

Questions

5. Is it the intention of the government to have one or all of these reports tabled?

6. Have there been any requests from police jurisdictions in Canada outside the federal government for information on this chemical?

7. If so, what has been the nature of the government's replies to these requests?

Mr. Yves Forest (Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council): I am informed by the Department of the Solicitor General and the Department of National Health and Welfare as follows: 1. Yes; a decision has been made by the R.C.M. Police that chemical "Mace" will not be used.

2. No.

3. No; however, the Department of National Health and Welfare replied to specific questions by the R.C.M. Police in respect to the chemical "Mace".

4. No.

5. Not applicable.

6. Yes.

7. The R.C.M. Police have supplied copies of the material they obtained from the Department of National Health and Welfare, on the chemical "Mace", to those police departments requesting them and to other major police departments.

GRAIN SHIPMENT COSTS TO CHURCHILL AND MONTREAL

Question No. 2,142—**Mr. Noble:**

What is the difference in cost to the Canadian Wheat Board of shipping a bushel of grain from the Prairies into the elevator at the Port of Churchill, Manitoba, compared to shipping a bushel of grain from the Prairies into a grain elevator at the Port of Montreal, Quebec?

Hon. Jean-Luc Pepin (Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): Average cost of moving wheat from country points to Churchill, 10.71 cents. Average cost of moving wheat from country points to Lakehead, 12.00 cents. Average cost of moving wheat from Lakehead to Montreal, 13.50 cents. It should be noted that the differential between the Lakehead and Montreal is recovered in the Canadian Wheat Board sales prices and is therefore not a cost to the Board.

FISHERIES AND FORESTRY SUPPORT PROGRAMS

Question No. 2,174—**Mr. Robinson:**

1. Does the Department of Fisheries and Forestry provide subsidies and/or support programs for any [Mr. Saltsman.]

segment of the economy, groups or individuals inside Canada and, if so, to what extent, in what amounts, and to whom are the amounts paid?

2. What amounts have been paid for subsidies and/or support programs during each of the years 1960-68 inclusive?

Mr. E. F. Whelan (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Fisheries and Forestry):

1. (a) Subsidies are provided by the Department of Fisheries and Forestry in the fishing industry for fishermen and fishing firms towards the construction of wooden fishing vessels to the extent of either 30 per cent, 40 per cent, or 50 per cent of the cost of construction. Payments to the fishermen and firms in this respect for 1969-70 are estimated at \$565,000; (b) In the fishing segment support is given to fishermen and fish processors, (i) through purchase and disposal of groundfish products, canned mackerel, salt cod, and Lake Erie yellow perch; (ii) through the provision of loans to companies engaged in processing groundfish products. Probable loans in 1969-70 are estimated at \$4,200,000; (iii) through re-settlement of families in Newfoundland. Payments in this respect are estimated at \$1,400,000 for 1969-70; (c) In the forestry segment, support of research in universities and other forestry activities is provided through a number of grants to forestry schools and to forestry organizations. Support is also given to the Province of Newfoundland under a 1965 agreement to assist with an inventory and land capability study. For 1969-70 the grants to Universities will amount to \$283,000, and assistance to the Province of Newfoundland is estimated at \$800,000. Grants to forestry organizations will be as follows: Canadian Forestry Association, \$50,000; Commonwealth Forestry Institute (3,000 pounds Sterling), \$8,000; British Columbia, Festival of Forestry Organization, \$10,000.

2. The following amounts were paid for subsidies and support programs during the years 1960-68: 1960-61, \$4,605,511; 1961-62, \$7,699,537; 1962-63, \$9,043,003; 1963-64, \$9,326,596; 1964-65, \$10,034,543; 1965-66, \$12,193,065; 1966-67, \$11,731,104; 1967-68, \$8,817,611; 1968-69, \$9,429,551.

INDIANS ON DEPARTMENTAL STAFF

Question No. 2,226—**Mr. Yewchuk:**

1. What is the total staff of the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development and how many of these are Indian?