

grounds to believe that it forms a pattern of similar or related offences by two or more persons acting in concert, and that such pattern is part of the activities of organized crime.

After debate thereon, the question being put on the said amendment, pursuant to section 11 of Standing Order 75, a recorded division was deferred.

The suspended debate was resumed on the motion of Mr. Diefenbaker, seconded by Mr. Bell,—That Bill C-176, An Act to amend the Criminal Code, the Crown Liability Act and the Official Secrets Act, be amended in Clause 2:

(a) by deleting lines 33 and 34 inclusive at page 2 and substituting therefor:

“authorization or any person”

(b) by deleting lines 8 to 44 inclusive at page 7, lines 1 to 39 inclusive at page 8, lines 1 to 13 inclusive at page 9, lines 23 to 28 inclusive at page 11, lines 13 to 34 inclusive at page 17, and lines 29 to 32 inclusive at page 18.

And debate continuing;

Mr. Guay (Lévis), seconded by Mr. Caron, proposed to move in amendment thereto,—That motion numbered 3 be amended by

(a) striking out the following words and numbers appearing in lines 3 and 4 of paragraph (b):

“lines 23 to 28 inclusive at page 11”

and substituting the following:

“lines 1 to 14 at page 10, the words “or a permit given under subsection 178.15(1)” in lines 27 and 28 at page 11”;” and

(b) adding to paragraph (b) the following:

Applica-
tions to
specially
appointed
judges

178.15 (1) Notwithstanding section 178.12, an application for an authorization may be made *ex parte* to a judge of a superior court of criminal jurisdiction, or a judge as defined in section 482, designated from time to time by the Chief Justice, by a peace officer specially designated in writing for the purposes of this section by

(a) the Solicitor General of Canada, if the offence is one in respect of which proceedings, if any, may be instituted by the Government of Canada and conducted by or on behalf of the Attorney General of Canada, or

(b) the Attorney General of a province, in respect of any other offence in the province,

if the urgency of the situation requires interception of private communications to commence before an authorization could, with reasonable diligence, be obtained under section 178.13.

Authoriza-
tions in
emergency

(2) Where the judge to whom an application is made pursuant to subsection (1) is satisfied that the urgency of the situation requires that interception of private communications commence before an authorization could, with reasonable diligence, be obtained pursuant to section 178.13, he may, on such terms and conditions, if any, as he considers advisable, give an authorization in writing for a period of up to 36 hours.

Certain in-
terceptions
deemed not
lawful

(3) For the purpose of section 178.16 only, an interception of a private communication in accordance with an authorization given pursuant to this section shall be deemed not to have been lawfully made unless the judge who gave the authorization or, if such judge is unable to act, a judge of the same jurisdiction, certifies that if the application for the authorization had been made to him pursuant to section 178.12 he would have given the authorization.

“Chief
Justice”
defined

(4) In this section, “Chief Justice” means

(a) in the Province of Ontario, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court,

(b) in the Province of Quebec, the Chief Justice of the Court of Queen’s Bench (Crown side),

(c) in the Provinces of Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island, Alberta and Newfoundland respectively, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court,

(d) in the Province of British Columbia, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court,

(e) in the Provinces of Manitoba and Saskatchewan respectively, the Chief Justice of the Court of the Queen’s Bench,

(f) in the Yukon Territory, the Chief Justice of the Territorial Court,

(g) in the Northwest Territories, the Chief Justice of the Territorial Court.”

And debate arising thereon;

Changes in Committee Membership

Notice having been filed with the Clerk of the House pursuant to Standing Order 65(4)(b), membership of Committees was amended as follows:

Mr. Rodriguez for Mr. Harding on the Standing Committee on Miscellaneous Estimates.

Messrs. Campbell and Guay (St. Boniface) for Messrs. Caron and Trudel on the Standing Committee on Transport and Communications.

Messrs. MacDonald (Egmont), Hamilton (Swift Current-Maple Creek), Howie, MacKay and Dick for Messrs.