

*Proceedings on Adjournment Motion*

doctrine. I think the best way to explain this would be to read what Mr. Peacock wrote in his letter:

Yesterday we had as a guest speaker in our school a representative of the "Company of Young Canadians" by the name of Mr. Graham Deline. He had written to us several weeks ago indicating that he would be in this area and that his services would be available to the students of our school.

I had thought that this would be an ideal opportunity for Grade 13 students to contact a representative of a much heralded organization. We made arrangements for him to have the opportunity to meet and talk with all our Grade 13 students. What he said to them, while rather radical, was in the main, tolerable. Our Guidance Department arranged that he have lunch with them at a local restaurant and three students were invited to accompany them.

After this meeting, the students returned to the High School and protested the fact that they were being bombarded with Communist propaganda. Enclosed please find a copy of the Canadian Worker, one of several which he distributed to our students.

This gentleman had a young lady with him who, while not a member of the "Company of Young Canadians", claimed to be doing social work with "hippy" groups in the Ottawa area. A group she mentioned that she was associated with is called "The Diggers". I don't know the significance of the term "Diggers"; all I know is that the first Communist movement in the Western World originated in Puritan England in the 1660's and was called "The Diggers".

Mr. Deline told our students that he was working through and with Universities and Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology in the Ottawa area. Some time ago, Mr. Diefenbaker brought to the attention of the House that a large number of the members of the "Company of Young Canadians" had extreme leftist tendencies. I present you with a fact, not a rumour.

I should have expected that as a High School Principal I could have been safe in drawing a speaker from an agency established by our Federal Parliament, and that our school was not risking exposure of our students to revolutionary propaganda. I personally object to contributing as a taxpayer to the employment of people who make it their business to undermine everything I respect.

The letter continues, but I should like to give just a little idea of the type of propaganda that was distributed to those students. This is a copy of the *Canadian Worker* with the headline "High School Struggle Begins". Then it states:

The first known high school struggle in Canada in recent years involving a group with a clear-cut socialist strategy broke out into the open at Forest Hill Collegiate last Monday, April 28.

Such words as "bourgeois press" are used. Then, the article goes on to state:

The paper also carried a parable of Forest Hill Collegiate, an academic school located in a predominately Jewish bourgeois and petit-bourgeois "professional" suburb—

And so on.

[Mr. Stewart (Cochrane).]

The article then mentions the lessons taught to the students. There are several points in it, the first being what Lenin had to say. It reads:

Mao says, "Dare to struggle, Dare to win". Events at Forest Hill have proven that no significant change, even the bourgeois democratic struggle (explained by Lenin as the necessary stage to precede the fight for socialism) now being led by the Student Guard, involves a hard fought battle.

The paper is full of this kind of thing. In one of the papers we have advertisements for books written by Mao Tse-tung and other works by other Marxist authors. This is the first time to my knowledge that a specific case has been brought forth indicating that the Company of Young Canadians may be influenced by people who are actively involved in communist agencies.

The people of Canada are supporting this Company of Young Canadians. I suggest it is time that people like this fellow Deline were thrown out of the organization. It is time we made a thorough examination of the organization to ensure that there are no others like him. Perhaps this fellow has already left the organization and is now acting under false pretences. I do not know that, but this would make the situation even worse because he would be giving the impression he had the approval of the federal government to work against everything we hold dear.

I am not one of those who feel that communism is just another political idea. I believe this is something that works against the fibre of our Canadian nation. We cannot sit here and allow this kind of thing to happen, particularly when these people are using Canadian funds to oppose what we, as a free nation, are trying to do.

● (10:20 p.m.)

**Mr. Robert Stanbury (Parliamentary Secretary to Secretary of State):** Mr. Speaker, the hon. member knows, of course, that the Company of Young Canadians is an independent organization by statute. It is not directed in any way by government, is subject to review by parliament and particularly the committee of which the hon. member is a part. However, I would be very pleased to pass on to the Company through the Secretary of State (Mr. Pelletier), the hon. member's information and comments.

I have been advised by the Company of Young Canadians that Graham Deline is not a member, although he is a former volunteer of the Company; that the Company had received