

Inquiries of the Ministry

[Translation]

Mr. Godin: Should I infer that the Prime Minister would rather fight it alone?

Right Hon. P. E. Trudeau (Prime Minister): The inference should rather be that the question is not a serious one, Mr. Speaker.

[English]

INQUIRY OF THE MINISTRY

Mr. Steven E. Paproski (Edmonton Centre): Mr. Speaker, I wish to direct a question to the right hon. Prime Minister. In view of the fact that the right hon. gentleman granted a one-hour private audience to Mr. and Mrs. John Lennon during his busy schedule before Christmas, would he inform the House whether he was one of the 20-odd people who paid \$1,350 for John and Yoko prints?

HEALTH AND WELFARE

LEGISLATION RESPECTING CIGARETTE
ADVERTISING

Mr. Barry Mather (Surrey): Mr. Speaker, I have a question for the Minister of National Health and Welfare. Would the minister indicate whether he will be able to make a statement soon in regard to his legislative intentions in line with the report of the Standing Committee on Health, Welfare and Social Affairs on the phasing-out of cigarette advertising?

Hon. John C. Munro (Minister of National Health and Welfare): Mr. Speaker, I would advise the hon. member that we are now giving consideration to the committee's report in the department. Our deliberations will then go to the cabinet and government for final determination. Subsequent to that time a policy will be announced.

FISHERIES AND FORESTRY

ACTION ON RECOMMENDATIONS IN TWELFTH
REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

Mr. Lloyd R. Crouse (South Shore): Mr. Speaker, my question is directed to the Minister of Justice. In keeping with the undertaking he gave at the close of the last session, is the minister now in a position to report on the examination of evidence by law officers of the Crown in accordance with the recommendations contained in the twelfth report of the Standing Committee on Fisheries and Forestry?

[Mr. Speaker.]

Hon. John N. Turner (Minister of Justice): No, Mr. Speaker. We would not comment on the evidence except that, if charges were warranted, we would put it in the hands of the proper authorities. It is not the practice to comment on evidence one way or another.

IMMIGRATION

ADMISSION OF POLISH JEWS

Mr. R. Gordon L. Fairweather (Fundy-Royal): Mr. Speaker, I have a question for the Minister of Manpower and Immigration. Is the government considering any special arrangements to admit to Canada, under the classification of refugees, people who have been forced to leave Poland because they happen to be Jews?

Hon. Allan J. MacEachen (Minister of Manpower and Immigration): Mr. Speaker, applicants coming forward from this group to our offices have been very sympathetically received and will continue to be received in this way.

Mr. Fairweather: A supplementary question, Mr. Speaker. How many people in this refugee category have been admitted or given permission to come to Canada as a result of our sympathetic plans?

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I doubt whether the question as asked is in order.

ENTRY OF DANIEL COHN-BENDIT

Mr. Steven E. Paproski (Edmonton Centre): A supplementary question, Mr. Speaker. In view of the apparent entry into Canada of Danny the Red, can the minister state how this situation has come about when Cohn-Bendit, alias Danny the Red, is restricted by order from coming into this country?

Mr. Speaker: Order, please.

[Later:]

Mr. Paproski: On a point of order, Mr. Speaker, I think the Minister of Manpower and Immigration wanted to answer my question about Cohn-Bendit. After all, the man has come into this country illegally. I understand that his entry is restricted and I merely wanted to know why he had been allowed into the country.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. It was my impression that the hon. member's question was asked in an argumentative way or implied argument. Unfortunately, I am not