

their very nature cannot fairly or reasonably be subject to appeal.

The appeal then is, on its face and in substance, admittedly a bulwark under a free constitution. So long as honest men differ, litigants will demand the right of appeal.

It is therefore not the appeal itself, but the *abuse* of the privilege of appeal, which meets the condemnation of lovers of fair play in court procedure.

The leading minds of bench and bar are foremost in the agitation against a system which encourages and promotes *cumbrous and costly* appeal activities, in the way of too many appellate courts and too many distinct and expensive motions and arguments beyond the ken and beyond the pocket of the honest, plain, everyday bread-winner who, perhaps once in his whole natural life, asks the court to "remedy a wrong." When the trial judgment is pronounced against the "chronic litigant," he often cares not a snap how