.
14.826-19,505	TR-1	7,960-15,850
19,015-27,688	CO-1	14,129-19,392
\$4.92 p.h.	GS-STS-3	\$4.91 p.h.
10,619-12,521	GL-MAN-05	\$6.06 p.h.
\$5.29 p.h.	STS-4	\$5.08 p.h.
14,996-15,438	GL-VHE-8	\$5.44 p.h.
	11,800-14,666 11,800-14,666 10,394-11,364 10,394-11,364 \$4,70 p.h. 19 \$5.18 p.h. 14,826-19,505 19,015-27,688 \$4,92 p.h. 10,619-12,521 \$5.29 p.h.	11,800-14,666 CR-5 11,800-14,666 CR-4 10,394-11,364 CR-2 10,394-11,364 CR-3 \$4.70 p.h. GL-MDO-4 1976 \$5.18 p.h. GL-MDO-4 14,826-19,505 TR-1 19,015-27,688 CO-1 \$4.92 p.h. GS-STS-3 10,619-12,521 GL-MAN-05 \$5.29 p.h. STS-4

FAMILY ALLOWANCE PAYMENTS BY PROVINCE

Question No. 1,182-Mr. Lambert (Bellechasse):

For the fiscal year (a) 1974-75 (b) 1975-76, did the government make any family allowance payments and, if so, by province (i) what was the total amount (ii) for how many children were such payments made?

Hon. Marc Lalonde (Minister of National Health and Welfare):

Family Allowances			
(a) 1974-75			
(ii) Children*	(i) (\$000's)	(ii) Children*	
		226,198	
6 41,189	10,967	82,532	
7 277,317	73,594	276,672	
6 235,555	63,312	237,573	
8 1,979,431	528,177	1,975,229	
8 2,550,712	685,781	2,562,022	
2 326,209	87,064	326,614	
5 305,926	81,641	306,866	
5 593,829	160,732	604,621	
5 735,489	198,706	744,181	
	5,209	19,183	
	2,108	7,758	
7,296,524	1,957,513	7,369,449	
	(a) 74-75 (ii) 6) Children* 0 225,016 64 41,189 67 277,317 66 235,555 81 1,779,431 68 2,550,712 62 326,209 65 305,926 65 593,829 65 735,489 63 18,358 69 7,493	(a) (b) 74-75 (ii) 1975- (ii) (s) Children* (\$000's) (\$00	

^{*}Number of children in pay on March 31 of the appropriate fiscal year.

(iv) Banff		
(,	No. of rescues	Cost
(a) 1971	9	\$9,963
(b) 1972	14	\$15,200
(c) 1973	22	\$23,195
(d) 1974	21	\$48,162
(e) 1975	18	\$31,402
(v) Jasper		
	No. of rescues	Cost
(a) 1971	12	\$4,286
(b) 1972	10	\$11,280
(c) 1973	10	\$3,509
(d) 1974	10	\$2,919
(e) 1975	12	\$7,555
(iv) Waterton		
	No. of rescues	Cost
(a) 1971	nil	- ·
(b) 1972	nil	
(c) 1973	4	\$514
(d) 1974	nil	
(e) 1975	nil	<u> </u>
(vii) Aiyuittug		
	No. of rescues	Cost
(a) 1971	nil	-
(b) 1972	nil	
(c) 1973	1	\$150
(d) 1974	nil	
(e) 1975	2	\$750
(viii) Forillon		
	No. of rescues	Cost
(a) 1971	nil	
(b) 1972	nil	-
(c) 1973	nil	50 50 50 50 S
(d) 1974	nil	-
(e) 1975	1	\$50

PUBLIC SERVANTS RE-ASSIGNED BECAUSE OF DISSATISFACTION Question No. 1,177—Mr. Herbert:

2. What are the salary ranges of these persons?

Hon. John Roberts (Secretary of State): I am informed by the Public Service Commission as follows: 1. Every year a large number of public servants are re-assigned to other duties because their employer is dissatisfied with their performance. If these re-assignments result in an appointment at the same rate of pay, they are treated as transfers and as such cannot be distinguished from transfers for other reasons. However when public servants are appointed to a position at a level with a

^{1.} In each of the past ten years, how many public servants have been reassigned to other duties because of dissatisfaction with their performance?