re-imbursing the Limit Holder. »

In my remarks I suggested that too much wood cannot be left to the Settler, I even went so far as to call upon the Honorable Minister of Crown Lands to join hands with the Minister of Colonization to see if there would not be some possibility of coming to an agreement by which the Limit Holder would consent to leave the wood on the Reserves, that would be there when the same were created.

Because I made this suggestion, Mr. Owen makes the following childish criticism:

« Mr. Kelly does not seem to wish to be unreasonable, but apparently he is acquainted only with one side of the controversy.
He suggests that a Limit Holder might be given land in one place to compensate him for that taken in another; and this suggestion is evidently made in a spirit of fair play.
But how absurd would it be to take property adjacent to a market away and to substitute for it land which is possibly near no available market. Or, take another instance:

Does Mr. Kelly mean that after a man has