

saying, but there was an implication that our University would desire to control the product and perhaps receive a royalty from the English firm if the manufacture were carried out in Great Britain. It seemed to both Chipman and myself, ~~both~~ wiser to have no commercial arrangements of any kind with any firm in Great Britain, though I think it might perhaps be understood that the sale of the British product in America should be restricted in some way, so as not to interfere for a reasonable length of time with the sale of any American or Canadian product that is made. It is, of course, understood that Lily will not try to sell his product in Great Britain.

I hope this very lengthy screed is not so involved as to obscure the main thought that is in our minds. Briefly, after hearing all the evidence, we doubt if it is wise for McGill to issue a patent, and we would certainly have a better academic standing among our British colleagues if we refrained. The original arrangement, without a patent, seems to be the better policy.

So long as Lily has a fair start with the manufacture and sale of the product, there would seem to be no hurry for details. When Collip arrives, he can discuss the matter further with all those concerned. I would just like to add that both Dale and Fletcher spoke of Collip's personal academic attitude in the very highest terms.

I will write you about other matters later.

With all kindest regards,

Faithfully yours,

C. G. Martin .

Sir Arthur Currie,  
McGill University,  
Montreal, CANADA.