December 17, 1969

COMMONS DEBATES

Questions

eral salary and wage increases for all major follows: separate categories of the St. Lawrence Sea-

2. From April 1, 1963, to date, the dates way employees and the average percentage of publication and coming into force of gen-increases were as shown in the Table which

Dates				
Employee Category	Publication	Coming Into Force	Authority Percentage Increase	
Bargaining Unit Employees	May 8, 1964 May 8, 1965 Aug. 4, 1966 Aug. 4, 1966 July 17, 1968 July 17, 1968	Jan. 1, 1964 Jan. 1, 1965 Jan. 1, 1966 Jan. 1, 1967 Jan. 1, 1968 Jan. 1, 1969	3.5% 3.5% 20.0% 8.0% 7.0% 6.5%	
Excluded Employees Professional	Apr. 1, 1964 Dec. 15, 1965 July 7, 1966 Dec. 21, 1966 June 30, 1967 June 28, 1968 Aug. 20, 1969	July 1, 1963 July 1, 1965 Jan. 1, 1966 July 1, 1966 July 1, 1967 July 1, 1968 July 1, 1968 July 1, 1969	3.9% 6.5% 12.6% 4.0% 4.0% 6.0% 7.0%	
Administrative and Senior Technical	Aug. 21, 1964 Dec. 15, 1965 July 7, 1966 Dec. 21, 1966 June 30, 1967 June 28, 1968 Aug. 20, 1969	Oct. 1, 1963 July 1, 1965 Jan. 1, 1966 July 1, 1966 July 1, 1967 July 1, 1968 July 1, 1969	3.7% 5.2% 14.0% 4.0% 6.0% 7.0%	
Clerical and Junior Technical	Aug. 21, 1964 Dec. 19, 1965 July 7, 1966 Dec. 21, 1966 June 30, 1967 June 28, 1968 Aug. 20, 1969	Oct. 1, 1963 Oct. 1, 1965 Jan. 1, 1966 July 1, 1966 July 1, 1967 July 1, 1968 July 1, 1968 July 1, 1969	3.7% 5.2% 14.0% 4.3% 6.5% 6.0% 8.0%	

and wage level of April 1, 1963, the present salary and wage level of the St. Lawrence Seaway Authority employees reflects the following cumulative average percentage increase:

	Cumulative	0
Employee Category	Percentage	Increase
Bargaining Unit Employe	ees 58.0	%
Excluded Employees:		
Professional	53.0	%
Administrative and Se Technical	nior 52.5	%
Clerical and Junior Technical	58.0	1%

Question No. 363-Mr. Laprise:

Have studies been undertaken with a view to the construction of a CNR branch line from Matagami

3. When compared to the relative salary to Hudson Bay, and (a) if so, when did such studies begin (b) if not, is the CNR planning to undertake such studies?

> Mr. Gérard Loiselle (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Transport): The Management of Canadian National Railways advises as follows: No. (a) Not applicable; (b) No.

SPRAYING OF RIGHTS-OF-WAY BY CNR

Question No. 373-Mr. Howard (Skeena):

Does Canadian National Railways, either directly or indirectly, engage in a program of spraying chemicals or other fluids or substances along its rights-of-way and, if so, what chemicals, fluids or substances are used and for what purposes?

Mr. Gérard Loiselle (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Transport): The Manage-RAILWAY LINE, MATAGAMI TO HUDSON BAY ment of Canadian National Railways advises as follows: Yes, in order to keep the track and ballast area free of weeds and control the growth of brush within the right-of-way.