

Poetry.

THE OLD MAN.
All day he sits on the rustic seat.
That stands in his lonely home;

No, not alone; for the Lord has given—
To each, a guardian one—
Could we look with a spirit's vision there,

Ecclesiastical Intelligence.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

COLONIAL CLERGY DISABILITIES BILL.

[Abstracted from Report in English Churchman.]
The House went into Committee on this Bill.

Mr. DUNLOP moved, in lines 2 and 4, to leave out the words "metropolitan of any province, or the Bishop of any province," and insert "Bishops and Clergy."

Mr. DUNLOP moved, in lines 2 and 4, to leave out the words "metropolitan of any province, or the Bishop of any province," and insert "Bishops and Clergy."

Mr. DUNLOP moved, in lines 2 and 4, to leave out the words "metropolitan of any province, or the Bishop of any province," and insert "Bishops and Clergy."

Mr. DUNLOP moved, in lines 2 and 4, to leave out the words "metropolitan of any province, or the Bishop of any province," and insert "Bishops and Clergy."

Mr. DUNLOP moved, in lines 2 and 4, to leave out the words "metropolitan of any province, or the Bishop of any province," and insert "Bishops and Clergy."

Mr. DUNLOP moved, in lines 2 and 4, to leave out the words "metropolitan of any province, or the Bishop of any province," and insert "Bishops and Clergy."

Mr. R. PHILLIMORE objected to the amendment, which he thought would cause confusion. Mr. NAPIER professed his inability to understand the bill.

Mr. DUNLOP, in reply, said that the clergy of the Church of Scotland did not scruple to meet in Diocesan Synods in Scotland, as they were not considered part and parcel of the Church of England.

The CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER entered his protest against the course taken to create an opposition to the present measure.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. G. PHILLIMORE cited the authority of Sir Thomas More and Bishop Fisher against the arguments adopted by the Solicitor-General.

Mr. DUNLOP, in reply, said that the clergy of the Church of Scotland did not scruple to meet in Diocesan Synods in Scotland.

The CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER entered his protest against the course taken to create an opposition to the present measure.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. G. PHILLIMORE cited the authority of Sir Thomas More and Bishop Fisher against the arguments adopted by the Solicitor-General.

Mr. DUNLOP, in reply, said that the clergy of the Church of Scotland did not scruple to meet in Diocesan Synods in Scotland.

The CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER entered his protest against the course taken to create an opposition to the present measure.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. G. PHILLIMORE cited the authority of Sir Thomas More and Bishop Fisher against the arguments adopted by the Solicitor-General.

Mr. DUNLOP, in reply, said that the clergy of the Church of Scotland did not scruple to meet in Diocesan Synods in Scotland.

The CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER entered his protest against the course taken to create an opposition to the present measure.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. G. PHILLIMORE cited the authority of Sir Thomas More and Bishop Fisher against the arguments adopted by the Solicitor-General.

Mr. DUNLOP, in reply, said that the clergy of the Church of Scotland did not scruple to meet in Diocesan Synods in Scotland.

The CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER entered his protest against the course taken to create an opposition to the present measure.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. G. PHILLIMORE cited the authority of Sir Thomas More and Bishop Fisher against the arguments adopted by the Solicitor-General.

Mr. DUNLOP, in reply, said that the clergy of the Church of Scotland did not scruple to meet in Diocesan Synods in Scotland.

The CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER entered his protest against the course taken to create an opposition to the present measure.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.

Mr. DUNLOP then proposed to amend the bill, and he was supported by the hon. member for Greenock.