

The Toronto World

FOUNDED 1850.
A Morning Newspaper Published Every Day in the Year.
WORLD BUILDING, TORONTO.
Corner James and Richmond Streets.
TELEPHONE CALLS:
Main 1300—Private Exchange Connecting all Departments.
Readers of The World will confer a favor upon the publishers if they will send information to this office of any news stand or railway train where a Toronto paper should be on sale and where The World is not offered.
MAIN 5308
Is The World's New Telephone Number.

MONDAY MORNING, JAN. 2, 1911.

WILL BENEFIT BY ANNEXATION.

North Toronto is now to all intents and purposes a part of Toronto. With few exceptions the residents of the town are engaged in the city either in their own business ventures or as employees. The social needs of the suburban population are the same as the people of Toronto's, and the assimilation of the two municipalities will be an economic benefit to both. Modern improvements are essential to the town if it is to hold its present status as a healthy, convenient, residential district. In a word, the town requires what the residents of Toronto are getting. Sewerage, water, good roads and sidewalks, fire and police protection, educational facilities, free postal delivery and a cheap telephone service. These are all necessary to the future and immediate development of North Toronto, and all will be readily available by voting for the annexation bylaw to-day.

The bugaboo of higher taxes which is being played by the landed proprietors has no substance. Toronto ratepayers are not overburdened with taxes, and North Toronto small property owners will find if they become citizens of Toronto that the benefits they will get will more than compensate them for the change. A vote for annexation means the expansion of the City of Toronto northward, so that due provision can be made for the influx of population which is promised during the next few years. Transportation and other difficulties which have hampered the growth of the town since its incorporation will be overcome as soon as it becomes an integral part of Toronto. A vote for annexation means quick development of a natural situation and assistance in making Toronto the leading business and residential city of the great Dominion of Canada.

FRUIT, PROPERTY AND ROADS.

The World's Grimby correspondent tells of property that was quoted a year ago at \$700 and \$800, selling this week for \$1000 an acre.

The reason is not to be found alone in the increasing demand for fruit and the better packing. Good roads, as much as any one thing, have been a decided factor in the increase in property values.

Wentworth and Lincoln are among the foremost counties in the good roads movement, both having spent large sums of money in establishing county road systems and building according to modern principles.

The railroads have yet to demonstrate that they can ship fruit even a short distance without deterioration. Fruit that was formerly shipped by rail to Toronto has found a ready market in Hamilton because of Wentworth's good roads. The growers have been able to get better prices; the consumers have been able to get cheaper and better fruit, the only losers being the transportation companies.

If good county roads have meant so much to the citizens of Hamilton, and at the same time to the fruit growers, whose increasing profits have resulted in large property values, the same advantages will accrue for the people in and around Toronto by the adoption of a good roads system.

CITY GOVERNMENT BY COMMISSION.

Oakland, California, is among the latest cities to adopt the commission form of government, which it did last month by a vote of three to one. The progressive victory was decisive, and the consent of the legislature is necessary, no difficulty is anticipated in having the popular verdict carried into effect. The new charter provided for a commission of five members, headed by the mayor, establishes the limits all franchise grants to thirty-five years, authorizes regulation of rates for public utilities, and reorganizes the municipal civil service. The only elective officers are mayor, auditor, four commissioners and six school directors. In the governing body of five all responsibility will be centred.

THE HOLIDAY STRAIN.

A week ago to-day The World had a short article on "The Strain of Christmas," the uncalled for loads taken on by so many in getting ready for this festive time, the money strain in buying gifts, gifts that are given because "they are expected," or that are made in return; the strain of crowding a year's good feeling into a single week or half week. Mark Twain's closing chapter of his story of his life is published in Harper's for January. It is in memory of his daughter Jean, who died suddenly on Dec. 24, 1909. It is the most touching of all his writings. Here is a bit of it: "Jean's mother always worked

herself down with her Christmas preparations. Jean did the same yesterday and the preceding day, and the fatigue has cost her her life. The fatigue caused the convulsion that attacked her this morning. She had had no attack for months."

Surely our people will try to get back to the simpler ways of even twenty years ago of spending the dearest festival of the year.

NATIONAL LIFE'S GOOD YEAR.

The Year 1910 the Most Prosperous in the History of the Company.

The National Life Assurance Company of Canada has just closed the most prosperous year in its history. Applications for new insurance were received for over four million dollars. The volume of business in force on the 1st of January is \$2,383,789. The total income is \$2,383,000, which is an increase over last year of \$34,000.

The company again reports not a single dollar of interest or principal on any of its invested funds overdue or in arrears. The company has never lost a dollar of interest or principal thru any of its invested funds since the inception of the company twelve years ago.

The annual meeting of the shareholders will be held at the company's office, National Life Chambers, corner Toronto and Adelaide streets, on Wednesday, Jan. 11. The chair will be taken at 3 o'clock.

THESE SHOULD VOTE "YES."

Editor World: On Monday the ratepayers of Toronto will be faced with a vote on the proposed annexation bylaw for the construction of a viaduct from the eastern extremity of Bloor-street to Danforth-avenue, with a branch down to the north end of the eastern section of a public necessity.

1. Ratepayers who consider an east and west bridge at the north limit of the eastern section a public necessity. 2. Ratepayers who are broad-minded enough, and possess the business acumen, to realize the fact that higher valuations cannot help but return greater revenues to the city in increased assessments.

3. Ratepayers across the Don who realize that the Gerrard-street bridge does NOT furnish them with the easiest and shortest route to the UP town district.

4. Ratepayers across the Don who desire a greater Toronto, and who know that the fixed assessment on the recently annexed district is only a fixed assessment just so long as the property remains in the possession of the original owners at the time of annexation, after which it takes the standard assessment, as soon as it is sold or changes hands.

5. Ratepayers who prefer a straight line viaduct, constructed with an eye to the FUTURE REQUIREMENTS of the city as well as the present, in preference to the cheap alternative routes suggested.

6. Ratepayers who are already acquainted with the beautiful scenes in the Don Valley, namely, thrift and enterprise, by way of saw mills, railway yards, brick manufacturing, etc.

7. Ratepayers who believe in the old adage, "Nothing ventured, nothing won."

8. Ratepayers who realize that the money to be expended in the construction of the Bloor-street viaduct is a necessary and profitable expenditure, and one which WILL bring greater revenue to the city in increased assessments.

9. Ratepayers who know that the type of concrete structure as designed by the Toronto Civic Guild will most likely FALL DOWN before the 30 years of debentures would be ended (judging from the cracks already observed in the concrete abutments of one of the new bridges).

10. Ratepayers who KNOW that a Bloor-Danforth and Bloor-Parliament viaduct will prove itself both sufficient and efficient.

These are but a few reasons for voting YES on the viaduct bylaw, and if any ratepayer is unconvinced as to the importance of the expenditure which will be finally invested, and as to the necessary nature of the undertaking AT ONCE, he may quickly see the situation for himself by visiting the north end of Ward Nos. 1 and the north end of Ward Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, and then go and examine the great gulch between, and then figure how far ahead Toronto's growth is TO THE FUTURE. The viaduct will provide for RAPID TRANSIT AND SPEEDY ACCESS TO THESE ISOLATED DISTRICTS.

VOTE FOR HOSPITAL BYLAW.

This is the last opportunity we will have of asking our readers to vote for the bylaw granting \$50,000 to the Western Hospital for the purpose of erecting further building accommodation for fifty more of the city's poor patients.

It should be remembered that the Western Hospital is located on Bathurst-street, one block south of College-street. This is now almost in the centre of the city, and of easy access from all parts of the city. It is, therefore, a vote for hospital accommodation for the entire city. The government of the Western Hospital have done well in securing such a fine block of land. It is impossible to duplicate such a site in the centre of the city, except at very great cost. All that the site there are some very fine buildings, either erected or in course of erection.

The buildings that are being erected are of the most approved design and are absolutely fire proof. The money to be raised by this bylaw is for beds for the city's poor. It is a duty that rests on every citizen to aid in the erection of such accommodation for the poor ought to have when sick or injured.

The amount is a very small one. Only a few cents to each family. Indeed, it might be best put into the current expenditures and never been felt. Vote for the bylaw.

VOTE FOR LEVEE.

The electors of ward four will make no mistake when they vote and support Mr. S. L. Levee and send him back as a representative for Ward 4 on the board of education, in fact he should poll the largest vote for this office.

Mr. Levee has met with a great deal of unvarnished opposition because of his aggressiveness in the past. Mr. Levee was the first man on the board to fight the old Smead Dowd system of heating. He has always looked after the interests of both the parent and the child, and has devoted a great deal of time to the property and management committees.

He has always fought for everything that was right and is known as the fighter of the board.

Vote for Levee and your own interests.

Another Year and Its Opportunities

have gone. If you have not made the best use of them, do not repine. Forget all but the lesson it should teach, and see that 1911 tells a different tale. Is your financial position better than it was on Jan. 1, 1910? If you had saved and deposited with even ten dollars a month, you would now have been \$122.29 at your credit. You know you could have done this; probably much more. Ten dollars a month deposited for the past ten years would have given you \$1,457.73, a sum which might lay the foundation of a fortune. Begin to-day and make up for lost time.

Canada Permanent Mortgage Corporation

TORONTO STREET, TORONTO

FAVOR ALL THE BYLAWS AT FIFTH WARD MEETING

Mayor Geary Strongly Advocates

Railway Extensions—Final

Railway in Earlscourt.

Judging by the applause that was accorded the different speakers at Orange Hall, Euclid-avenue, Saturday night in their arguments favoring the passing of the bylaws, Toronto will today record her endorsement of the Bloor-street viaduct, a harbor completion, good roads and a more efficient street car service for her citizens.

Over 100 people crowded into the small quarters on Euclid-avenue, and all the speakers were given a good hearing, especially the old aldermen whose opinions were particularly enthusiastic.

Miles Vokes was chairman. Mayor Geary dealt at some length with street railway extension. He said it was an act of necessity, as a means of gaining better access to the other side of the Don. He favored control of the waterfront by a commission, and the reclamation of Ashbridge's Bay.

Controller Spence said there was a great need for the establishment of a farm for indigent and first offenders. He mentioned the necessity of unity and good-will that had prevailed in all the meetings of the old council. He said that, in the matter of good works the council of 1910 excelled that of any other year in his long experience in Toronto's civic affairs.

After illustrating the great need for better car service, he showed a view of the parliament buildings and said that this was where he would like to see an alleviation of their grievances. Then with the words, "And this is the man whom we shall look to for relief," a photograph of Sir James Whitney was shown on the screen, which evoked cheers.

Controllers Foster and Church, Ald. Graham, Dunn and May, and J. S. Dunn, A. W. Dockery and Ald. Spence, the meeting briefly, Alderman Graham got special mention for his good work on the parks committee last year.

Rally at Earlscourt. The purposes of board of control had full swing at the meeting in Little's Hall, corner Earlscourt-street and Ascott-avenue on Saturday night. The majority, aldermen and school trustees candidates were busy addressing the meeting in other parts of the city, and ex-Alderman Thos. Davies was followed over 45 minutes for his speech.

Controller Spence and Foster also spoke at some length, and Mr. Spence gave a series of limelight views, illustrating many of the issues now before the electors. R. L. Canning presided.

RAN OUT OF COAL

New Quarantine Steamer for Halifax Tied Up at Bermuda.

HALIFAX, Jan. 1.—The new steel steamer Alva, to be used for quarantine purposes, arrived at Bermuda on Dec. 24 in Dartmouth, England, for the Dominion Government, is at St. George's, Bermuda, where she reached with only 10 pounds of coal in her bunkers. The Alva left Dartmouth on Nov. 24 in command of Captain Tucker. She reached the Azores on Dec. 16, and left there for Halifax on Dec. 18. On Wednesday she was put into St. George's with her coal gone and glad to make port. It is likely, the season now being so far advanced, that the Alva will remain in Bermuda till the end of the year, retaining the cabin north by some steamer. The new boat is ninety feet long, twenty feet beam and ten feet draught.

THOUSANDS OF MEN, WOMEN AND CHILDREN LOSE 20 MINUTES A DAY BECAUSE THERE IS NO BLOOR-STREET VIADUCT.

REORGANIZED CABINET.

MADRID, Jan. 1.—King Alfonso today renewed his confidence in the ministry, and endorsed the program submitted by Premier Canalejas. The premier later reorganized the cabinet by appointing Senor Gasset, minister of public works; Alonso Castillo, minister of the interior, and Amos Zaldivar, minister of public instruction.

Buried Under Rock Slide.

WINNIPEG, Jan. 1.—O. M. Johnson was buried under a rockslide Saturday when a working was fired, and in the slide he was buried in a pool in the river.

Owing to the great increase of business the C.P.R. had to more than double its staff at Calgary during the year.

AT OSGOOD HALL

ANNOUNCEMENTS.

Monday, Osgood Hall, Dec. 31, 1910. Monday, January 2, is a day non at Osgood Hall.

Another issue of that useful pocket companion for the lawyer, The Circuit Guide, has just been issued and may be obtained by the profession. It is, as usual, full of useful points.

Master's Chambers.

Before Cartwright, K.C., Master. McNabb v. Toronto Construction Co.—J. G. Smith for defendant. Y. M. Ferguson for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing action for want of prosecution. Order granted.

Judgment: The cause has been at issue for over three years. The next Assizes are unfortunately not until 10th April. In the meantime the plaintiff has been unable to get the case down and proceed to trial at next Assizes. The plaintiff (unless changed as above). As a proof of good faith, the plaintiff must pay the costs of this motion. The action is dismissed with costs, without further notice to plaintiff.

Re Solicitor—J. G. Smith for solicitor. O. G. Clark for client. Motion on behalf of solicitor for an order for taxation, as being irregular.

Judgment: The order, certainly, does not conform to the rules. It is, therefore, set aside or amended. It is desired to have the limited taxation confined to the order, that can only be made on the terms of Storer v. Johnson, 15 A.C. 208. The mistake was quite natural on the part of the client's solicitor. I understand that the solicitor has since written to all whom the solicitor considers responsible to him, and that it will probably be sufficient for the order to be amended. This is not a case for costs.

Munsel v. Sewell—J. G. Smith for defendant. R. E. Code for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order for examination for discovery of Eugene Munsel, or F. E. Fillon, manager of the firm. Order granted. Stay of proceedings made.

Colonial Investment & Loan Co. v. Brant. O. King for plaintiffs. Motion by plaintiffs for a final order of foreclosure. Order granted.

Foxwell v. Kennedy—E. D. Armour, K.C., for defendant. M. Grant for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order striking out Paragraphs 15-23 of statement of claim, as embarrassing. At request of plaintiff, motion enlarged until 4th January next. Stay of proceedings made.

Woodruff v. Alton—Spence (F. W. Harcourt) for plaintiff. Motion by plaintiff for judgment for foreclosure. Judgment granted.

Hyp Bros. v. Tachibana—C. A. Moss for defendant. B. E. Wallace for plaintiff. Motion by defendants for an order setting aside the replevin order of 23rd December. Order made that on defendant giving bond for \$300 to answer plaintiff's claim under the lien, the replevin order be set aside. If his claim is returned to defendant, plaintiff's bond to be reduced to \$120. Costs in cause.

Ryan v. Hodgins—E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by plaintiff for leave to issue a concurrent writ for service out of the jurisdiction. Order made.

Judge's Chambers.

Re Middleton, J. W. Harcourt, K.C., for defendant. Motion by administrator for an order for payment of certain moneys to administrator. Order made that on defendant giving bond for \$300 to answer plaintiff's claim under the lien, the replevin order be set aside. If his claim is returned to defendant, plaintiff's bond to be reduced to \$120. Costs in cause.

Re Ryan v. Hodgins—E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by plaintiff for leave to issue a concurrent writ for service out of the jurisdiction. Order made.

Single Court.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

TO OUR CLIENTS IN AND AROUND TORONTO

ANNOUNCEMENTS.

Monday, Osgood Hall, Dec. 31, 1910. Monday, January 2, is a day non at Osgood Hall.

Another issue of that useful pocket companion for the lawyer, The Circuit Guide, has just been issued and may be obtained by the profession. It is, as usual, full of useful points.

Master's Chambers.

Before Cartwright, K.C., Master. McNabb v. Toronto Construction Co.—J. G. Smith for defendant. Y. M. Ferguson for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing action for want of prosecution. Order granted.

Judgment: The cause has been at issue for over three years. The next Assizes are unfortunately not until 10th April. In the meantime the plaintiff has been unable to get the case down and proceed to trial at next Assizes. The plaintiff (unless changed as above). As a proof of good faith, the plaintiff must pay the costs of this motion. The action is dismissed with costs, without further notice to plaintiff.

Re Solicitor—J. G. Smith for solicitor. O. G. Clark for client. Motion on behalf of solicitor for an order for taxation, as being irregular.

Judgment: The order, certainly, does not conform to the rules. It is, therefore, set aside or amended. It is desired to have the limited taxation confined to the order, that can only be made on the terms of Storer v. Johnson, 15 A.C. 208. The mistake was quite natural on the part of the client's solicitor. I understand that the solicitor has since written to all whom the solicitor considers responsible to him, and that it will probably be sufficient for the order to be amended. This is not a case for costs.

Munsel v. Sewell—J. G. Smith for defendant. R. E. Code for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order for examination for discovery of Eugene Munsel, or F. E. Fillon, manager of the firm. Order granted. Stay of proceedings made.

Colonial Investment & Loan Co. v. Brant. O. King for plaintiffs. Motion by plaintiffs for a final order of foreclosure. Order granted.

Foxwell v. Kennedy—E. D. Armour, K.C., for defendant. M. Grant for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order striking out Paragraphs 15-23 of statement of claim, as embarrassing. At request of plaintiff, motion enlarged until 4th January next. Stay of proceedings made.

Woodruff v. Alton—Spence (F. W. Harcourt) for plaintiff. Motion by plaintiff for judgment for foreclosure. Judgment granted.

Hyp Bros. v. Tachibana—C. A. Moss for defendant. B. E. Wallace for plaintiff. Motion by defendants for an order setting aside the replevin order of 23rd December. Order made that on defendant giving bond for \$300 to answer plaintiff's claim under the lien, the replevin order be set aside. If his claim is returned to defendant, plaintiff's bond to be reduced to \$120. Costs in cause.

Ryan v. Hodgins—E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by plaintiff for leave to issue a concurrent writ for service out of the jurisdiction. Order made.

Judge's Chambers.

Re Middleton, J. W. Harcourt, K.C., for defendant. Motion by administrator for an order for payment of certain moneys to administrator. Order made that on defendant giving bond for \$300 to answer plaintiff's claim under the lien, the replevin order be set aside. If his claim is returned to defendant, plaintiff's bond to be reduced to \$120. Costs in cause.

Re Ryan v. Hodgins—E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by plaintiff for leave to issue a concurrent writ for service out of the jurisdiction. Order made.

Single Court.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

Before Birt, J. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion for an injunction restraining defendant from dealing with the property of the plaintiff. Order granted.

TO OUR CLIENTS IN AND AROUND TORONTO

ANNOUNCEMENTS.

Monday, Osgood Hall, Dec. 31, 1910. Monday, January 2, is a day non at Osgood Hall.