

## APPENDIX H.

LIST of men in the Departments, of 65 years of age and upwards.

Department.	Names.	Rank.	Salary.	Years' service.	Age.
			\$ cts.		
Governor's Secretary.....	Lt. Col. Irvine.....	Aide de Camp.....	1,840 00	15	68
Privy Council.....	W. H. Lee.....	Deputy .....	2,600 00	48	69
" .....	F. Vallerand.. . . .	1st Class Clerk.....	1,400 00	36	75
Secretary of State.....	E. Parent .....	Asst. Secretary.....	2,840 00	26	67
	S. G. Murray.....	3rd Class Clerk.....	750 00	34	69
Customs .....	H. H. Duffill.....	1st do .....	1,400 00	25	73
Inland Revenue.....	L. J. O'Brien .....	1st do .....	1,400 00	12	71
Post Office.....	J. Boyd.....	2nd do .....	980 00	12	68

## APPENDIX I.

CLERKS who, from the nature of their duties, are recommended by the Deputies of their Departments for a higher rank than they would be entitled to by the rules.

Department.	Name.	Theoretical rank.	Present rank.	When eligible for promotion.
Secretary of State.....	L. Vankoughnet.....	Senior 2nd.....	Junior 2nd.....	July, 1870.
Do .....	F. P. Austin.....	do .....	do .....	July, 1869.
Do .....	J. Lecourt.....	do .....	do .....	July, 1873.
Receiver General.....	J. B. H. Neeve.....	do .....	do .....	July, 1870.
Finance.....	H. A. Jones.....	Junior 2nd.....	3rd.....	July, 1871.
Customs.....	W. A. Bell.....	Senior 2nd.....	Junior 2nd.....	Jan., 1871.
Inland Revenue.....	J. F. Brown.....	do .....	do .....	Jan., 1870.
Do .....	A. Begg.....	do .....	do .....	July, 1869.
Public Works.....	J. B. French.....	do .....	do .....	July, 1873.
Do .....	*E. H. Parent.....	do .....	3rd.....	July, 1871.
Do .....	J. Guerin.....	do .....	Junior 2nd ..	July, 1869.
Post Office.....	J. C. Patterson.....	Junior 2nd.....	3rd.....	Jan., 1870.
Do .....	J. W. McDougall.....	do .....	do .....	July, 1871.
Agriculture.. . . .	S. S. Finden.....	Senior 2nd.....	do 2nd.....	Jan., 1869.
Do .....	C. C. Neville.....	do .....	Junior .....	Jan., 1869.
Do .....	S. Drapeau.....	do .....	do .....	July, 1870.
Do .....	W. H. Johnston.....	do .....	do .....	July, 1869.

\* Mr. Parent is receiving a per diem pay, and is thus classed as an extra clerk, but his position, both as to length of service and official duties, is exactly the same as that of Mr. Guerin. We think that in this case the strict application of the rule would operate unjustly, and that his case should be as favorably treated as that of Mr. Guerin.