

ARE YOU CONSCIOUS OF YOUR WANTS?

YOU have elegant furniture, carpet and rugs in your drawing room, but have you nice pictures? A fine portrait will attract as much attention as your piano, but a cheap one—one of the "Give you a picture and sell you a frame." Kind will spoil everything else in the room. We made a specialty of Large Portraits, and we allow no picture which is not a work of art to leave our studio.

WEBSTAKE ART STUDIO CHATHAM.

DRS. CORNELL & FISHER

DENTISTS
Corner Sixth and King St.
Over the Bee Hive.
Phone—Office 317, Residence 442

PROBABILITIES.

Special per G. N. W.

Toronto, April 14—11 a. m.—North-west winds, cloudy and cooler. Saturday, mostly cloudy and quite cool.

THERMOMETER.

Highest yesterday, 54.
Lowest during night, 33.
This morning, 33.
Barometer, 29.18.
Direction of wind, northeast.

THE LOCAL BUDGET

John Stuart, law student in the offices of Wilson, Pike & Gandy, was in Wallaceburg today on business. Mrs. W. G. Richards and daughter Edna are in Chicago visiting Mrs. Richards' sister, Mrs. Frost.

Raymond Winters has purchased three lots on McKee Avenue and will erect four houses on the three lots.

The Blonde Co. have the contract for a new house for A. C. Trudell, of the firm of the Two T's. The house will be erected on King St. West.

Another lot of nearly one hundred of those Stylish Spring Coats, worth \$5.00 to \$15.00 each, selling at \$1.80 each, at Northway's Saturday morning.

The Ladies of St. Andrew's Church are holding a Bazaar and Sale of Cooking in the Bazaar opposite the Grand Opera House, to-day and tomorrow. Afternoon tea will be served Saturday from four to six o'clock.

County Treasurer J. C. Fleming has furnished the statement of the criminal justice expenditures for the quarter ending March 31st, to the Government. The total amount is \$1,418.83, made up as follows: Criminal cases, \$741.94; jail expenses, \$642.84; witnesses, \$34.05.

"We are over a month ahead of last year with city work," said Anson Mounter to The Planet this morning. Work was commenced on the 15th of May last year, and already this year the scavengers have been working in cleaning out the alleys for two weeks. The new garbage system is going to work well and I am receiving new names every day from people who wish to use the rig. The people seem to take a delight in cleaning up their yards this year."

Hot, Cold, Medicated and Electric BATHS
Massage, Electric Treatment and Physical Culture. Try my treatment for Rheumatism and Neuralgia. Address: **W. A. Robert,** Physical Cult. inst., Chatham, Ont., box 131, King St. E., opp. Bank of Montreal.



E. J. MacINTYRE.

Leading Jeweller and Scientific Optician, Chatham, Ont.

NEWS FROM TOKIO.

Indicates That Admiral Uriu, "The Lion of the Japanese Navy," May Attack in Advance.

Tokio, April 14.—While the Japanese Imperial Government will not give a reasonable clue to the movements of the combined fleets under the command of Togo, Kato, and Katsumura, and Uriu, it can be said upon official authority there is no truth in the reports cabled here from Paris yesterday that the battleship squadron and the cruiser division was engaged Wednesday morning off Great Natuna Island with Admiral Rojstvensky's warships. The report excited division in the Admiralty Office, but it would not be wholly surprising to learn that Uriu's squadron of two armored cruisers, three protected cruisers of the first class and two torpedo flotillas had attacked a division of the enemy.

The naval chiefs here do not throw out this suggestion, but it is nevertheless true that Uriu is in command of the flying squadron, and it is known definitely here that his ships were as far west as British Borneo on Friday of last week. He is the scouting division of the great Japanese fleet, and the admiral's American training and Japanese dash might have made the opportunity. There is no confirmation, however, of any Japanese move in the China Sea, and it would be surely known, as there is no manner of doubt that the movements of the fleet are sent every day to the Tokyo Government. The Government has the most expert wireless telegraph system in the world.

Reinforcing Vladivostok.
It is reported here yesterday that the Russians are continually reinforcing the garrison at Vladivostok, and that the work of strengthening the fortress is progressing constantly. It is said that the plans of the Russians contemplate garrisoning 100,000 men with 600 guns. Many additional batteries, redoubts, barracks and pits are in course of construction for enormous amounts of ammunition are being accumulated. The Russians, it is said, hope to so equip the fortress that it will be capable of withstanding a siege.

German Collier Released.
Singapore, April 14.—The German collier Hindoo, which was refused clearance papers for Saigon, was released yesterday, her captain agreeing to deliver his cargo through the British consul at Saigon.

PEASANT TENURE OF LAND.

Imperial Receipt Creates Special Commission to Investigate.

St. Petersburg, April 14.—An Imperial receipt, addressed to the Minister of the Interior, creates a special commission under his presidency to discuss questions relating to peasant tenure of land, which is described by the receipt as the main foundation of the national welfare.

Measures will be elaborated to afford peasants better means of working their lands to good advantage; second, to assist emigration between districts, helping peasants to increase their holdings through the medium of a peasants' bank, and third, to devise measures for fixing boundaries of all holdings, in order to show their inviolability and to instill more conscientious views on the subject of private property.

Creates Satisfaction.

St. Petersburg, April 14.—The report that former Minister of the Interior Prince Sviatopolk-Mirsky will return to public life as president of the special commission, although not officially confirmed, creates much satisfaction.

WILL FIGHT ONTARIO.

Grand Trunk President Says Attempt of Province to Increase Its Tax Is Most Unfair.

London, April 14.—The half-yearly meeting of the Grand Trunk Railway took place yesterday. Sir Charles Rivers-Wilson, the president, stated that the company were determined to fight any attempt of the Province of Ontario to increase the taxes of the company, and said he thought it a most unfair treatment on the part of Ontario, as the G. T. R. had done so much for the Province.

During the discussion at the conclusion of the chairman's address a shareholder asked what route the G. T. R. would take over the Rocky Mountains. Sir Charles Rivers-Wilson replied that there were many who would like to know that, and Mr. Hays, the general manager, when traveling about to pick out a route, was followed by a company of promoters and others anxious to learn the route.

No \$2,000,000 of Claims Paid.
Another shareholder drew attention to the statement contained in Canadian newspapers, which said that Mr. Pullen, an officer of the G. T. R., had stated that over two million dollars had been paid out in claims during the past year.

Sir Charles Rivers-Wilson, replying, said the statement had appeared in an obscure newspaper, a railway news and commercial traveler's newspaper, which he (Wilson) had never heard of. Mr. Pullen had been misreported, and no such amount has ever been paid in claims.

A resolution authorizing the reduction of the number of directors to ten was carried. The retiring directors, Sir Charles Rivers-Wilson, Clifton and Brock, were re-elected. Also Auditor William Ramsay, of Montreal. The meeting was poorly attended.

The statements made were devoid of general interest except the announcement that the construction of the Lake Superior branch will commence in June and the building of the prairie branch in July. Sir Charles congratulated the stockholders on the financial association of the Rothschilde with the Grand Trunk in connection with the flotation of the recent Grand Trunk Pacific bonds.

Sailed Lakes Years Ago.

Detroit, April 14.—Capt. Phineas Clark, 78 years of age, one of the old-time vessel masters on the great lakes, is dead here. He was born at London, Ont., in 1827, took to sailing at ten years of age, and at 20 was captain of the steamer Pacific, running between Chicago and Milwaukee. He was master of several boats later and part owner of two. He retired 20 years ago.

HOME, SWEET HOME.

What the Singer Had in His Mind When He Wrote the Song—What Home Is.

When John Howard Payne wrote "Home, Sweet Home," he had in mind that place of habitation for which the human heart yearns when temporarily torn from its home moorings. Home is more than that—home in its highest sense—although another poet has written, "Tis home wherever the heart is." When strangers form an acquaintance, to the first question, "Where do you live?" or "Where do you hail from?" or "Where is your home?" Whether the home dwelling is a cottage or a mansion, is built of wood or stone, does not signify in answering that query. The important thing in view is what are the surroundings and who are the associates of the new acquaintance.

People are "sited up," so to speak, on the social and moral side according to the locality they call home. There are ties about a dwelling, pleasant and sacred memories, and yet a family may move across the street or to the other side of a city, or to a neighboring farm, and still the members will not feel that they have abandoned all that the word "home" implies. Neighbors, friends, playmates, general everyday associates, help to make ties that are hard to remember and painful to sever. A Canadian abroad calls Canada home; a citizen of the United States visiting Canada or Mexico calls the country across the line home. Hills, hand rivers and plains and forests help to make home agreeable, but the main things that stamp individuality upon one's dwelling place are the home people and the institutions which they establish and support.

Looking at home in its broadest sense, establishing a home is an act of patriotism, and devotion to home is patriotism. The development of the home town or township or county is a patriotic duty, and a feeling of personal pride and interest in its fortunes is one of the strongest defenses against a roaming disposition.

A humble cottage, even four walls located in a community of "died friends and co-workers may inclose all that the heart yearns for to feed the home instinct. It serves to-day as a refuge from the storm of life's battles and to-morrow a temple of rejoicing over life's victories. The dwelling is the home's citadel. The outworks are everything that constitutes the community's strength. So long as the citadel is guarded, the home is safe, but if the outworks are neglected the home is in danger.

SENSE OF SMELL IN BIRDS.

Their Olfactory Nerves Do Not Seem to Be Acute.

Anatomy has little to teach on the subject of the sense of smell in birds. This lack has been partially covered by Alexander Hill, who describes in Nature some investigations of his own and his contemporaries which tend to show that the olfactory sense in birds is not acute. Says Mr. Hill: "A study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell at all it is not sufficiently acute to enable them to use it in finding food. All observers are agreed that when a carcass is hidden from the eyes of a bird it is safe from the attacks of vultures and other carrion seekers, but the most remarkable proof of the ineffectiveness of the sense (if it exist at all) is afforded by the fact that a study of the habits of fresh eating birds shows that if they possess the sense of smell