tr own language.

tion—and even
for. Scholars
as the time of
the seventeenth
e of our great
the right thing
light of writing
Latin; he was
iver Cromwell;

ek. ge grows ; 'erflows.

then, our lanoff. It is still,
ll, in its notapudding-stone
fact, not one
the English,
of these three,
the Normann notation is

set; and the moralised by is scored all he language centres, with fragments of Norman-French writing, and with fragments of classical Latin, not to mention the errors of copyists;* and this is what. English children have to be taught. The language is full of marks—like w, y, i, gh, and e—which have no meaning or force whatever to the child, which are simply of value to the philologist as history; twhile they are 'stumbling-blocks and rocks of offence' in the primary schoolroom.

The dialectic character of the language 's everywhere visible. Among scores of instances there is one that shews how our traditional usages of writing and pronouncing not only hinder the progress of the child, but obscure the plainest facts of the language. The simple symbol one we pronounce as if it were written wun. That is, we have adopted, for this word, a Dorsetshire or West of England pronunciation. We say wun; but we draw the line there, and we do not go on to say wuts for oats, or woak for oak. Again, in the compounds of o-n-e, we entirely discard the Dorsetshire pronunciation, and give it the simple sound which all analogy entitles it to have, and make it rhyme with tone. Thus in only, alone, and atone, ± one has its correct and 'natural' sound.

^{*} Such as the philological blunder of the g in foreign and sovereign; and the vulgar blunders in tongue, grocer, foxglove, &c.

[†] The gutturals of our language now disguise themselves as w, gh, y, i, &c.—as w in sorrow, as gh in light, as y in day, and as i in hail.

‡ Atone, in the sixteenth century, meant to come together into one.

Thus Shakespeare has (in As You Like It, V. iv. 116):

^{&#}x27;When earthly things, made even, Atone together.'