

Example XXIII shows the numerical relation of the Masking Numbers used. The arithmetical proportions are as subjoined:—

CANADIAN.		UNITED STATES.	
1872-3...	666,303—578,517	=	391,452—303,666
1873-4...	728,553—666,303	=	303,666—241,416
1874-5...	727,587—666,303	=	303,666—242,382

And investing, permeating, dishallowing and veiling all, is the Number **666**, with its fatal import. Is it not time to lift the veil and scan the features it enfolds?

THE MASKING SERIES.

The full, sufficient Series from which all the quantities have been derived is as subjoined:—

666	"The Number of the Beast"...Revelation, Chapter 13, verse 18			
42	"Months"—"Weeks"—"Days"	"	"	5
10	"Crowns"—"Horns"	"	"	1
7	"Heads"	"	"	1
2	"Horns"	"	"	11

The relation of these figures to the masking quantities and the elements of the ratio

$$666,303—303,666$$

is shown in the following arithmetical proportions:—

I.		II.	III.		IV.
1.....	731	— 666	"The Number of the Beast"	=	368 — 303
2.....	603	— 42	"Months"	=	1164 — 603
3.....	168	— 10	"Crowns"	=	368 — 210
4.....	10	— 7	"Heads"	=	7 — 4
5.....	363	— 2	"Horns"	=	368 — 7
		<hr/>			<hr/>
		1875 727			2275 1127
		<hr/>			<hr/>
		1875			2275
		1127			727
		<hr/>			<hr/>
		3002			3002

$$\text{And } 1127 - 727 = 2275 - 1875$$

If any one of these quantities be changed even by unity, all must be changed, for they are mutually dependant. But the figures in II are a group by themselves in sacred association, and inseparably linked with **666**, and with the ratio 666,303 — 303,666.

The other masking numbers will be found in Example XXIII and in the "Preliminary Table Ratios."

I have limited the exposition of these frightful characteristics to the Exports of the United States and all British North and South America only, but I regret to say that they extend to certain records of the United States Exports to other countries. Application is seen in the questions relating to remission of duties, and the table of percentages found at the bottom of page 1879 in the tainted "Documents and Proceedings of the 'Halifax Commission.'"

I have also reason to think that the ratio 666,303—303,666 is of much wider application, and is entering into our morality and religion with consuming power.

CONCLUSION.

No other figures will satisfy all the conditions involved in this vast imposition. The arithmetical proportions are convincing. The analogy is so striking that appropriation suggests itself at once. The impression is strengthened by the relation of 168 (weeks) to 4 times 42 months; 210 to 168 and 42; 368 and 10 to 368; all the numerical relations shown in Example XXIII, together with the fact that the numbers exactly make up the differences and the fraudulent items noticed in Example XXIV. But the arithmetical proportions cast doubt aside. If there were one failure in all the relations I have pointed out or in any necessary relation, it might justly be urged as an objection to the view of appropriation, but as far as I have been able to analyze the relations, there is no failure.