

QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY JOURNAL.

VOL. XX.

APRIL 1ST, 1893.

No. 20.

Queen's University Journal,

Published weekly by the Alma Mater Society of Queen's University during the academic year.

A: E. ROSS, B.A., - - Editor-in-Chief.
J. W. MUIRHEAD, B.A., - Managing Editor.
FRANK HUGO, B.A., - Business Manager.

The annual subscription is \$1.00, payable before the end of January.

All literary contributions should be addressed to the Editor, Drawer 1104, Kingston, Ont.

All communications of a business nature should be addressed to the Business Manager.

IN a recent number the *Presbyterian Review*, Toronto, criticises very severely the address given by Professor Campbell in the Sunday Afternoon series. With the criticism itself we have nothing to do, but we desire to say a word or two in explanation. Firstly, the *Review* is right in supposing that our reporter by mistake wrote Dr. Campbell, of McGill, for Dr. Campbell, of the Presbyterian College, Montreal. Next, we think that outside of that the report was an excellent resume of the address. Again, it is most unfair to drag the Principal into it; the addresses are arranged for and published by a syndicate of students—Arts, Medical and Theological; the Principal has no more to do with the choice of speakers, or the views expressed, than has the editor of the *Review* himself. Lastly, this JOURNAL is published by the students' Alma Mater Society, and is *not* the official organ of the university.

* * *

As the day of Convocation approaches, and hopes of graduation increase, students of the graduating class are naturally anxious to have some of their friends from a distance present, when the college bestows her reward upon them and all successful students. Yet many

feel that, unless some change is made, their friends will find little enjoyment in attending. In the past years the few who did come up, had to take one of two alternatives, either to obtain one of the nearest positions at the front door as early as one o'clock or coming late be jostled in the crowd and pushed to the back where it was impossible to see anything. Surely it is but a just claim if the graduates ask for a change in this, and we think that it can be easily remedied because the number of strangers will not be large. We feel sure that the Senate, so willing in the past to grant all reasonable requests, will take some steps to remedy this matter.

* * *

We think that by this time the students' publishing committee must have come to the conclusion that they made a mistake in changing the hour of the Sunday Afternoon Addresses from three to four. The attendance is no better, we doubt if it is as good, as it was when three was the hour; and the annoyance which is caused by attendants and teachers of Sunday Schools coming in from ten to twenty-five minutes late is really disgraceful. It interrupts the devotional part of the meeting, the prayer and the praise, disturbing that part of the audience which has come on time, and to a far greater extent the speaker. The attendance of students is, on the whole, less than it was last year, so that for several reasons we hope that next year the old hour will be re-established.

It would certainly be a great advantage, if practicable, for communications to be entered into this spring with some, at least, of the speakers for next session. The great obstacle to this is that next year's syndicate would have to be bound by the choice of this year's, for of course the syndicate must be re-organized at the commencement of each session. Its advantage would be that the best speakers, who generally have the most engagements, would be far more likely to be able to assent if requested in April than if in November.