

## ANNEX C

### A LIST OF BRIEFS ON VOLUME 2

A large number of briefs commenting on the recommendations of Volume 2 were produced by various organizations and associations. Many were sent direct to SCITEC, presumably on a confidential basis. Government departments and agencies sent their comments directly to the Ministry of State for Science and Technology, also on a confidential basis. Some private associations presented their views to MOSST without communicating them to the Committee. Nevertheless, we have attempted to compile a list of these responses, and hope there are not too many omissions. The list does not include the many articles that appeared in newspapers, magazines, and journals.

Letter from THE CANADIAN MANUFACTURERS' ASSOCIATION dated February 5, 1971, signed by A. G. W. Sinclair, President.

Recommendations of THE LIBRARY COMMITTEE, SHERIDAN PARK, regarding the Lamontagne Report, dated February 19, 1971—Mr. B. M. Hewat, President.

Letter from THE ONTARIO CANCER INSTITUTE, Toronto, 12 February 1971, signed by H. E. Johns, Ph.D., Head Physics Division.

Initial Response of SCITEC to Vol. 2 of Senate Report on Science Policy.

SCIENCE COUNCIL OF CANADA, April 1972, Issues in Canadian Science Policy, A commentary on some aspects of Volume 2 of the Report of the Senate Committee on Science Policy.

MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL Commentary on the Lamontagne Report, Volume 2, March 17, 1972.

THE CANADIAN COUNCIL OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, Ottawa. Brief to the Minister of State for Science & Technology and to