(2) If the most-favoured-nation rate were 36 per cent ad valorem and the preferential rate were expressed as two-thirds of the most-favoured nation rate, the margin of preference would be 12 per cent ad valorem;

(3) If the most-favoured-nation rate were 2 francs per kilogram and the preferential rate were 1.50 francs per kilogram, the margin of prefer

ence would be 0.50 francs per kilogram.

The following kinds of customs action, taken in accordance with established uniform procedures, would not be contrary to a general binding of margins of preference:

(i) the re-application to an imported product of a tariff classification of rate of duty, properly applicable to such product, in cases in which the application of such classification or rate to such product was tempor-

arily suspended or inoperative on April 10, 1947; and

(ii) the classification of a particular product under a tariff item other than that under which importations of that product were classified on April 10, 1947, in cases in which the tariff law clearly contemplates that such product may be classified under more than one tariff item.

## ad Article II

## Paragraph 2(a)

The cross reference, in paragraph 2 (a) of Article II, to paragraph 2 of Article III shall only apply after Article III has been modified by the entry into force of the amendment provided for in the Protocol Modifying Part II and Article XXVI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, dated September 14, 1948.

Paragraph 2(b)

See the note relating to paragraph 1 of Article I.

Paragraph 4

Except where otherwise specifically agreed between the contracting parties which initially negotiated the concession, the provisions of this paragraph will be applied in the light of the be applied in the light of the provisions of Article 31 of the Hayana Charter.

## ad ARTICLE III

Any internal tax or other internal charge, or any law, regulation or require ment of the kind referred to in paragraph 1 which applies to an imported product and to the like domestic product and is collected or enforced in the case of the imported product at the time or point of importation, is nevertheless to be regarded as an internal terms. regarded as an internal tax or other internal charge, or a law, regulation or requirement of the kind referred to in paragraph 1, and is accordingly subject to the provisions of Article III.

Paragraph 1

The application of paragraph 1 to internal taxes imposed by local governts and authorities within the state of the state o ments and authorities within the territory of a contracting party is subject to the provisions of the finel provisions of the the provisions of the final paragraph of Article XXIV. The term "reasonable measures" in the last months. measures" in the last-mentioned paragraph would not require, for example, the repeal of existing patiental levil in the last-mentioned paragraph would not require, for example, the repeal of existing patiental levil in the last-mentioned paragraph would not require, for example, the repeal of existing patiental levil in the last-mentioned paragraph would not require, for example, the repeal of existing patiental levil in the last-mentioned paragraph would not require. repeal of existing national legislation authorizing local governments to impose internal taxes which although the control of t internal taxes which, although technically inconsistent with the letter of Article III are not in fact inconsistent III are not in fact inconsistent with its spirit, if such repeal would result in a serious financial hardship fact the with its spirit, if such repeal would result in a serious financial hardship for the local governments or authorities concerned. With