

Q. The scripts would not have been read by any official of the C.B.C. before going on the air?—A. I think they would have been read.

Q. Who would read them? A specialist on these things?—A. The officials in our program department and our program department includes, naturally, people possessing a good deal of experience in a number of fields.

Q. Can we not learn the names of these people who read the scripts beforehand? I would like to know their background?—A. The C.B.C. as a whole would be responsible for the scripts which go on the air. Our people would not try to change any opinion. They would review the scripts to see that there was no violation of the regulations. But we would not try to change the opinions at all.

Q. I am not criticizing you, but I think that these scripts should not go on the air. Somebody spoke about Bertrand Russell. I am going to speak about Chisholm, Freud, Binger and Cameron. I said the other day that we are fighting communism, materialism. Well, you have scripts here defending birth control. If you have read them you will see in Chisholm's lectures and in Cameron's lectures that they are advocating birth control. If it is that kind of philosophy that we are going to present to our people in Canada, I do not see how we can advocate freedom of speech and just let them go on. As far as Freud is concerned, he gave help to the psycho-analysts but he went into philosophy, it was not the same thing at all. He is denying free will in his series. Do not let anyone tell me about me being dogmatic, because one man who was more dogmatic than anyone else was Freud. Think of the council he held when he put away Adler and Jung because they were heterodox to his beliefs. He was so dogmatic that when he speaks of philosophy, of our way of thinking and living, he denies free will. No one can tell me that it is not denying free will, because when you speak, Mr. Dunton, there is a fight between your conscious and your unconscious self, which means you are not free to talk.—A. Oh, I think I am.

Q. No, you are not, according to Freud. If you knew something about Freud, you would know that he is denying free will and I do not think that is the kind of philosophy we should broadcast over the air to our Canadian people, especially when we are opposing materialism and fighting communist materialism at the same time. That is all I have to say.

The CHAIRMAN: Might I suggest that since we have heard a number of points of view, we should now follow Mr. Langlois' suggestion and confine ourselves to asking questions in these proceedings?

Mr. MUTCH: Is it not of value that the witness should have the opinions of the committee? I disassociate myself with most of the remarks I have heard this morning, but I cannot help but think that it might be good for our conscious or subconscious minds, if Mr. Dunton could have the opinion of the committee.

Mr. FLEMING: I presume that the opinion of the committee would be conveyed to the C.B.C. in the report of the committee itself.

The CHAIRMAN: That is right in the hands of the Committee.

Mr. FLEMING: Then there is a great deal more information which we can ask of Mr. Dunton in connection with it.

Mr. KNOWLES: Mr. Chairman, I have listened to the discussions following Mr. Langlois' point of order, and I think there are arguments both ways. I am only here for a day or two in order to substitute for two of our members who happen to be away, so I shall be very brief. But since this subject has come up, and since views have been expressed by members representing different groups in the House who are here, I feel that I should say just a word or two. I know that Mr. Knight and Mr. Coldwell, the regular members of the committee, are as deeply concerned about it as I am. We do feel that democracy