Inquiries of the Ministry

under the jurisdiction of the provincial governments. The federal government, except through recourse to the Criminal Code, cannot act on its own, and I do not suggest that any consideration is being given to finding ways in which we could act. However, when we come to discuss the water act the opposition will realize that there will be indications that in some instances we plan to resort to the provisions of the Criminal Code. We hope however that, in the initial stages at least, we will always act in consultation with the provinces. If this system works, we might extend our regulations from water pollution to land pollution, as has been suggested.

## **AIRPORTS**

STE. SCHOLASTIQUE—USE OF JUMBO JETS AT NEW INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

Mr. John L. Skoberg (Moose Jaw): Mr. Speaker, my question is directed to the Minister of Transport. In reply to a question asked on June 18 concerning the spending of \$500 million on an airport to service aircraft which may not even go into service the Minister of Finance said:

If we do not have jumbo jets we will have supersonic jets.

In view of the fact that neither the Department of Transport nor the C.T.C. have approved of supersonic planes flying over Canada and landing here, would the Minister of Transport say why Air Canada has placed an order for supersonic planes at this time?

Mr. Speaker: Order please. The question should be placed on the order paper.

Mr. Skoberg: On a point of order, Mr. Speaker, I placed this question on the order paper and received an answer, but I would like the situation clarified. How can Air Canada place an order for these planes if permission has not been given to their operating in Canada?

TORONTO—LOCATION OF INTERCITY JET

Hon. Robert L. Stanfield (Leader of the Opposition): Mr. Speaker, I would ask the Minister of Transport whether he has had an opportunity to consider my request that he make a statement to the house with regard to his position on the proposed intercity airport in Toronto. Has he had an opportunity to [Mr. Trudeau.]

consider the matter, and will he make a statement in the next few days?

Hon. Donald C. Jamieson (Minister of Transport): I have considered this question, Mr. Speaker, and am pleased to tell the Leader of the Opposition that I will be very happy to make a statement in the house if time permits, or to arrange a briefing for anybody who wishes to know the full story behind our whole rationalization plan for southern Ontario in this regard.

## INQUIRY OF THE MINISTRY

Mr. Mac T. McCutcheon (Lambton-Kent): Mr. Speaker, I should like to direct a question to the Prime Minister. Since the house is sitting overtime in an effort to clear up government business prior to the proposed recess, would the Prime Minister consider having it sit next Tuesday? I ask this question because apparently the Prime Minister is not going anywhere and we will be stuck with him here.

## PENITENTIARIES

FEDERAL PRISON AT MILLHAVEN—ACTION TAKEN TO MEET CRITICISM

Mr. John Gilbert (Broadview): Mr. Speaker, my question is directed to the genial and gracious Solicitor General. Is he concerned about the constructive criticism that has been made concerning the new penitentiary at Millhaven, which will replace Kingston penitentiary? If so, what does he intend to do about it?

Hon. G. J. McIlraith (Solicitor General): Mr. Speaker, the Solicitor General is concerned about all criticism of new institutions being built for the penitentiary service. He studies all such criticism and takes the appropriate action indicated by each piece of criticism and his evaluation of it.

Mr. Gilbert: A supplementary question, Mr. Speaker. Just what action does the minister intend taking with regard to Millhaven?

Mr. McIlraith: Just which criticism is the hon. member referring to, Mr. Speaker?

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh.

Mr. Gilbert: There have been so many criticisms that probably the minister has difficulty in differentiating among them.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please.