

the township of Reach and the village of Port Perry from North Ontario; the north riding of Ontario is further changed by taking Oakley, Macaulay, Morrison, Ryde, McLean and Bracebridge from Muskoka and transferring Uxbridge to West Ontario and the new riding of West Ontario is created by taking Whitechurch and Newmarket from North York, Stouffville from North York and East York, Uxbridge from North Ontario and Pickering from South Ontario, being thus composed of parts of two municipal counties and four ridings; that the said plan is objectionable.

That the said Bill be recommitted to a Committee of the Whole with instructions that they have power to amend the same by providing that the county of Ontario be divided into two electoral districts each returning one member.

Amendment (Mr. Wheeler) negatived on the following division:—

YEAS:

Messieurs

Anglin,	Fleming,	Mackenzie,
Bain,	Flynn,	Malouin,
Bécharde,	Gillies,	Mills.
Blake,	Gillmor,	Olivier,
Borden,	Gunn,	Paterson (Brant),
Bourassa,	Guthrie,	Pickard,
Brown,	Holton,	Rinfret,
Burpee (St. John),	Huntington,	Rogers,
Burpee (Sunbury),	Irvine,	Rymal,
Cartwright,	Killam,	Scriver,
Casey,	King,	Sutherland,
Casgrain,	Laurier,	Thompson,
Dumont,	McDonald (Victoria, N.S.)	Trow,
Fiset,	Macdonell (Lanark),	Wheeler—42.

NAYS:

Messieurs

Amyot,	Grandbois,	Ogden,
Arnell,	Guillet,	Orton,
Bannerman,	Hackett,	Quimet,
Beauchesne,	Haggart,	Pinsonneault,
Benoit,	Hay,	Platt,
Bergeron,	Hilliard,	Plumb,
Bergin,	Homer,	Pope (Compton),
Bill,	Hooper,	Poupore,
Bourbeau,	Hurteau,	Reid,
Bowell,	Jones,	Richey,
Brecken,	Kaulbach,	Robertson (Hamilton),
Bunster,	Kilvert,	Ross (Dundas),
Bunting,	Kirkpatrick,	Rouleau,
Burnham,	Landry,	Routhier,
Cameron (Victoria),	Lane,	Ryan (Montreal),
Caron,	Langevin,	Rykert,
Cimon (Charlevoix),	Lantier,	Scott,
Cimon (Chicoutimi),	Longley,	Shaw,
Colby,	Macdonald (Kings),	Sproule,
Coupal,	Macdonald (Sir John),	Strange,
Coursol,	McDonald (Cape Breton),	Tellier,
Daly,	Macmillan,	Tilley,
Daoust,	McCallum,	Tupper,
Dawson,	McCuaig,	Tyrwhitt,
Desaulniers,	McDougald,	Valin,
Desjardins,	McLelan,	Vanasse,
Domville,	McRory,	Wade,
Elliott,	Massue,	Wallace (Norfolk),
Farrow,	Merner,	Wallace (York),
Fortin,	Méthot,	White (Cardwell),
Gigault,	Mongenais,	White (Hastings),
Girouard (Jac. Cartier),	Mousseau,	White (Renfrew),
Girouard (Kent),	O'Connor,	Williams.—99.

Mr. MILLS. Before the question is put I wish to make an amendment which will state all the facts with sufficient explicitness to render any explanation on my part unnecessary. I move:

That the said Bill be not now read a third time, but that it be referred back to a Committee of the Whole with instructions that they have power to amend the same by providing that the settlements lying eastward of the limit settled by the award, as the westerly boundary of Ontario, and not provided for under the Bill, be embraced for electoral purposes within the electoral district of Algoma.

Mr. DAWSON. I have no objection to that amendment. I do not wish to vote against it.

Amendment (Mr. Mills) negatived on the following division:—

YEAS:

Messieurs

Anglin,	Fleming,	Mackenzie,
Bain,	Flynn,	Malouin,

Mr. WHEELER.

Bécharde,	Gillies,	Mills,
Blake,	Gillmor,	Olivier,
Borden,	Gunn,	Paterson (Brant),
Bourassa,	Guthrie,	Pickard,
Brown,	Holton,	Rinfret,
Burpee (St. John),	Huntington,	Rogers,
Burpee (Sunbury),	Irvine,	Rymal,
Cartwright,	Killam,	Scriver,
Casey,	King,	Sutherland,
Casgrain,	Laurier,	Thompson,
Dumont,	McDonald (Victoria, N.S.)	Trow,
Fiset,	Macdonell (Lanark),	Wheeler—42.

NAYS:

Messieurs

Amyot,	Grandbois,	Ogden,
Arnell,	Guillet,	Orton,
Bannerman,	Hackett,	Quimet,
Beauchesne,	Haggart,	Pinsonneault,
Benoit,	Hay,	Platt,
Bergeron,	Hilliard,	Plumb,
Bergin,	Homer,	Pope (Compton),
Bill,	Hooper,	Poupore,
Bourbeau,	Hurteau,	Reid,
Bowell,	Jones,	Richey,
Brecken,	Kaulbach,	Robertson (Hamilton),
Bunster,	Kilvert,	Ross (Dundas),
Bunting,	Kirkpatrick,	Rouleau,
Burnham,	Landry,	Routhier,
Cameron (Victoria),	Lane,	Ryan (Montreal),
Caron,	Langevin,	Rykert,
Cimon (Charlevoix),	Lantier,	Scott,
Cimon (Chicoutimi),	Longley,	Shaw,
Colby,	Macdonald (Kings),	Sproule,
Coupal,	Macdonald (Sir John),	Strange,
Coursol,	McDonald (Cape Breton),	Tellier,
Daly,	Macmillan,	Tilley,
Daoust,	McCallum,	Tupper,
Dawson,	McCuaig,	Tyrwhitt,
Desaulniers,	McDougald,	Valin,
Desjardins,	McLelan,	Vanasse,
Domville,	McRory,	Wade,
Elliott,	Massue,	Wallace (Norfolk),
Farrow,	Merner,	Wallace (York),
Fortin,	Méthot,	White (Cardwell),
Gigault,	Mongenais,	White (Hastings),
Girouard (Jac. Cartier),	Mousseau,	White (Renfrew),
Girouard (Kent),	O'Connor,	Williams.—99.

Mr. TROW. I wish to move an amendment as regards the county I have the honor to represent, more for the sake of the people than for myself, because it is a matter of indifference to me whether I shall continue to represent it or not. I think it is generally known that it was to oblige the people and not myself that ever I came to Parliament. However, the Government have seen fit to disarrange that riding in such a manner as to disfigure it. This is probably as bad a case as there is in the whole Province of Ontario. There is no necessity of changing the population, because the two ridings of Perth would have made two very nice constituencies with similar population and about the number requisite to return a member. There was no need of disarranging the whole county for the purpose of forming four or five new constituencies, and these two ridings more particularly. Had there been a change either north or west there would not have been such a difference. But to place those two old townships that have been side by side so long in the positions proposed, and which have rolled up majorities time and again for the Liberals, is rather unfair. They have not now any power when thrown into a riding with 1,000 of a majority of opposite opinions. If the township of South Easthope was not taken back from Oxford, why not return the township of North Easthope to the south riding of Perth? It would not disarrange the smaller county by letting North Easthope return to the county from which it was taken, and it would not disturb Oxford or Huron. I noticed in one of the most ultra-Tory papers in a western county that they have predicted my defeat. They have arranged for that result, I presume. The editor goes on to state that his friend in North Perth is now secure. I know there was no possibility of his securing his election had those changes not been made. I have no particular objection to the Government securing the election of their friends;