

appropriate to the mandate and will not damage Canada's relations with other states; whether Canadian participation will jeopardize other commitments; whether there is a single identifiable authority competent to support the operation and influence the disputants; and whether participation is adequately and equitably funded and logistically supported. Moreover, each of our current commitments is routinely reviewed in light of these criteria.³

Parliamentary Comment

The issue was not raised in the House of Commons.

Current References

Dabros, M. R., "The Multinational Force and Observers: A New Experience in Peacekeeping for Canada", Canadian Defence Quarterly, October 1986, pp. 32-35.

Diehl, P. F., "When Peacekeeping Does Not Lead to Peace: Some Notes on Conflict Resolution", Bulletin of Peace Proposals, March 1987, pp. 47-53.

DND, Challenge and Commitment, June 1987.

Skjeksback, K., "Peaceful settlement of disputes by the United Nations and other intergovernmental bodies", Cooperation and Conflict, Vol. 21, No. 3, 1986, pp. 139-154.

Further Reading

Byers, R. B. and Slack, M., Canada and Peacekeeping: Prospects for the Future, Toronto: York University Research Programme in Strategic Studies, 1983.

MacPherson, J.R., "A Canadian Initiative and Its Results: Active Peacemaking After Thirty Years", Canadian Defence Quarterly, Vol.16, No.1, Summer 1986, pp.42-49.

³ DND, Challenge and Commitment, 5 June 1987, p. 24.