why the Court should not interfere, and the prayer of the petition we refused.

I do not think it necessary to go further into the circumstances subsquent to the separation and the birth and baptiam of the child, but I may express my regret that if these parties cannot be cordially reconciled and live together as man and wife, which I still hope may not be impossible, that the overtures of the defendant who does not appear to have been indisposed to make an allowance for his wife, to Mr. Venning had no been met in a way which might have led to a compromise a compremise which it may be still hoped may not be impracticable.

With these views on the case, I am of opinion the Libel must be dismissed.

J. A. & W. STREET, Proctors for Proponent.

BAYARD & THOMSON, Proctors for Respondent.

istera L It l her is not nconessed

n the much en to f coninless vrong ation ort of I do oking 32, is

o live

lulge e law mere cular on of ıdivias of nderlaw. inow ; for If it night with been ngetious

ning ays, hout eccesf the n by remases fore nder not one arn-, for