

*Hester C. Butterton*  
Prof. Hobley  
January 17/98

C 6051

cannot be taken care of by existing or resolutions. Canons to formulate  
of having of a more legal & ecclesiastical kind & with a view to  
positive enforcement of it either inter eccles. acts or the medium  
& confessional.

We saw first attempt to prohibit, fraud = second attempt  
to effect a just price (platum frumentorum)

Justum pretium  
as to idea itself. This an idea we have passed along  
away from modern theoretic scientific economics, to  
modern economists explain their func't. they have no right  
to consider questions of justice or questions of reason, in  
sense wh one talks of a "reasonable" price. Some stdt  
other than market necessity. The modern economist simply  
deals with prices as they are, prices as the opposing  
forces wh one sum up as supply & demand bring  
about. — A great deal of most recent economic writing  
by Australians is concerned with analyses of supply  
& demand, leaving up of phenomena of

" " " with a couple of consider' & forces chiefly  
psychological. In so doing it does not concern it to him  
to pronounce any sort of judgment on the character of  
these psychological forces. but simply to state its  
extant result. Yet the concept is still in popular  
mind. As soon as an attempt is made by lego-  
n & philanthropy or public opinion to enforce or maintain  
a just price the same questions are raised as re modern  
concept of a just price. The mid-scholman  
lays down that a "just price" shld be pd e gmen.  
The ? arises how to determine a just price  
as to whos standards are not so clear. Yet when we follow  
their line of just & of thought we necessarily come