AUTONOMY, PROVINCIAL, IN THE NORTH-WEST-Con.

Borden, R. L. (Carleton, Ont.)-Con.

of such a suggestion-7923. Were not these principles embodied in a commission to the judges ?- 7926. Why is it necessary to have a very great discrepancy?-7931. Laurier's statement most unwarrantable-The question was with regard to 7932. High River-7933. He is not applying the same principle to each case-7934. principle Laurier laid down-7935. The Can he point to one instance in Ontario where such a principle was applied?-7936. There should be a commission of independent men to delimit the constituencies—7937. Was entitled to know something of Laurier's intention—7938. Was talking of a conference for delimitation—7939. I am depending upon truth-7940. Laurier's reference to Great Britain entirely irrelevant-7943. How can I be accused of not adopting something of which I never heard?—7944. Why is the unit of popula-tion so greatly departed from?—7949. Presumes you deal with conditions, as in the case of the provinces in 1903-7950. We came as near the unit as possible-7951. Haultain deserves no such language as Oliver has paid to him-7965. I do not know that the language is worth noticing -7966. The west argument in the world for reference to some tribunal-7967. Fails to find any justification for anything that has been said by Oliver or Laurier-7968. They are represented-7973. What are the sources of information affecting Athabaska ?- 7980. 1 hat does not give us much information about the schools-7981.

Moves amendment to section 12, distribution of seats-8004-5-6. In delimiting the ridings of Alberta, the unorganized terri-tory added must be regarded—8011. Its people have the same claims to representation as those of the rest of Alberta-8012. If we depart from the census, what have we?—8013. The suggestion we have made is one Laurier ought to accept— 8014. The delimitation then made was absolutely unfair—8015. I have no part in the sufficience of the sufficience soft any controversy about the capitals-8016. Hands his amendment to the clerk-8017. Oliver not in possession of sufficient information to come to that conclusion-8018. No justification for giving that dis-trict two members—8019. Where has that principle been applied to a city the size of Calgary?—8020. Then every one in the Northwest is a pioneer—8021. They both estimate the Indian population alike-8022. Asks the number of pupils attend-ing the schools-8023. The two amendments were not the same-8024. Is there not the same general community of in-terest?—8026. I made no such argument --8027. How will it affect the people of think we should pass a vote of censure on the legislature of British Columbia?-There is not expectation of greater 8035 development in that district than in any other-8044. Hopes Laurier will adopt their suggestions, and modify the schedule -8045. The question of capital does not affect the conclusions-8048. These mat-

AUTONOMY, PROVINCIAL, IN THE NORTH-WEST-Con.

Borden, R. L. (Carleton, Ont.)-Con.

ters are not transacted by personal interviews-8049. The distribution might be affected by other conditions-8050. The main test-8051. Alberta has about voters to each member-8052. Wh 1,000 What is Talbot's statement based on ?-8066. What has that to do with the homestead entries?-8067. Never heard this argument in the House before-8076. A case of ap-plying a gerrymander to this province-8077: The redistribution of 1903 on the principle that we had full power over the Territories-8078. Area was never taken into consideration-8079. The argument would have been laughed at if put for-ward—8080. They came in on agreement— 8081. Gentlemen from the Territories gave us these boundaries—8082. The premier was to give some information regarding school attendance—8086. Fitzpatrick has some information-8090. We had no information from the voters' lists -8091. Would like an estimate of popu-lation for each constituency-8092. The number of pupils might afford us basis for estimate-8093. The minister should get ready for it-8094. Asks for figures in regard to the schools-8095-6. The information received not of much use-8148. The government has no information as to population of Cardston-8149. the Presumes area of Lethbridge is correct-8150. How much is taken from Pincher and added to Macleod ?- 8151. Wants an estimate of the actual population in each constituency—8152. The area of Pincher reduced—8153. The area of Red Deer— 8155. The area of Lacombe and Ponoka-8156. The area of Wetaskiwin and Leduc -8157. Saskatoon looks much larger than the figures indicate—8161. The area of Sturgeon—8162. Area and population of Peace River-8163. This distribution I re-gard as a piece of political trickery-8164-5. Might take up the section relating to lands-8100. The rights referred to will be vested in the province-8169. Suggests two amendments-\$170. The change is in using the words 'Crown lands' in-stead of 'Dominion lands'-\$171. The point of section 20-8172. Will endeavour to use the same language-8173. Might take the land clause first-8175. Clauses will have to be considered separately for the purposes of amendments-8176.

The object to be attained is very plain, not easy to adopt the exact form of words necessary—8240. The probable answer to objections—8241. Why does not Oliver Why does not Oliver fix the capital at Peace River?-8248. As regards the amendment it refers to the schedule, but the schedule of course we have not prepared-8260. No such leases have been originated—\$264. I suppose that Fitzpatrick has not yet come to a conclusion about the proposed amendment 3-8268-to section 15-8269. In my opinion, we are obliged to apply to the new province the provisions of the B. N. A. Act-8276. I shall ask Laurier before the conclusion of the session this after-

52