

<i>Bourbeau,</i>	<i>Gigault,</i>	<i>Montplaisir,</i>	<i>Wallace (Albert),</i>
<i>Bryson,</i>	<i>Gillmor,</i>	<i>Mulock,</i>	<i>Watson,</i>
<i>Burpee (Sunbury),</i>	<i>Gordon,</i>	<i>O'Brien,</i>	<i>Wells,</i>
<i>Cameron (Inverness),</i>	<i>Gunn,</i>	<i>Paterson (Brant),</i>	<i>Wheler,</i>
<i>Cameron (Middlesex),</i>	<i>Hall,</i>	<i>Pinsonneault,</i>	<i>Williams,</i>
<i>Campbell (Renfrew),</i>	<i>Harley,</i>	<i>Platt,</i>	<i>Wilson,</i>
<i>Cartwright,</i>	<i>Hay,</i>	<i>Ray,</i>	<i>Wood (Brockville),</i>
<i>Catudal,</i>	<i>Hickey,</i>	<i>Reid,</i>	<i>Wood (Westmoreland),</i>
<i>Cimon,</i>	<i>Holton,</i>	<i>Robertson (Hastings),</i>	<i>Woodworth, and</i>
<i>Cochrane,</i>	<i>Innes,</i>	<i>Scriver,</i>	<i>Wright.- 88.</i>

So it passed in the Negative.

And the Question being again proposed, That the Bill be now read the third time;

Mr. *McCarthy* moved, in amendment, seconded by Mr. *Haggart*, That all the words after "now" to the end of the Question, be left out, and the words, "re-committed to a Committee of the Whole House, for further consideration," inserted instead thereof;

And the Question being put on the Amendment:—It was resolved in the Affirmative.

Then the main Question, so amended, being put;

Ordered, That the Bill be now re-committed to a Committee of the Whole House, for further consideration.

The House accordingly again resolved itself into the Committee, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. *Hall* reported, That the Committee had further amended the Bill.

Ordered, That the Bill, as amended in the Committee, be now taken into consideration.

The House accordingly proceeded to take the Bill into consideration.

And the Question being proposed, That the Bill be now read the third time;

Mr. *Irvine* moved, in amendment, seconded by Mr. *Allen*, That all the words after "now" to the end of the Question, be left out, and the words, "re-committed to a Committee of the Whole House, with power to amend the same by adding the following Section: 'That Sub-Section 3 of Section 3 of the said Act, which provides 'that nothing in the said Act shall apply to any person selling Liquor in any Refreshment Room at the Senate or House of Commons, or the Legislative Council or House of Assembly of any of the Provinces, by the permission, and under the control of the Senate, House of Commons, Legislative Council or House of Assembly, respectively, is hereby repealed,'" inserted instead thereof;

And the Question being put on the Amendment; the House divided: and it passed in the Negative.

And the Question being again proposed, That the Bill be now read the third time;

Mr. *Mills* moved, in amendment, seconded by Mr. *Vail*, That all the words after "now" to the end of the Question, be left out, and the words, "re-committed to a Committee of the Whole House, with power to amend the same, by providing that inasmuch as the decision in the *Queen vs. Hodge* has established the jurisdiction of the Provincial Legislatures over the subject of the issue of tavern, saloon and shop licenses, that the Liquor License Act of 1833 be repealed," inserted instead thereof;

And Objection having been taken to the proposed Amendment, on the ground that practically the same question had been negatived by the House on a previous occasion in the same Session; Mr. Speaker said, That the same question had been before the House on the 18th March last, and the House decided that the Liquor License Act should not be repealed, and it would not be reconcilable with that previous decision if the House were now to agree to consider the proposed Amendment.

Then the main Question being put;